Brief Overview of Λ_c Related Physics and Results

Joseph Bertaux

Purdue University

November 22, 2024

Outline

1. Physics introduction

2. Status of Λ_C analysis in the field

3. Analysis

Standard Model



Standard Model of Elementary Particles

	three generations of matter (fermions) I	II	III	interactions / force carriers (bosons)	
mass charge spin	≈2.2 MeV/c² ⅔ ½ u up	≈1.28 GeV/c² ⅔ ½ c charm	≈173.1 GeV/c² ⅔ ½ t top	0 0 1 g gluon	≈125.11 GeV/c² 0 0 H higgs
QUARKS	≈4.7 MeV/c² −⅓ ½ d down	≈96 MeV/c² −⅓ ½ s strange	≈4.18 GeV/c² −⅓ ½ b bottom	0 0 1 γ photon	SCALAR BOSONS
	≈0.511 MeV/c² −1 ½ e electron	≈105.66 MeV/c² −1 ½ μ muon	≈1.7768 GeV/c² −1 ½ τ tau	≈91.19 GeV/c² 0 1 Z Z boson	
LEPTONS	<1.0 eV/c² 0 ½ ν_e electron neutrino	<0.17 MeV/c² 0 ½ ν_μ muon neutrino	<18.2 MeV/c² 0 ½ ν_τ tau neutrino	≈80.360 GeV/c² ±1 1 W W boson	GAUGE BOSONS VECTOR BOSONS

The standard model of particle physics. Image source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Standard_Model_of_Elementary_Particles.svg

QCD

Overview

- Proposed in 1964 as a solution to the Λ^{++}'s apparent violation of the Pauli exclusion principle (uuu)
- Needed another degree of freedom to distinguish 3 up quarks
    - 2 of which must have the same spin
- The additional property is color (red, green, blue)

Some takeaways

- No naturally occurring particles that have a non-zero color charge
    - Quarks are bound to color-neutral hadronic states (confinement)
- Gluons are self-interacting and this leads to an approximately distance-independent force ~ 1 GeV/fm
- QCD coupling α_S varies with energy scale
    - This allows perturbation theory to be applied at collider energies

Hadronization/Jets

1. q-$\bar{q}$ pairs are produced at high energy
2. q-$\bar{q}$ energy due to separation is sufficient to produce new q-$\bar{q}$ pairs
    - This repeats until new q-$\bar{q}$ pairs can be produced
3. The now lower energy quarks coalesce to form hadrons



QGP

- The prediction of the QGP state followed the discovery of the asymptotic freedom of the QCD coupling constant (1970s)
- This was first observed at RHIC in 2004
- Motivations for studying QGP:
  - Sate of the universe immediately following the Big Bang
  - Smallest specific viscosity (viscosity to entropy density)



Figure: (Adapted from [14])

QCD Phase space



Figure: (Adapted from [16])

1. Collision:
   - $T \sim \sqrt{s_{NN}}$
   - $\mu_B \sim q$-q separation (small)
2. Hadronization:
   - Energy loss from pair production
   - μ_B decreases (deconfinement)
3. Freeze-out
   - Too "cold" for q-$\bar{q}$ production
   - μ_B increases (now separated, confined hadrons)

Nuclear modification factor

One important quantity is the nuclear modification factor,

$$R_{AB} = \frac{1}{\langle T_{AB} \rangle} \left. \frac{dN^{x}_{AB}}{dp_T} \right/ \frac{d\sigma^{x}_{pp}}{dp_T} \tag{1}$$

This gives the production of x in collisions between species A, B relative to the yield in proton-proton collisions, where $\langle T_{AB} \rangle$ is the mean nuclear overlap function

$$\frac{1}{N^{inel}_{AB}} \frac{dN^{x}_{AB}}{dp_T} = \langle T_{AB} \rangle \frac{d\sigma^{x}_{pp}}{dp_T} \tag{2}$$

It gives the proper normalization for production in AB collisions considering there are more inelastic parton collisions there than in pp.

