ePIC barrel and endcap ECal overlapping study

Huan Zhong Huang, Oleg Tsai, Zhiwan Xu, and Zhongling Ji



UCLA

March 20, 2024

Changes from brycecanyon to craterlake



- Previous report based on brycecanyon on May 17, 2023.
- The coverage of barrel ECal in craterlake slightly changed.
- Previously used a slightly larger radius (246 cm, downto $\eta = 1.1$) for fEMCal in brycecanyon.
- This report tested fEMCal radius of 195 and 185 cm.

Component	Configuration	Length	Inner R	Outer R	Start	End
Barrel EMCal	Brycecanyon	498	79	133	-299	199
	Craterlake	470	81	116	-274	196
Forward EMCal	Brycecanyon	30	14	195	330	360
	Craterlake	30	14	195	330	360

Table from brycecanyon and craterlake. The previous study in DD4hep and the material scan in brycecanyon used a slightly larger size (246 cm) of fEMCal for checks.

Material scan: brycecanyon vs craterlake



- Brycecanyon
- Barrel coverage slightly over $\eta = 1.5$
- fEMCal downto $\eta = 1.1$ ($R_{outer} = 246$ cm)



- Craterlake
- Barrel coverage slightly below $\eta = 1.5$
- fEMCal downto $\eta = 1.3~(\textit{R}_{outer} = 195~\text{cm})$



Barrel ECal bad above $\eta = 1.4$ in brycecanyon



Zhongling Ji (UCLA)



Barrel ECal bad above $\eta = 1.3$ in craterlake













2000

180

160

1400

1200

100

80

60

40

20

Res = 0.02829

h edep 1 10 copy

3 807

0 1602

705.2/30

3.719e-123

1959 + 21.6

3,919 + 0.001

É [GeV]

Mana

Std Dav

v2/ndf

Constant

Prob

Mean

Sigma 0.1109 ± 0.0008









Barrel ECal resolution





For η larger than the red line, the barrel Ecal does not have good resolutions and we need the fEMCal.

Single photon resolutions vs η at 2 GeV





Craterlake: need fEMCal for $\eta > 1.3$

Brycecanyon: need fEMCal for $\eta > 1.4$

Barrel + endcap ECal resolution





Brycecanyon: need fEMCal for $\eta > 1.4$



Combined barrel and endcap ECal provides good energy resolution in all η ranges.

Checks for fEMCal with $R_{outer} = 185$ cm



- The previous study used $R_{outer} = 195$ cm for fEMCal in craterlake.
- The following checks use $R_{outer} = 185$ cm for fEMCal in craterlake.

Material scan in craterlake: 195 vs 185 cm



- Craterlake
- fEMCal with $R_{outer} = 195 \text{ cm}$





- Craterlake
- fEMCal with $R_{outer} = 185 \text{ cm}$

Barrel + endcap ECal resolution





Combined ECal with $R_{outer} = 185$ cm of fEMCal has poor energy resolution in some η ranges.



- Previously in brycecanyon, need fEMCal coverage downto $\eta = 1.4$ ($R_{outer} = 173$ cm).
- Currently in craterlake, need fEMCal coverage downto $\eta = 1.3$ ($R_{outer} = 195$ cm).
- In currently configuration of craterlake, fEMCal with $R_{outer} = 185$ cm is not large enough to provide good energy resolution in all η ranges when combined with the barrel ECal.
- If length or radius of barrel Ecal changes again, we need to repeat same exercise again.