Afterburner with BeAGLE Sample

Jihee Kim (jkim11@bnl.gov) 2024/02/12



Approach – Afterburner Comparison

- Observed something strange in nuclear remnants distribution after "afterburner" when comparing to before "afterburner". Needs to investigate further this problem
- Kong generated **BeAGLE v1.03.02** 1M events (**ePb 18**×**110** J/ψ diffractive)
- Passed events through "afterburner" with **different configurations**
- Compare before "afterburner" sample to after "afterburner" sample with two settings
 - Default *ip8_eau_110x18* (crossing angle with beam effect)
 - Additional *ip8_eau_110x18_ca* (crossing angle **without beam effect**)
 - Additional *ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0* (crossing angle with beam effect, but zeros divergence)



Afterburner Configuration

ab::AfterburnerConfig ab::EicConfigurator::preset_ip8_eau_110x18() {
 ab::AfterburnerConfig cfg;

cfg.crossing_angle_hor = -35e-3; cfg.crossing_angle_ver = 0; // Crossing angle in horizontal plane [rad]
// Crossing angle in vertical plane [rad]

cfg.hadron_beam.beta_crab_hor = 500000.0; cfg.lepton_beam.beta_crab_hor = 150000.0;

// Beam divergence

cfg.hadron_beam.divergence_hor = 218e-6; cfg.hadron_beam.divergence_ver = 379e-6; cfg.lepton_beam.divergence_hor = 101e-6; cfg.lepton_beam.divergence_ver = 37e-6;

// Beam beta star [mm]

cfg.hadron_beam.beta_star_hor = 910; cfg.hadron_beam.beta_star_ver = 40; cfg.lepton_beam.beta_star_hor = 1960; cfg.lepton_beam.beta_star_ver = 410;

// RMS emittance

cfg.hadron_beam.rms_emittance_hor = 43.2 * nm; cfg.hadron_beam.rms_emittance_ver = 5.8 * nm; cfg.lepton_beam.rms_emittance_hor = 20 * nm; cfg.lepton_beam.rms_emittance_ver = 0.6 * nm;

// RMS bunch length

cfg.hadron_beam.rms_bunch_length = 7 * cm; cfg.lepton_beam.rms_bunch_length = 0.9 * cm;

return cfg;



- Crossing angle
- Beam beta function at crab cavity
- o Beam divergence
- Beam beta function at IP
- Beam RMS emittance
- o Beam RMS bunch length
- Beam momentum spread missing?

(afterburner/cpp/afterburner/AfterburnerConfig.hh)

o use_beam_bunch_sim = true/false



Afterburner Configuration Details

ab::AfterburnerConfig ab::EicConfigurator::preset_ip8_eau_110x18_ca() {
 ab::AfterburnerConfig cfg;

cfg.crossing_angle_hor = -35e-3; cfg.crossing_angle_ver = 1e-30; // Crossing angle in horizontal plane [rad]
// Crossing angle in vertical plane [rad]

cfg.hadron_beam.beta_crab_hor = 1.;
cfg.lepton_beam.beta_crab_hor = 1.;

// Beam divergence

cfg.hadron_beam.divergence_hor = 0; cfg.hadron_beam.divergence_ver = 0; cfg.lepton_beam.divergence_hor = 0; cfg.lepton_beam.divergence_ver = 0;

// Beam beta star [mm]

cfg.hadron_beam.beta_star_hor = 1e-30; cfg.hadron_beam.beta_star_ver = 1e-30; cfg.lepton_beam.beta_star_hor = 1e-30; cfg.lepton_beam.beta_star_ver = 1e-30;

// RMS emittance

cfg.hadron_beam.rms_emittance_hor = 1e-30 * nm; cfg.hadron_beam.rms_emittance_ver = 1e-30 * nm; cfg.lepton_beam.rms_emittance_hor = 1e-30 * nm; cfg.lepton_beam.rms_emittance_ver = 1e-30 * nm;

// RMS bunch length

cfg.hadron_beam.rms_bunch_length = 1e-30 * cm; cfg.lepton_beam.rms_bunch_length = 1e-30 * cm;

return cfg;

3

- Created *ip8_eau_110x18_ca* setting
- In order to get rid of beam effects
 <u>afterburner/cpp/afterburner/EicConfigurator.cc</u>
 to remove any beam effect related constants
 - Beta function at crab cavity H = 1
 - Beam divergence H/V = 0
 - Beta function at IP H/V = 0
 - Beam RMS emittance H/V = 0
 - Beam RMS bunch length = 0

afterburner/cpp/afterburner/AfterburnerConfig.hh

(L47 and L52) to turn off bunch simulation

- Beam bunch simulation = false
- SmearFuncs:: Uniform
- afterburner/cpp/afterburner/Afterburner.cc
 - (L310 and L311) to remove beam angular deflection
- Real_hadron_dir = ideal_hadron_dir
- Real_lepton_dir = ideal_lepton_dir



Used Scattered Electron and Vector Meson, J/ ψ

t: Before/After "afterburner"



- Normalized histograms by scaling by 1/integral and taking width into account
- Four different "afterburner" samples:
 - Only Boost, Crossing angle + Boost, Boost + All Beam Effects, Boost + Beam effects, but No Beam divergence
- Observed that "t invariant" in reconstruction using particles in central region



Used Scattered Electron and Vector Meson, J/ ψ

Blue taken out rotation + boost

t: Before/After "afterburner"



- Normalized histograms by scaling by 1/integral and taking width into account
- Four different "afterburner" samples:
 - Only Boost, Crossing angle + Boost, Boost + All Beam Effects, Boost + Beam effects, but No Beam divergence
- Observed that "t invariant" in reconstruction using particles in central region



e': Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)

- From comparing to blue and green distributions, boost and rotation reflects on original distribution for particles in central region.
- From comparing to red and orange distributions, they are very similar, and smeared by beam effects. Beam divergence doesn't affect too much for particles in central region.





