# Cold QCD and Spin measurements

INTT workfest, Korea University, Nov 18-29 Ralf Seidl (RIKEN)



## Outline

- Left-right asymmetries
- Transverse spin and momentum structure and effects
  - Transversity and tensor charge
  - Sivers function and other TMDs
- Access to transverse spin effects in  $p^+p(A)$  collisions
- Possibilities with sPHENIX
- Summary





# Transverse spin and TMDs



11/26/2024 INTT workshop

Ralf Seidl: transvserse spin

## Transverse Single spin asymmetries (TSSAs)

• Left-Right asymmetries :



 $A_N = \frac{1}{P} \frac{N^L - N^R}{N^L + N^R}$ 

- Relative to the polarized proton spin direction more particles get produced to the left than to the right wrt. spin direction
- The cross section is spin (and azimuthal angle) dependent
- Initially expected to be zero in perturbative QCD (helicity-flip of nearly massless quarks) - G. L.
  Kane, J. Pumplin, and W. Repko *PRL*41, 1689 (1978):

 $A_N \propto \frac{m_q \alpha_S}{P_T} \approx 0.001$ 

#### Transverse single spin asymmetries (TSSA)

- Large left-right asymmetries A<sub>N</sub> seen in polarized p+p collisions from low energies up to RHIC energies at forward rapidities
- Both initial state and final state effects can contribute in forward pion asymmetries
- other origins (diffractive) could potentially also contribute
- A<sub>N</sub>s in p+A collisions of interest for low x behavior of cold nuclear matter → A dependence of single spin asymmetries?





#### **Sivers Function**

#### Sivers: Phys.Rev.D 41 (1990) 83

- Proton–spin quark orbit (k<sub>T</sub>) correlation (relation to orbital angular momentum)
- Transverse momentum imbalance in nucleon creates asymmetry
- Suggested by Sivers (1990), initially dismissed by Collins, resurrected by Brodsky (2002), Collins → special process dependence (sign change DY ↔ SIDIS)



Both effects measured separately for quarks in SIDIS, FFs in e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>

#### **Collins Function (x Transversity)**

Collins: Nucl. Phys. B 396 (1993) 161

- Quark spin hadron transverse momentum correlation (in fragmentation)
- Preferred direction of hadron creates asymmetry
- Analyzer for quark transversity (transverse quark spin) → access to tensor charge (Lattice, BSM?)
- A polarized (ie signed) fragmentation function



#### Transverse quark polarization

Unpolarized distribution function q(x)

Helicity distribution function  $\Delta q(x)$ 

Transversity distribution function  $\delta q(x)$ 

Sum of quarks with parallel and antiparallel polarization relative to proton spin

(well known from Collider DIS experiments)

Difference of quarks with parallel and antiparallel polarization relative to longitudinally polarized proton (known from fixed target (SI)DIS experiments)

Difference of quarks with parallel and antiparallel polarization relative to transversely polarized proton (first results from HERMES and COMPASS – with the help of Belle)

7



11/26/2024 INTT workshop

I

## Transverse Spin and TMDs

TMD: transverse momentum dependent distribution and fragmentation functions, all except  $f_1,g_1$  and  $h_1$  cancel upon integration over  $k_T$ 

• Transversity  $h_{1,q}(x)$ • Sivers Function  $f_{1T,q}^{\perp}(x,k_T)$ • Boer Mulders function  $h_{1T,q}^{\perp}(x,k_T)$ 

#### S.Pisano, Transversity 14



Closely related:

- Higher Twist correlations (TMD moments)  $T_F(x,x)$
- TMD FFs (Collins, polarizing FFs, etc)  $H_{1,q}^{\perp(1)}(z)$



## Early HERMES findings (ca 2002)

**HERMES**:

- $ep^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e'hX$
- Both azimuthal modulations related to Sivers effect  $(sin(\phi-\phi_s))$ and Collins effect  $(\sin(\phi + \phi_s))$ nonzero
- Large, negative Collins effect for negative pions hard to explain in u quark dominance



#### Collins fragmentation in $e^+e^-$ : Angles and Cross section $cos(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$ method



