B0 Studies

Overall Goals

- Overall goal is optimize the momentum resolution delivered by the tracker with minimal impact to the acceptance of the EMCAL.
- Tracking disk location(s) need to be optimized with reference to the real field map.
- Engineer at Ben Gurien is also looking at the choices of tracker locations and impact to the calorimeter.

Running the simulations

- Need to use the B0 Field Map \rightarrow git checkout b0-field-map-testing
 - I will update it with the newest geometry parameters and make sure it still works.
- Optimization just provides options for tracker position to then test with the reconstruction and momentum resolution.

After checkout of branch (assuming you have cloned the epic and ElCrecon repos):

- 1. Steering file: /gpfs02/eic/ajentsch/ePIC_dd4hep_simulations/ddsim_steer_B0_testing.py
- 2. <u>**Run:**</u> npsim --steeringFile ddsim_steer_B0_testing.py --N [number_of_events] --compactFile \$DETECTOR_PATH/epic_craterlake_18x275.xml --outputFile output.edm4hep.root
- 3. 80 to 100 GeV protons
- 4. Options: start with nominal placement of layers, then try shifting WHOLE tracker by 10cm and 20cm from nominal, keeping inter-layer spacing constant.
 - a. Look at resolution for all 3 cases.
 - b. After optimizing position, we can look to spacing between layers (currently at 27cm)

Field Map

• "Best" cast for tracker is to be centered in the center of the magnetic field, straddling +/-50cm around the center.





- Momentum proton : 80 100 GeV ۲
- Theta 0.006 0.013 rad •
- Distribution : uniform 500k Tracks
- Trackers length = 81.0 cms, Δ Z = 27 cms (inter layer distance) currently doing 25, 30 and 32 cms • study with Z-center = 630cms
- B0 ECAL Switched off Default z-center position 683 cms (zrange 678 688 cms) •
- Made sure the x positions are also moved to corresponding to z. ۲
- Each settings run after overlap checks •

The Optimization scan

Problem	Optimize the momentum resolution subject to the non-homogenous Magnetic field and to increase occupancy at B0 ECAL.		
Some fixed parameters	Parameter	Value	Remarks
	Tracker Length	81 cms	Should this be fixed?
Objective Space = 2	Objective Parameter	Remarks	
	Momentum resolution $(p_{\rm T})$	Momentum range of 80 - 100 GeV/c is of interest and specifically proton tracks	
	B0 ECAL/Tracking acceptance?	Ratio of number of tracks before 1st tracking disk to the number of showers detected by B0ECAL?	
Design Space = 4	Design Parameter	Range [cm]	Least count for variation [cm]
	Z_1	583.0 - 630.0	1.0
	$\Delta Z_2, \Delta Z_3, \Delta Z_4$ (Inter layer dis)	10.0 - 40.0	1.0
Constraints = 3	$Z_1 + \sum_{i=2,3,4} \Delta Z_i \le 700.5 \text{ cm};$		
	$ Z_{i+1} + Z_i \ge 10.0 \text{ cm}; Z_4 - Z_1 \le \text{Tracker Length (81.0 cms)}$		

Questions

• Does this branch still

https://github.com/eic/epic/tree/b0-field-map-testing the one to run from?

- "Best" cast for tracker is to be centered in the center of the magnetic field, straddling +/- 50cm around the center. Does 0cm -> 630cms in Z?
- Can the B0ECAL have a range above 700cms? What is the minimum and maximum envelope for this region? Currently not including B0ECAL at all. Should I simply move Z-center according to the tracker (Z4 + 12.5cms?] ?
- Along with the momentum resolution what other quantities should I study?
- Can I weight the Momentum resolution for single performance metric?
- I tried to include B0ECAL when varying Tracking disk distance. For Z-center 650, and B0ECAL IP distance of 700.5, I get overlap with VaccumMagnet.....

=== Overlaps for Default ===

= Overlap ov00001: world_volume/B0ECal_42/wrapper_vol_130 overlapping world_volume/VacuumMagnetEle
ment_assembly_51/GapVacuum0_7 ovlp=0.202965

INFO: +++ Execution finished...





⁼ Overlap ov00000: world_volume/B0ECal_42/wrapper_vol_52 overlapping world_volume/VacuumMagnetElem ent_assembly_51/GapVacuum0_7 ovlp=0.467539

The Summary of MOGA Pipeline for optimization



ePIC dd4hep Sim + eic-recon

Yields Performance of the design. Objectives that decide evolution