Blue taken out rotation + boost

e': Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected rotation + boost) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)

- From comparing to blue and green distributions, boost and rotation reflects on original distribution for particles in central region.
- From comparing to red and orange distributions, they are very similar, and smeared by beam effects. Beam divergence doesn't affect too much for particles in central region.





e': Before/After "afterburner"







Blue taken out rotation + boost

e': Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected rotation + boost) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)





J/ψ : Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE eau 110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected 35 mrad) *ip8_eau_110x18_ca* (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8 eau 110x18 bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)



Normalized histograms by scaling by 1/integral and taking width into account

VM py [GeV/c]



VM px [GeV/c]

Blue taken out rotation + boost

J/ ψ : Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected rotation + boost) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)





J/ψ : Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE eau 110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8 eau 110x18 bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)



Normalized histograms by scaling by 1/integral and taking width into account



VM 0 [mrad]

VM o [rad]

Blue taken out rotation + boost

J/ ψ : Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected rotation + boost) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)





Protons: Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)

- From blue distribution, it makes sense. When protons have only crossing angle effect, it matched with original distribution after removing crossing angle.
- The Green distribution has boost and crossing angle, but still doesn't understand smeared distribution in p_x though.
- From red distribution, all momentum vectors are smeared by beam effect.

Brookhaven

National Laboratory

 The Orange distribution with no beam divergence has similar to original distribution



Blue taken out rotation + boost

Protons: Before/After "afterburner"

_4

-2

0

Proton px [GeV/c]

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 *ip8_eau_110x18_ca* (corrected rotation + boost) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8 eau 110x18 bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)



Normalized histograms by scaling by 1/integral and taking width into account

0

Proton py [GeV/c]

_4

-2



100

Proton pz [GeV/c]

Protons: Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)





Blue taken out rotation + boost

Protons: Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected rotation + boost) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)





Neutrons: Before/After "afterburner"



BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)



Blue taken out rotation + boost

Neutrons: Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected rotation + boost) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)





Neutrons: Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)



 Unlike proton distribution, with beam divergence ON (red distribution comparing to orange) neutron azimuthal angle has some modulation.

Brookhaven

National Laboratory



Blue taken out rotation + boost

Neutrons: Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected rotation + boost) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)





Photons: Before/After "afterburner"







Blue taken out rotation + boost

Photons: Before/After "afterburner"







Photons: Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)





Blue taken out rotation + boost

Photons: Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected rotation + boost) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)





Fragments: Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)

- From blue and green distributions, p_x distribution has been smeared, but only they supposedly have boost (and rotation) effect(s).
- From red distribution, p_x and p_y are smeared a lot when all beam effect On. When turn OFF beam divergence (orange distribution), less smeared in p_x and matched to original in p_y.





Blue taken out rotation + boost

Fragments: Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected rotation + boost) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)





Fragments: Before/After "afterburner"







Fragments: Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)





Blue taken out rotation + boost

Fragments: Before/After "afterburner"

BeAGLE_eau_110x18 ip8_eau_110x18_ca (corrected rotation + boost) ip8_eau_110x18_ca (no correction) ip8_eau_110x18 (corrected 35 mrad) ip8_eau_110x18_bdiv0 (corrected 35 mrad)





Summary

- Created a couple of configurations in afterburner to test
- Shown that t distribution matched after removing crossing angle for two samples (crossing angle only and crossing angle + beam effect)
 - Observed that "t invariant" in reconstruction using particles in central region
- Regarding each particle distribution
 - For scattered electron and vector meson, boost and rotation effects together (taken out) reflect on original particle distribution in central region
 - For final-state protons, neutrons, and photons, their distributions make sense except for some modulation in azimuthal angle for 35 mrad correction, however, with rotation and boost, then their distributions doesn't make sense at all
 - For final-state nuclear remnants
 - $\circ~$ For sample of only crossing angle effect, observed some smeared p_x and p_z and azimuthal angle became strange. Other than that, it looks reasonable
 - For crossing angle + beam effect, <u>observed that too large smearing happens in p_x and p_y after beam effect</u> and it relates to beam divergence at some level, but it cannot be entirely explained by that



Next Steps

- Take default ip8 setting (crossing angle + all beam effect: red distribution) and do correction on rotation and boost to see if red distribution reflects back to BeAGLE (before "afterburner": black distribution) distribution
- For sample with correction on rotation and boost (final-state fragments)
 - Check why there are two grouping in and what makes correction is applied or not in terms of kinematics
- Regarding nuclear remnants
 - Varying other configuration variables from default setting (crossing angle + beam effect)
 - For instance, beam divergence only turn OFF, beam bunch turn OFF, ...
 - However, it is hard to turn off all throughout "afterburner" code (can be missing some)
 - Some toy model to understand each piece (with Alex and Brian)
 - Run PYTHIA8 with heavy-ion collisions (ex. ²⁰⁸Pb incoming beams) would help?



Backup Slides