11/26/2024 INTT workshop

Ralf Seidl: transvserse spin

## Belle Collins asymmetries

- Red points :  $cos(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$  moment of Unlike sign pion pairs over like sign pion pair ratio : A<sup>UL</sup>
- Green points :  $cos(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$ moment of Unlike sign pion pairs over any charged pion pair ratio :  $A^{UC}$
- Collins fragmentation is large effect
- Consistent with SIDIS indication of sign change between favored and disfavored Collins FF



RS et al (Belle), PRL96: 232002 PRD 78:032011, Erratum D86:039905



#### Global Fit of Collins FF and Transversity (HERMES, COMPASS d, Belle)



- Latest SIDIS data not included inFIT
- TMD evolution poorly known
- K<sub>τ</sub> dependence from Assumption
- Interference FF (IFF) as independent Cross check

12

107,2009

Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.191:98-



### Latest SIDIS data

- Final Collins asymmetries of HERMES and COMPASS (<2017) published, including kaons
- More deuteron by COMPASS (<2023)
- Transverse target data expected from JLAB in near future



**SIKEN** 

### Transversity in proton collisions

- Nonzero Collins asymmetries (hadron in jets) at central rapidities at 200 and 500 GeV
- Substantial theoretical progress for hadron in jet measurements
  - unpolarized: Kaufmann et al.
  - polarized Kang et al.
- For roughly same x and kt similar size → evolution effects moderate?
- But generally slightly larger than global fits from SIDIS/e+e-
- More to come from sPHENIX in near future

#### STAR: Phys.Rev.D 106 (2022) 072010, 2022



RIKEN

Interference fragmentation as alternative Transversity channel

#### Interference fragmentation function $H_1^{\triangleleft}$

J. Collins, S.Heppelmann, G. Ladinsky, Nucl. Phys. B, 420 (1994) 565

- Di-hadron vs single hadron
  - Collinear factorization is shown to be valid → TMD factorization is less certain in p+p (Rogers, Mulders, arXiv:1010.2977)
  - No model uncertainties from transverse momentum dependence of FF and PDF
  - No need to separate Sivers/Collins effects as in single hadron measurement
  - Completely independent measurement
  - Doesn't need jet reconstruction
  - Evolution is known
  - But unpolarized and polarized di-hadron fragmentation functions needed



15

11/26/2024 INTT workshop

Ralf Seidl: transvserse spin

(couriesy A. Ducchellu)

### SIDIS IFF measurments





#### Also Belle fragmentation measurements available





 $h_{1,q}(x) H_{1,q}^{h_1h_2}(z, M_{hh})$ 

### STAR IFF results

- Now both 200 and 510 GeV results finalized
- Both with substantial nonzero effects at:
  - Forward rapdities
  - Higher Pt
  - Masses around 1 GeV
- First theory predictions from SIDIS+Belle consistent with magnitude
  - $\rightarrow$  will help improve
    - transversity uncertainties
  - →but gluon DIFFs not well known





### Global fits of Dihadron FFs



JAMDiFF (w/ LQCD)

JAMDiFF (no LQCD)

**SIKEN** 

Anselmino et al. *Eur. Phys. J.A* 39 (2009) 89

#### Sivers Function measurements

- Early fits of SIDIS data show opposite signs, d quarks possibly larger
- Recent updates including evolution higher orders, and STAR W data





 $f_{1T,q}^{\perp}(x,k_T)$ 

(2021) 112002

## New COMPASS deuteron data

 $xh_1$ 

Ralf Sei

COMPASS: PRL 133 (2024) 101903

- Old COMPASS μ+d data consistent with zero due to cancellations (Collins + transversity, u and d Sivers)
- Larger statistics show slightly negative Collins asymmetries for h<sup>+</sup>
- Improved sensitivity to d quarks compared to e+p → d transversity negative



#### Sivers Sign change

COMPASS: polarized NH<sub>3</sub> target + 160 GeV  $\pi^-$  beam  $\rightarrow$  Sensitivity to u quark Sivers and sign change





#### Towards the Sivers sign change

- STAR: Using recoil method reconstruct W transverse momentum and azimuthal asymmetry
- First indication of expected sign change! Weaker after including 2017 data
- Evolution effects could reduce size of asymmetries
- E1039: fixed target polarized NH<sub>3</sub> target, unpolarized 125GeV p beam → Sensitivity to ū Sivers

