



(Proto) DUNE Prompt-Processing Infrastructure

Lino Gerlach¹, Torre Wenaus¹, Xin Qian¹, Brett Viren¹, Michael Kirby¹ ¹Brookhaven National Lab (US)

10th April 2024



Overview

- Before leaving DUNE, I did some research on infrastructure for Prompt-Processing
 - Today: Overview of what I found & where it stands
- Required features of a prompt-processing system
- What (partial) implementations exist?
 - Local demonstrator prototype
 - Used in Run 1: p3s (from Maxim Potekhin)
 - A possible solution based on POMS

Prompt Processing - Intro

What do I mean when saying 'Prompt Processing'?

- Offline data analysis as it comes in ('promptly')
- Run first steps of full reco so that output can be used for further analysis
- Record data quality metrics on the side (DQM)
- Distinguish between payload and infrastructure (focus of this talk)



Online DQM vs Prompt Processing

Online DQM

- Fixed computing resources
- Consider fraction of data
- Output only high-level metrics
- Run light-weight analysis (e.g. calculate RMS, tracking w/ LARDON)
- Conservative w.r.t. to changes to code

Prompt Processing

- Flexible computing resources
- Consider all incoming data
- Output high-level metrics & resulting data products
- Run first steps of full offline reco ((partial) LArSoft or WireCell job, ...)
- Very flexible w.r.t. to changes to code







Advantages of Prompt-Processing

- Running complex analysis promptly: spot potential problems earlier
 - Problems in raw data overlooked by online DQM
 - Problems in unseen fractions of raw data
 - Potential bugs in offline reco software
- Minimize fraction of raw data read-backs from tape
 - Not a problem for ProtoDUNE, but for other prototypes and DUNE

ProtoDUNE II Data Pipeline - Overview



Prompt Processing – Needed Features

- Automatically detect new raw data in buffer
- Launch (parallel) jobs with same payload (NF & SP?)
 - Number of parallel jobs depending on backlog
- Record which subset of data was successfully processed
 - Possibly restart failed jobs n times
 - Mark repeatedly failing jobs for reprocessing later
- Keep data to-be-processed on disk buffer for as long as possible
 - Move successfully processed files from disk buffer
- Provide monitoring dashboard
 - Number of jobs currently running, fraction of successfully processed data

Local Prototype Implementation (Demonstrator)

- Partial Prototype implementation (python): <u>https://github.com/ligerlac/prompt-</u> processing
 - Separates file handling, batch handling, book keeping
 - Separates interface + implementation
 - Easy to replace batch system backend (or book keeping DB)
- Can be run as single python script or independent cron job(s)



What had been done in past

An offline DQM system was used for ProtoDUNE SP:

• 'ProtoDUNE Prompt Processing (p3s)' by Maxim Potekhin (<u>https://github.com/DUNE/p3s</u>)

<u>Purpose</u>

- Continuous low-latency processing of data for data quality monitoring
 - Slower than online monitoring, faster than full processing
- Easier to deploy than more complex systems (e.g. PanDA) w/ subset of functionality

Features

- User-defined data reconstruction jobs
- Pilot-based system to avoid slow batch response
- Flexible usage of computing resources



p3s - Existing Solution?

Problems with p3s

- Original developer left DUNE (not maintained anymore)
- Only consider (user specified) fraction of data
- Only output high-level metrics not the resulting data products

p3s could probably be adapted to our needs, but:

- Ideally, leverage existing DUNE tools
 - E.g. workflow management (POMS), data movement (Rucio)
- Still some open questions:
 - How sluggish is grid submission & data movement?
 - How much computing resources are needed?

Proposed Implementation



- Single POMS campaign restricted to run @ CERN
 - Use POMS' submission scheduler ('cron' like 'Draining Dataset' from Computing tutorial)
 - <u>https://dune.github.io/computing-training-basics-short/08-poms-part2/index.html</u>
 - 'Drain' raw dataset declared in sam by 'declare daemon'
 - Multi-stage workflow:
 - 1. stage: NF & SP
 - (2.) declare resulting DS in Rucio, Metacat and SAM
 - 3. perf. Evaluation
 - 4. Upload perf. Evaluation results to DQM dashboard (see Gabriela's talk)
- Integrated functionality only for SAM
 - But: can run any bash script -> Rucio & Metacat already possible
 - Rucio integration already on the way (POMS dev. instance w/ Rucio)



Backup



Simplified Data Pipeline – Worst Case



Simplified Data Pipeline – Best Case

