INTT G4 modification

Joseph Bertaux, Hao-Ren Jheng, Genki Nukazuka, Cheng-Wei Shih

6

March 15th, 2024 XXX meeting

NORTH END - WELCOM





Purpose of modifying the INTT G4



XXX meeting

Full survey data with 3.32 mm correction in radius included No MC or data included, the ϕ positions of all the **INTT channels** were filled in the histogram Bin width : 0.1 degree



Purpose of modifying the INTT G4



XXX meeting

SPHE

Goal: to reproduce the open region we saw with the survey data in Geant4 simulation

Full survey data with 3.32 mm correction in radius included No MC or data included, the ϕ positions of all the **INTT channels** were filled in the histogram Bin width : 0.1 degree





INTT Geant4 original status

- Overall speaking, it's well made and lots of work has been done. Beautiful structure!
- Geometry: more and less ideal geometry
- same center reference, the trackerenvelope



XXX meeting

sPH

• Some numbers are not "that" correct (sensor radius and z position), but should be minor • No half-barrel structure introduced. All the components are independent and have the







INTT Geant4 original status - ladder within peek

• Ladder within the peek is composed of 4 ladder_volume + 2 ladderext_volume













INTT Geant4 original status - ladder within peek

• Ladder within the peek is composed of 4 ladder_volume + 2 ladderext_volume



The length of ladderext_volume is overestimated (possibly mimicked to the peek region when designed)

XXX meeting





- Peek region: stack with stave peeks and metal support rings
- Geant4 approach: introduce the rings with different materials to mimic the reality
 - Metal ring for support structure & CF (peek) ring for stave peeks





Metal ring to hold the ladders End ring

XXX meeting



Purple: metal Grey: carbon fiber to mimic the stave peek

SPHE





- Peek region: stack with stave peeks and metal support rings
- Geant4 approach: introduce the rings with different materials to mimic the reality
 - Metal ring for support structure & CF (peek) ring for stave peeks





Metal ring to hold the ladders End ring

XXX meeting



Purple: metal Grey: carbon fiber to mimic the stave peek

SPHE





- Peek region: stack with stave peeks and metal support rings
- Geant4 approach: introduce the rings with different materials to mimic the reality
 - Metal ring for support structure & CF (peek) ring for stave peeks





Metal ring to hold the ladders End ring

XXX meeting



Purple: metal Grey: carbon fiber to mimic the stave peek







- Peek region: stack with stave peeks and metal support ring
- Geant4 approach: introduce the rings with different materials to mimic the reality

Length of metal (purple) ring: 15 mm Length of CF (grey) ring : 10 mm	
	La
	dius
	Rac

XXX meeting

SPHE

Ideal geo., ladders have no overlap with metal ring



adders may overlap with metal ring if the radius were changed



- The simple-ring structure has to be optimized to cooperate with the survey geometry





More about the end ring

- Perfectly implementing the geometry of the peek region is not trivial
- structure with the identical volume

In the reality



The length of metal ring is longer than CF ring

All designs made by Dan Cacace XXX meeting



• The alternative is to take the total volume of metal/CF components into account, and mimic that region by the tube

The new structure in G4

Same material budget as the design





1	
 ← 6.250 Aluminum ← 15.000 PEEK 	В
	4
N A-A	А
1 : 1 1	



The survey data

- The files provided by the survey group

 - 3. Half-barrel positions w.r.t. sPHENIX coordinate (measured in IR, 1008)
- Survey provided by Joseph can provide
 - Sensor position w.r.t. sPHENIX coordinate (224 sensors x 6 DoF, file 1+2+3)
 - Ladder position w.r.t. sPHENIX coordinate (56 ladders x 6 DoF, file 2+3)
 - Goal: reproduce the opening
- The modification of the survey data is necessary
 - The reference position can be different

1. 4 sensor positions w.r.t. stave center for each ladder (measured by OGP in lab, 510) 2. Ladder positions w.r.t. INTT half-barrel (measured by machine arm in lab, 510)







How did people do the survey

machine arm



XXX meeting



• For the survey measurements after putting the ladders on the INTT barrel supporting structure, survey group touched the hole of the stave peek by the sphere head pin of the

SPHE



Questions to Rachid, waiting for reply



The holes that the survey group measured when doing the survey

Mail has been sent to Rachid \rightarrow Rachid is not sure XXX meeting



contact planes are given





The area of overlap b/w ladders



The correction may have to be treated carefully as the overlap between is small







The tolerance of correction inaccuracy?



