



Measurement of Fission Product Yields at the National Synchrotron Light Source II

M. Topsakal, A. Mattera, S. Ota, A. A. Sonzogni, E. A. Ricard, S. Gill, C. Morse, M. Jandel

Not export controlled















ENDF/B uncertainties follow closely these experimental data





ENDF/B uncertainties follow closely these experimental data





Why are they so precise?

Uncertainty Budget



TABLE 6.1.1. UNCERTAINTY SOURCES OF ABSOLUTE FISSION YIELD MEASUREMENT WITH THE γ spectroMETRIC METHOD

error sources	values (%)	remarks
a. statistical (random) Δy_{sta}	0.3-2.1	depends on measuring conditions ^a
b. fission rate	1.5	
c. detector efficiency calibration	2.0	depends on y ray energy
d. geometry correction	0.3	
e. γ self-absorption correction	0.3-0.4	
f. neutron transmission correction	0.4	(for thermal neutrons)
g. neutron background correction	0.6-1.0	(for high energy neutrons)
total systematic error	2.6-2.7	$\Delta \mathbf{y}_{sys} = \sqrt{\sum_{i} \Delta \mathbf{y}_{sys_{i}}^{2}}$
total error	2.6-3.4	$\Delta y_{tot} = \sqrt{\Delta y_{sys}^2 + \Delta y_{sta}^2}$

IAEA - TECDOC 1168 (2000)

Why are they so precise?

Uncertainty Budget



MS measurements make **no use of Nuclear Data** to extract the absolute yields

The **fission rate** does not need to be determined as long as the majority of the yields can be measured (**normalized to 100%** / mass peak)

The uncertainties were estimated as the standard deviation of repeated measurements (possibly not fully considering systematic effects)

Fission Product Yields at NSLS-II

detection limit \rightarrow XRF can reach **ppm-level concentrations** of elements in a substrate: this would allow us to get to **low-yield fission products** and a **full normalization** (no fission rate), with no limitations on the measured elements

A calibration of the setup with standard samples would let us not rely on decay data for determination of the absolute yields





Fission Product Yields at NSLS-II

Long-term goal: use the Light Source to **quantify** the amount of fission products in a sample

NSLS-II combined with the new Ge-XRF detector at NE can allow us to reach low-yield fission products

Measure Z-distribution (XRF) of long-lived or stable fission products à-la Maeck (but looking at elements instead of masses) - it provides different information, but still valuable for an evaluation as an 'anchor point'



calculated X-ray peaks from the fission products in a ²³⁸U sample irradiated in a fast neutron spectrum





check of linearity & attenuation corrections



28-ID-2 XPD beamline

(a.u.

ty)

19000

20000

21000

interface (interface) UID=696c2643,

22000

Energy (eV)

23000

24000

70 keV X-ray beam





Step 1: Feasibility Study

test the method in a more simple experiment: neutron capture on ¹⁰³Rh

Rh samples irradiated at Lowell











Step 1: Feasibility Study

Oct 2024 run







we confirmed that we can easily quantify elements when concentrations are similar



Step 1: Feasibility Study

Oct 2024 run





the Rh peak seems to drown the Pd at product concentrations of ~100 ppm



Beamtime with high-dose targets from NSUF



Sample	Elem.	Integrated Fluence (n/cm^2)	approx. product concentration	Applic.
034-08-331 149-08-331	Ag	$\begin{array}{c} 1.47 \times 10^{22} \\ 2.9 \times 10^{22} \end{array}$	2-4%	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Y}$
052-08-331 109-08-331 148-08-331	W	$\begin{array}{c} 1.05\times 10^{22} \\ 1.58\times 10^{22} \\ 2.94\times 10^{22} \end{array}$	5-100‰ ☆	IF
09-157-033 09-157-034 09-157-035	Hf, Al	$\begin{array}{c} 1.29\times 10^{22} \\ 1.3\times 10^{22} \\ 1.3\times 10^{22} \end{array}$	100-600 ppm [♥]	IF
10-242-0011	Mo	1.05×10^{21}	20-30 ppm	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Y}$





Next steps

- manufacture ad-hoc non-irradiated targets to study the effect of a strong substrate signal on the detection limit?
- simulation of signal and full X-ray measurement setup
- using AMS to complement the Z-distributions with A-distributions for specific fission products?







Summary

- Exploratory study to test measurement of reaction rates (and Fission Yields) using s-XRF
- Samples irradiated at UMass Lowell measured at NSLS-2 at the end of October -- no apparent signal from 100 ppm of Pd on the strong Rh background
- Two additional beamtimes scheduled for early 2025 to assay high-dose samples from NSUF and ad-hoc samples fabricated to replicate



Measurement of Fission Product Yields at the National Synchrotron Light Source II

M. Topsakal, A. Mattera, S. Ota, A. A. Sonzogni, E. A. Ricard, S. Gill, C. Morse



Not export controlled



Uncertainty budget

for a typical FY measurement



TABLE 6.1.1. UNCERTAINTY SOURCES OF ABSOLUTE FISSION YIELD MEASUREMENT WITH THE γ spectroMETRIC METHOD

error sources	values (%)	remarks	
a. statistical (random) Δy_{sta}	0.3-2.1	depends on measuring conditions ^a	
b. fission rate	1.5		
c. detector efficiency calibration	2.0	depends on y ray energy	
d. geometry correction	0.3		
e. γ self-absorption correction	0.3-0.4		
f. neutron transmission correction	0.4	(for thermal neutrons)	
g. neutron background correction	0.6-1.0	(for high energy neutrons)	
total systematic error	2.6-2.7	$\Delta \mathbf{y}_{sys} = \sqrt{\sum_{i} \Delta \mathbf{y}_{sys_{i}}^{2}}$	
total error	2.6-3.4	$\Delta y_{tot} = \sqrt{\Delta y_{sys}^2 + \Delta y_{sta}^2}$	
	IAFA - TECDOC 1168 (2000)		

and... Nuclear Data uncertainties (can go anywhere from 1 to 10-20%)



High-precision End-of-chain yields measurement

Results of measurements at Idaho National Lab reactor (ETR and EBR), and only published in a series of INL technical reports

Isotope Dilution Mass Spectrometry on ^{239,241}Pu and ²³⁵U targets irradiated with thermal & fast neutrons





What can be improved?



Ba and Ce elements could not be measured (by Koch) because of heavy contamination of natural-occurring isotopes - and Maeck's results were found to be up to 14% too low in a later measurement by the same group (several times the quoted error).

Maeck also had difficulties measuring **low-yield fission products (< 0.1 %)** and isotopes of lodine, Tellurium and Ruthenium ~70% of the fission products in the heavy peak could measured by Koch; ~90% by Maeck

Maeck **never published** final results in a peer-reviewed publication



Challenges

activation level / contamination of samples (NSLS-II can measure only low-activity radioactive samples) - it may take too long to irradiate and let samples decay

ability of the X-ray detector to resolve contributions in a complex spectrum (fission yields)

long irradiations \rightarrow correction for n-capture in fission products





start from **experimental data**, when available...

Very uneven distribution of measurements: some fission products have been measured 250x, others only once or twice and only for some fissioning systems



Number of experimental data points available for: ^{233,235,238}U,^{239,241}Pu,²³²Th (thermal, fast, high-energy)

24