Λ Baryons

- Spin $\frac{1}{2}$, Isospin 0 family of baryons
  - Each contains one u quark and one d quark
  - (Recall isospin refers the u and d quark content of a hadron)
- A third quark is from a higher generation (s, c, b)



Λ^0, $\tau \sim 10^{-10}$s Λ_c^+, $\tau \sim 10^{-13}$s Λ_b^0, $\tau \sim 10^{-12}$s

- Theoretically, there is also be a Λ_t^+
  - But this will likely decay before it can hadronize

Outline

1. Physics introduction
2. Status of Λ_C analysis in the field
3. Analysis

Λ_C as a QCD probe

Studying hadrons containing heavy quarks is preferable, as

- they are produced in initial hard scatterings on a timescale shorter than QGP formation, $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{fm}/c)$
- they have a lower annihilation rate than lighter quarks
- their mass is sufficient such that low-p_T hard-scattering processes can be treated perturbatively

Baryon/meson ratios are analyzed to better understand hadronization and coalescence; in this case,

- $\Lambda_c^+ \ \rightarrow \ pK^-\pi^+$
- $D_0 \ \rightarrow \ K^-\pi^+$

Motivations for further study

Many probes are done at CMS (5.02 TeV) or RHIC (200 GeV) energies; we have data for:

- Λ_c/D_0 ratio in CMS and ALICE conditions in *pp*
- Λ_c/D_0 ratio in RHIC conditions in AuAu

Both saw enhancement in this baryon/meson ratio, but RHIC saw this to 2.1σ significance over predictions using PYTHIA8.3 So, another measurement is needed:

- Λ_c/D_0 ratio in RHIC conditions in *pp*

This will help determine if there is further Λ_c/D_0 enhancement which can be attributed to the coalescence process

Motivations for further study (cont'd)

Figures from the STAR and CMS papers showing Λ_c/D_0 enhancement over the PHYIA prediction



Figure: The STAR result (Figure 2 in [22])



Figure: The CMS result (Figure 7 in [18])

Challenges of studying Λ_c

There are practical difficulties associated with Λ_c reconstruction

- 3-daughter decay (as opposed to 2-daughter decay)
    - The most probable decay branch has three daughter particles $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$
    - The additional daughter candidate means there is an order of magnitude higher background than when reconstructing 2-daughter decays
- Short lifetime
    - Time of flight $\mathcal{O}(10^{-13}\text{ s})$
- Need a high-resolution vertex detector
    - the MVTX (Maps-based VerTeX detector) from sPHENIX
    - the ITS-2 from ALICE

Outline

1. Physics introduction
2. Status of Λ_C analysis in the field
3. Analysis

Training

- Methods:
  - ROOT's TMVA package (Multi-Variable Analysis)
  - BDTs (Boosted Decision Trees)
    - Each node of a decision tree evaluates an inequality which depends on a training variable
    - The truth value of the inequality determines which subtree is used for further decisions
    - For boosted trees, additional trees are added to minimize the residuals of the previous training epoch
  - Possibly use DNN (Deep Neural Network)
- Variables:
  - 2D decay length significance
  - 2D pointing angle
  - transverse momentum ratio of daughter tracks (p_T / σ_{p_T})

Kinematic variables

- 2D decay length significance
  - decay length / decay length error
  - Distinguishes secondary decays from primary vertex
- 2D pointing angle
  - angle between parent momentum and decay displacement
  - Identifies prompt decays
- "2D" meaning projection in x-y plane



Event Selection

For signal,

- Use Monte-Carlo generated simulation data with truth reconstruction
- Physical parameters of the reconstructed track are used in training when the track is a daughter of a Λ_c.

For background,

- Fit the mass spectrum of reconstructed Λ_c to a Gaussian distribution
- Choose data in the "sidebands" of this distribution ensures that reconstructed mass points from real data are unlikely to contain real Λ_c baryons



Plot showing sidebands from ([15]). The yield of a mass spectrum can be taken as the coefficient of the (normalized) signal probability distribution

References I

[1] S. Acharya and Acosta *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration).
Λ_c^+ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and in $\mathrm{p\text{-}Pb}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\mathrm{NN}}} = 5.02\ \mathrm{TeV}$.
Journal of High Energy Physics, 2018(4), April 2018.