The overlap b/w ladders can be preserved if the correction doesn't over/under-correct the radius more than ~ 2 mm

XXX meeting

SPHE





New correction in radius (ladder to sPHENIX coord.)

The center of the ladder_volume



- In G4, sensor center position in the ladder_volume: 1.9978 mm
- Half of sensor thickness: 0.32 / 2 = 0.16
- Thickness of ladder_volume: (1.9978 + 0.16) x 2 = 4.3156 mm
- → Correction in radius : 2.1578 2.386 = <u>-0.2282 mm</u>



This is the correction for implementing the survey data (ladder pos. w.r.t. sPHENIX coordinate) in the Geant4 only For the cases of implement the survey data (sensor pos. w.r.t. sPHENIC coord.) and data local to global coord. transformation, that would be different story

XXX meeting

- The expected ladder_volume
 - the sensor surface and the surface of the backside of stave are attaching to the ladder_volume box

• Distance b/w the survey plane and the sensor surface: 1.62 + 0.432 + 0.014 + 0.32 mm = 2.386 mm











ONLY for the case of implementing the survey data (ladder to sPHENIX coordinate) to Geant4



If we place the ladders by the positions given by the survey data, the ladders will have larger radius than expected Therefore, the correction should be **0.2282 mm inward**

XXX meeting





ONLY for the case of implementing the survey data (ladder to sPHENIX coordinate) to Geant4



If we place the ladders by the positions given by the survey data, the ladders will have larger radius than expected Therefore, the correction should be **0.2282 mm inward**

XXX meeting







If we place the ladders by the positions given by the survey data, the ladders will have larger radius than expected Therefore, the correction should be **0.2282 mm inward**

XXX meeting

ONLY for the case of implementing the survey data (ladder to sPHENIX coordinate) to Geant4







If we place the ladders by the positions given by the survey data, the ladders will have larger radius than expected Therefore, the correction should be **0.2282 mm inward**

XXX meeting

ONLY for the case of implementing the survey data (ladder to sPHENIX coordinate) to Geant4





Another correction

- (local to global transformation)
- The correction : 2.395 mm inward



XXX meeting

• The correction for full survey data (sensor to sPHENIX coord.) used in "data" clustering







- (local to global transformation)
- position survey
- The correction : 2.395 mm inward





• The correction for full survey data (sensor to sPHENIX coord.) used in "data" clustering

• The sensor radius will be larger if we apply the sensor-position survey on the ladder-



- (local to global transformation)
- position survey
- The correction : 2.395 mm inward





• The correction for full survey data (sensor to sPHENIX coord.) used in "data" clustering

• The sensor radius will be larger if we apply the sensor-position survey on the ladder-



- (local to global transformation)
- position survey
- The correction : 2.395 mm inward





• The correction for full survey data (sensor to sPHENIX coord.) used in "data" clustering

• The sensor radius will be larger if we apply the sensor-position survey on the ladder-



- (local to global transformation)
- position survey
- The correction : 2.395 mm inward





• The correction for full survey data (sensor to sPHENIX coord.) used in "data" clustering

• The sensor radius will be larger if we apply the sensor-position survey on the ladder-



Study the survey data - zero correction

- Ideal sensor radii: 71.88, 78.00, 96.80 and 103.30 mm (given by Dan Cacace)
- The positions of all the INTT channels were filled



XXX meeting

d 103.30 mm (given by Dan Cacace) ere filled

Phi [degree]

SPHE



Study the survey data - 0.2282 mm inward

- Ideal sensor radii: 71.88, 78.00, 96.80 and 103.30 mm (given by Dan Cacace) • The positions of all the INTT channels were filled
- Correction for implementing the survey ladder position in Geant4



