

## **CyMBaL** – status

F. Bossù for the Saclay group

Inner detector support structure and cooling workshop Feb 20<sup>th</sup> 2024





## Cylindircal Micromegas Barrel Layer (CyMBaL)

CyMBaL : a single layer of curved 2D Micromegas modules

- Technology: evolution of the CLAS12 Micromegas,  $1D \rightarrow 2D$
- Use a single module design to reduce manufacturing and assembly
- Be hermetic in phi and z





• Almost 2.5 m in length



## **Basic module: a tile**



CES



## **Basic module: a tile**





#### **Dimensions**:

- Size: 65 x 46 cm<sup>2</sup>
- Active area: 59x44 cm<sup>2</sup>
- ~1 mm pitch in both directions
- 1024 strips per tile
- 32 channels per connector → 32 connectors

#### Services:

- HV: 2 channels (drift and resistive layer)
- Gas: 2 tubes (in and out)
  - Two tiles can be in series
- 4 FEB per tile
- If 4 ASICs per FEB:
  - 1x8ch FireFly per FEB to the RDO
  - 2 short flex cables per ASIC
  - ⊳ LV
  - Cooling in and out, possibly in series





## **CyMBaL**

- The length in z will be covered with 4 modules
- Two different radii, 50 and 52.5 cm
- No overlap in the middle: not needed as there will be support disks at the same location



No overlap





## **CyMBaL**

- 8 modules in phi
- Alternated layout at two slightly different radii







## CyMBaL – more views











## **CyMBaL – Keeping zones**

### Z = [-1050 , 1350 ] mm

- r = [ 500, 550 ] mm (green shade in the picture)
- Assumptions for the radial keeping zone:
  - Thickness of a tile structure ~1cm
  - Thickness of FEB ~1cm
- But this is still a crude (and safe) estimate:
  - We need better estimates for the FEB (see later)
  - Results from the mechanical mock up (later in the year)





## **Questions – CyMBaL – FEB locations**



- FEB on the extremities of the system to avoid too much material in the active region
- The inner modules will need longer flex cables (~50cm) to bring the signals to the FEB
- QUESTION: If the 5cm radial keeping zone is not available, we could save some radial space by moving the FEBs close to the modules

#### Is there enough space in z?





## **CyMBaL – Powering and data schemes**

From Irakli's presentation at the DAQ meeting: https://indico.bnl.gov/event/22316/

#### LDO based powering scheme DCDC on (or close by) FEBs High current 1.2V <2.6V 2.1V 1.5V 1.2V Ultra LDO ASIC Ultra LDO 80% DC-DC <12.5V 12V Sense FEB Power supply Power supply FEB Low current /aux + 0.3V Mid current <4.5V Vaux Ultra LDO Remote: 20 m Sense Remote: 20 m (<3.8) (3.3) PRO: Small cross section cables PRO: No DCDC on FEBs CON: DCDC might be bulky CON: Large cross section cables for high current delivery **FEB-RDO: on copper twinax cables FEB-RDO:** on optical fibers copper twinax cables **Optical fibers FEB RDO** DAM **FEB RDO** DAM Few meters Any distance PRO: no intelligence on FEBs PRO: small cross section for service and no problem of CON: RDO MPGD specific, radiation hard, space? space for RDO CON: intelligence on FEB, consumption, radiation CyMBaL - Inner Detector Workshop 10 hardness

# 

## **CyMBaL – Open questions**

- Services and cooling
  - Heat dissipation depends also on the choice of the LV scheme: DCDC per FEB? Or DCDC somewhere close by?
  - Cooling: we look forward at a common effort
- Space:
  - We need to have confirmation about the keeping zones
  - Side note: the menagerie is probably not up to date, Matt started a discussion about it
  - The module design will be improved to be more realistic in the coming months
  - FEB form factor will be driven by the thickest component. If DCDC on board, the choice of the DCDC is crucial.
    - Discussion ongoing within the DAQ meeting (see presentations by G. Visser and T. Camarda)
  - RDO: if electrical connection FEB-RDO, is there a place where the RDO can sit?
- Support structure:
  - How the CyMBaL modules will be supported?





## Merci

## **Basic module: a tile; readout routing**

Z; (r phi) C; (z) return trail for C strips







14