[2] A. *et al.* Andronic.
Heavy-flavour and quarkonium production in the lhc era: from proton–proton to heavy-ion collisions.
The European Physical Journal C, 76(3), February 2016.

[3] Christian Bierlich, Smita Chakraborty, Nishita Desai, Leif Gellersen, Ilkka Helenius, Philip Ilten, Leif Lönnblad, Stephen Mrenna, Stefan Prestel, Christian T. Preuss, Torbjörn Sjöstrand, Peter Skands, Marius Utheim, and Rob Verheyen.
A comprehensive guide to the physics and usage of pythia 8.3, 2022.

References II

[4] Renè Brun and Fons Rademakers.
Root - an object-oriented data analysis framework.
1997.

[5] Wit Busza, Krishna Rajagopal, and Wilke van der Schee.
Heavy ion collisions: The big picture and the big questions.
Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science, 68(1):339–376, October 2018.

[6] Debashis Ghoshal.
Current Perspectives in High Energy Physics.
Hindustan Book Agency, New Dehli, India, 2005.

[7] David Griffiths.
Introduction to Elementary Particles.
WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, 2008.

References III

[8] David J. Gross and Frank Wilczek.
Ultraviolet behavior of non-abelian gauge theories.
Phys. Rev. Lett., 30:1343–1346, Jun 1973.

[9] Michael L. Miller, Klaus Reygers, Stephen J. Sanders, and Peter Steinberg.
Glauber modeling in high-energy nuclear collisions.
Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science, 57(1):205–243, November 2007.

[10] Kazuya Nagashima.
Enery loss of charm and bottom quarks in Quark-Gluon Plasma created in $Au+Au$ collisions at 200 GeV.
PhD thesis, Hiroshima University, 2019.

References IV

[11] H. David Politzer.
Reliable perturbative results for strong interactions?
Phys. Rev. Lett., 30:1346–1349, Jun 1973.

[12] F. Reidt.
Upgrade of the alice its detector.
Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, 1032:166632, June 2022.

[13] Berndt Mueller *et al.*
The relativistic heavy ion collider status and future, 2013.

[14] M. Arslandok *et al.*
Hot qcd white paper, 2023.

References V

[15] Soumik Chandra *et al.*
Production of prompt λ_c^+ baryons in proton-proton and lead-lead collisions at 5.02 TeV (2017/2018 data).

[16] Yasuyuki Akiba *et al.*
The hot qcd white paper: Exploring the phases of qcd at rhic and the lhc, 2015.

[17] I. Arsene *et al.* (BRAHMS Collaboration).
Quark–gluon plasma and color glass condensate at rhic? the perspective from the brahms experiment.
Nuclear Physics A, 757(1–2):1–27, August 2005.

References VI

[18] A. Tumasyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration).
Study of charm hadronization with prompt Λ_{c}^{+} baryons in proton-proton and lead-lead collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\mathrm{NN}}} = 5.02\ \mathrm{TeV}$.
Journal of High Energy Physics, 2024(1), January 2024.

[19] K. Adcox *et al.* (PHENIX Collaboration).
Formation of dense partonic matter in relativistic nucleus–nucleus collisions at rhic: Experimental evaluation by the phenix collaboration.
Nuclear Physics A, 757(1–2):184–283, August 2005.

[20] B.B.Back *et al.* (PHOBOS Collaboration).
The phobos perspective on discoveries at rhic.
Nuclear Physics A, 757(1–2):28–101, August 2005.

References VII

[21] J. Adams *et al.* (STAR Collaboration).
Experimental and theoretical challenges in the search for the quark–gluon plasma: The star collaboration's critical assessment of the evidence from rhic collisions.
Nuclear Physics A, 757(1–2):102–183, August 2005.

[22] J. Adams *et al.* (STAR Collaboration).
First measurement of Λ_c baryon production in $\mathrm{Au+Au}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_\mathrm{NN}} = 200~\mathrm{GeV}$.
Physical Review Letters, 124(17), May 2020.