XXX meeting

Phi [degree]



- Ideal sensor radii: 71.88, 78.00, 96.80 and 103.30 mm (given by Dan Cacace) The positions of all the INTT channels were filled
- Correction for data clustering (local to global coordinate transformation), correction based on the peek thickness





- Ideal sensor radii: 71.88, 78.00, 96.80 and 103.30 mm (given by Dan Cacace) The positions of all the INTT channels were filled
- Correction for data clustering (local to global coordinate transformation), correction forces the survey to align the ideal sensor radii



XXX meeting

Phi [degree]





Survey data check

- Ideal sensor radii: 71.88, 78.00, 96.80 and 103.30 mm (given by Dan Cacace)
- Survey ladder radii check: diagnostic tool
- ΔABC by Heron's formula $(\sqrt{s(s-a)(s-a)})$
- Circle radius = (a * b * c) / (4 * ΔABC)

XXX meeting

Each three-ladder pair tells one radius →



SPHE

d 103.30 mm (given by Dan Cacace)

$$(-b)(s-c), s = (a+b+c)/2)$$

$$C_3^6 = 20 \text{ and } C_3^8 = 56$$



Assume an elliptic shape of INTT semi sublayer



- Ideal sensor radii: 71.88, 78.00, 96.80 and 103.30 mm (given by Dan Cacace)
- The positions of sensors were used in the radius calculation
- Correction for data clustering (local to global coordinate transformation), correction based on the peek thickness



XXX meeting

 \sim 1 mm larger than the ideal geometry









- Ideal sensor radii: 71.88, 78.00, 96.80 and 103.30 mm (given by Dan Cacace)
- The positions of sensors were shown
- The circles : sensor positions of ideal geometry



XXX meeting





- Ideal sensor radii: 71.88, 78.00, 96.80 and 103.30 mm (given by Dan Cacace)
- The positions of **sensors** were shown
- The circles : sensor positions of ideal geometry



XXX meeting





- Ideal sensor radii: 71.88, 78.00, 96.80 and 103.30 mm (given by Dan Cacace)
- The positions of sensors were used in the radius calculation
- based on the peek thickness

Question: are the INTT half sublayers still a round shape or elliptic shape ?



XXX meeting

Correction for data clustering (local to global coordinate transformation), correction





Study the survey data - 0.2282 mm inward

- The positions of ladders were used in the radius calculation
- Just want to see the relation, should have similar dependency with the sensor one

round shape or elliptic shape ?



XXX meeting





Position XY offset



For the survey with 0.2282 mm inward correction, barrel shift in XY : (0.402536 mm, -2.88624, mm)

XXX meeting

In G4, the pos. XY of end ring, CF ladder skin, BEX tube and outer CF support are used to be at (0, 0) It looks like the whole ladder barrel is shifted downward a little bit



The position XY for the INTT infrastructure has to be modified accordingly

SPHE





Survey data, barrel shift in Z axis

- South side
- **INTT ladders** accordingly





• According to the survey data, the ladders have the systematic shift around 5 mm toward

• The variation is small. Therefore, apply the average shift to the **INTT infrastructure** and









Suggestion from tracking group

- Introduce the "half-barrel" structure
 - Put everything in a new introduced half_barrel_volume
 - Introduce the systematic offset of the two barrels
 - Pros: no additional overlap errors because of the barrel displacement
 - Cons:
 - Content of half_barrel_volume should have:
 - 28 ladders, half CF ring, half metal ring and half CF support skin, etc.
 - Have to perform a major surgery to change almost the whole structure of the code

SPHE





Suggestion from tracking group

Original setup

half-barrel introduction, and change the half-barrel position afterwards

trackerenvelop volume



trackerenvelop volume



Components share the same center with mother_volume

XXX meeting

Tracking group suggestion:

Still overlap



Possibly doable, won't be too accurate and time consuming



Direction of survey implementation

- For survey data
 - Request new survey geometry with new correction in radius from Joseph
- In Geant4

