## Testing EICRecon Clustering Algorithm on Backward HCal

Leszek Kosarzewski, **Subhadip Pal**, Alexandr Prozorov



ePIC calorimetry meeting – May 8, 2024





- □ 1 neutron/event, 100k events and p = 5 GeV
- $\Box \quad \theta = 170^{\circ} \text{ and } \phi = 45^{\circ}$

- Only Backward HCal was taken into account (not the whole ePIC geometry)
- Alternating Steel and Scintilator slices
- 10 cm. x 10 cm. Polystyrene tiles

#### Hit and Cluster Positions







### Hit and Cluster Energies





Sampling fraction (0.0095) corrected at <u>Reconstructed Hits</u> stage

### Comparison between Truth and Reco clusters



## Scaling of the algorithm with segmentation



- 10cm tiles with localdistXY 15cm
- 20cm tiles with localdistXY 30cm

neighbourhood parameter to cluster merged hits [Island clustering]

```
static edm4hep::Vector2f localDistXY(const CaloHit
&h1, const CaloHit &h2) {
    const auto delta =h1.getLocal() - h2.getLocal();
    return {delta.x, delta.y};
  }
```



Less split clusters in case of 20cm tiles with localdistXY 30cm

## Scaling of the algorithm with segmentation





## Scaling of the algorithm with segmentation

FUE





HcalEndcapNCluster - HcalEndcapNTruthCluster

Less discrepancy in case of 20cm tiles with localdistXY 30cm



 $\Box$  > 90 % events had 1 reconstructed cluster.

- Some events found with number of clusters > 1 and some with no clusters.
- □ Truth clusters were more in number (94.18 k) than reco clusters (93.91k) and more dispersed.
- □ Sampling fraction might need to be revisited.
  - Calculated based on pion simulations. Not working perfect with neutrons (shifted mean in cluster energy distribution).
- $\Box$  > 90% truth clusters agree with reco clusters on different observables ( $\theta$ ,  $\phi$ , nHits, energy, R<sub>xy</sub>)
- □ The algorithm scaled well with segmentations.
- $\Box$  Abrupt spikes on  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$  distributions of cluster [coming from clusters with nHits = 1]
- Truth clusters agree more with reco clusters when larger tiles (20cm x 20 cm) were used.

# Thank You

## Backup





## Backup





### Questions

- 1. How to optimize logWeightBase parameter? Which observable to compare?
- Truth clustering might also be erroneous. [cell id of highest energy rec hit within a merged hit is matched to mc hit which then traced back to track based on the 1<sup>st</sup> Hit contribution there.]
- 3. Can use  $\Delta R_{xy}$  vs W<sup>0</sup> to optimize it.

```
"HcalEndcapNRecHits", {"HcalEndcapNRawHits"}, {"HcalEndcapNRecHits"},
{
    .capADC = HcalEndcapN_capADC,
    .dyRangeADC = HcalEndcapN_dyRangeADC,
    .pedMeanADC = HcalEndcapN_pedMeanADC,
    .pedSigmaADC = HcalEndcapN_pedSigmaADC,
    .resolutionTDC = HcalEndcapN_resolutionTDC,
    .thresholdFactor = 0.0,
    .thresholdValue = 41.0, // 0.1875 MeV deposition out of 200 MeV max (per layer)
    .sampFrac = 0.0095, // from latest study - implement at level of reco hits rath
    .readout = "HcalEndcapNHits",
},
app // TOD0: Remove me once fixed
```



Fig. 3. The dependence of the resolution,  $\sigma_x$  (in millimeters), of the x coordinate determination on the logarithmic weight parameter  $W_0$  used in eq. (5). The results are shown for electrons with normal incidence at incident energies of 0.5, 1, and 10 GeV by the solid squares, triangles, and circles, respectively. Results for 10 GeV electrons at a 6° angle of incidence are shown by the open circles. The resolutions are obtained from Gaussian fits of the distribution of differences between calculated and incident position. The fit uncertainties of the extracted resolutions are smaller than the size of the points.

```
How?
```

#### Questions





Sam Corey, OSU

- Efficiency of requiring a hit with a sum of hit contributions energy integrated up to  $t_{int}$  and passing a threshold  $E_{th}$ ,  $t_0 = 0$  ( $t_0$  from first hit see backup)
- Checked with simulation only no digitization
- $E_{MIP}$  is 0.75  ${
  m MeV}$  per layer
- *E<sub>th</sub>* has the biggest impact
- $\bullet~100~\mathrm{ns}$  is good enough, but lower energy neutrons may need longer times
- 60% efficiency for  $E=300~{
  m MeV}$  neutrons  $E_{th}=0.1 imes E_{MIP}=75~{
  m keV}$  and 100  ${
  m ns}$

<pre>app-&gt;Add(new JOmniFactoryGeneratorT<calorimeterhitdigi_fac< pre=""></calorimeterhitdigi_fac<></pre>
<pre>"HcalEndcapNRawHits", {"HcalEndcapNHits"}, {"HcalEndcapN</pre>
{
tRes = 0.0 * dd4hep::ns,
$.capADC = HcalEndcapN_capADC,$
capTime = 100, V/given in ns, 4 samples in HGCROC
dyRangeADC = HcalEndcapN dyRangeADC,
pedMeanADC = HcalEndcapN pedMeanADC.
pedSigmaADC = HcalEndcapN pedSigmaADC.
<pre>.resolutionTDC = HcalEndcapN resolutionTDC.</pre>
.corrMeanScale = 1.0.
<pre>. readout = "HcalEndcanNHits".</pre>
ann // TODO: Remove me once fixed
ann->Add(new 10mniFactoryGeneratorT-CalorimeterHitBeco fac
"HcalEndcanNBecHits" {"HcalEndcanNBayHits"} {"HcalEndc
conADC = HealEndeanN conADC
$.capADC = HcalEndcapN_capADC,$
.uykangeADC = HcalEndcapN_uykangeADC,
.pedmeanADC = HcalenocapN_pedmeanADC,
.pedSigmaADL = HcalEndcapN_pedSigmaADL,
.resolutionIDC = HcalEndcapN_resolutionIDC,
.thresholdFactor = 0.0,
<pre>.thresholdFactor = 0.0, (thresholdValue = 41.0, // 0.1875 MeV deposition out o</pre>
<pre>.thresholdFactor = 0.0, .thresholdValue = 41.0, // 0.1875 MeV deposition out o .sampFrac = 0.0095, // from latest study - implement a</pre>
<pre>.thresholdFactor = 0.0, .thresholdValue = 41.0, // 0.1875 MeV deposition out o .sampFrac = 0.0095, // from latest study - implement a .readout = "HcalEndcapNHits",</pre>

### Comparison between Truth and Reco clusters