 - 1. Correct the length and position of ladderext_volume, 7.622 mm \rightarrow 0.8 mm 2. Update the end ring structure with the new design, so as their center positions 3. Assign the position XY to INTT infrastructure based on the average survey ladder pos.
 - 4. Assign the Z shift to INTT infrastructure and INTT ladders based on the avg. survey ladder pos.
 - 5. Modify the position XY and rotation about z axis of all ladder_volume and ladderext_volume by the survey data







Direction of survey implementation

- survey file : /sphenix/u/jbertaux/sphnx_software/INTT/general_codes/josephb/codes/ intt_alignment/dat/intt_survey_cdbttree_p00_2282mm.root
 - Shift A = systematic shift in XY, (0.402536 mm, -2.88624 mm)
 - Shift B = systematic shift in Z, -4.72449 mm
 - INTT ladders = ladder_volume + ladderext_volume
 - INTT infrastructure = si_support_inner_skin + si_support_outer_skin_cfcin + AI ring + CF ring
- 1. Correct the length and position of ladderext_volume, 7.622 mm \rightarrow 0.8 mm
- 2. Update the end ring (Al ring and CF ring) structure with the new design, so as their center positions in Z axis
- 3. Assign the position XY to INTT infrastructure based Shift A.
- 4. Assign the Z shift to INTT infrastructure and INTT ladders based on Shift B.
- 5. Modify the position XY and rotation about z axis of all INTT ladders by the survey data









ideal / survey switch

- One switch will be implemented, in order to switch the geometry between the ideal one and the survey geometry
- Expected to be in the Fun4All INTT level \rightarrow no need to re-compile if changes







Status

Plots to be included

SPHE



Summary for the G4 survey implementation **SPHENCE**

- The current method seems to be most efficient way to achieve to goal
- It may not and shouldn't be the final version for the diverse sPHENIX analyses
 - The sensor translation and rotation were not yet included
 - The objects with the **G4Tube** structure may have to be modified
- The survey may need study, more, but it's not in the scope for the time being.
- Eventually we may have to perform the major surgery to the code, to make sure the code can be compatible with any displacements and rotations of sensors given by the survey. It's out of the scope of the dN/dŋ ana. team. I think I can succeed to the work
 - afterward if needed.







Back up

INTT Geant4 check

- Ideal sensor radii: 7.188, 7.800, 9.680 and 10.330 cm (given by Dan Cacace)
- (sensor?) radii in G4: 7.1844, 7.7284, 9.6764 and 10.2584 cm
 - Ladder volume radii: 73.8418, 79.2818, 98.7618 and 104.582 mm
 - Difference in radius : 1.9978 mm
- Sensor center position in a given ladder_volume : 1.9978 mm
 - The positions of ladder_volume make the sensors to be at correct positions
- Center in survey data ?



Status

XXX meeting







Current status

- ladderext_volume correction done
- End (metal and CF) ring correction done



Orignal



XXX meeting

• Able to load the survey geometry and change the ladder XY position and rotation about z

Post correction





Current status

- ladderext_volume correction done
- End (metal and CF) ring correction done
- Have the overlap errors b/w ladders





No more overlap errors b/w ladderext_volume and end rings

XXX meeting

SPHE

• Able to load the survey geometry and change the ladder XY position and rotation about z









More about the survey

- Where did the survey group touch?
- Should be the "hole of the stave peek" according to Dan Cacace
- Might be better if a photo can prove it. Has sent the mail to Rachid, wait for reply



Did the survey group touch the hole or the head of the screw?

XXX meeting

• The hole of the stave peek or the head of the screw fasten in the stave peek hole?



INTT geometry

INTT: 2 sensors X 2 sides of half-ladders X 56 ladders = 224 sensors

Notation: B_xL_{yzz} x: Barrel ID (0 for inner or 1 for outer) y: Layer ID (0 for inner or 1 for outer) zz: Ladder ID (from 0 to 15)



XXX meeting

Axis (Right-haded coordinate) x-axis: $\vec{y} \times \vec{z}$ y-axis: Vertically upward direction

SPHE



z-axis: The blue beam direction (pointing to the north)