#### STAR: PRL 116 (2016) 132301



 $f_{1T,q}^{\perp DY}(x,k_T) \stackrel{f}{=} -f_{1T,q}^{\perp DIS}(x,k_T)$ 

#### TSSAs at RHIC→Quark-gluon dynamics!

- Sivers and Collins effects rely on an explicitly transverse momentum dependent (TMD) framework where two scales are observed: high scale (typically Q<sup>2</sup>) and intermediate scale (transverse momentum  $P_T << Q^2$ )
- In inclusive pp measurements usually only one, hard scale accessible (transverse momentum  $P_T$ )
- → requires higher Twist, collinear framework, contributions are multi-parton correlators (both in initial state and final state)
- Both frameworks found to be related via moments over intrinsic transverse momenta

11/26/2024 INTT workshop

Ralf Seidl: transvserse spin

q-g correlation (↔ quark Sivers)

 $p^{\uparrow}(p)$ 

RIKEN

 $(x_2 - x_1)p^+$ 

 $n^{\dagger}(n)$ 



g-g correlation (trigluon ↔ gluon Sivers)

 $P_h, S_h$ 

q-g FF correlation (↔ Collins)

 $P_h/z$ 

## Single spin asymmetry contributions in p+p

unpol proton FS particle pol proton  $\approx \sum \phi_{a/A}^{(3)}(x_1, x_2, s) \otimes \phi_{b/B}(x') \otimes D_{c \to C}(z)$ 

 $\sum \delta q_{a/A}(x,s) \otimes \phi_{b/B}^{(3)}(x_1',x_2') \otimes D_{c \to C}(z)$ a,b,c

 $+ \sum \delta q_{a/A}(x,s) \otimes \phi_{b/B}(x') \otimes D_{c \to C}^{(3)}(z_1,z_2)$ a,b,c

a,b/c initial/final parton flavors A,B/C initial/final hadron/particle types

Efremov, Teryaev Phys.Lett.B 348 (1995) 577 Qiu, Sterman Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 014004 Kanazawa, Koike Phys.Lett.B 478 (2000) 121-126 Metz, Pitonyak Phys.Lett.B723 (2013) 365-370

- Generally three pieces to p+p single transverse spin asymmetries:
  - Twist three correlation functions (quarks or gluons) in polarized proton ↔ Sivers function
  - Twist three correlation function in unpolarized proton (with transversity)  $\leftrightarrow$  Boer Mulders function
  - Twist three correlation in **fragmentation**  $\leftrightarrow$  Collins function



11/26/2024 INTT workshop

a.b.c

 $A_N$ 

#### Direct photon measurements: the golden channel

- As photon interacts only electromagnetically there are no final state effects  $\rightarrow$  only probe initial state effects
- Hard process contributions strongly favor quark-gluon interaction (very little quark-quark contributions)
  - Excellent probe of the tri-gluon correlator
- But EM interaction costs you  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{EM}}}$  $\rightarrow$  statistically difficult







 Also not all photons produced directly → need to understand and measure Background and its asymmetry



## First direct photon A<sub>N</sub>s

- First direct photon A<sub>N</sub> extracted at RHIC
- Mostly sensitive to initial state effects (no fragmentation) → quark-gluon and gluon-gluon correlation functions
- Power to constrain gluongluon correlation function well, since quark impact expected to be small

#### Phys.Rev.Lett. 127 (2021) 162001





## Gluon dynamics via direct photons in sPHENIX

#### TSSA of prompt photon EMCal-based trigger



sPHENIX BUP2021 [sPH-TRG-2021-001]



• Substantial improvement possible with sPHENIX



# Where to go from here? Global fits on transverse quark-gluon structure



#### Cammarota et al, PRD 102 (2020) 054002



RHIC, SIDIS, DY included

- Recent central rapidity PHENIX results ( $\pi$ , $\eta$ ,Heavy flavor electons, direct photons) not yet included
- Impact on gluon Sivers function (tri-gluon correlator) expected



## A dependence of A<sub>N</sub>s

- Asymmetries consistent with A<sup>1/3</sup> dependence as (initially) predicted by some CGC related nuclear effects (Hatta`17)
- No A dependence is ruled out
- Also consistent with suppression with increasing number of binary collisions
- However, probed x and scale too large for expected CGC effects! (S.Benic and Y.Hatta, PRD99, 094012 - Twist-3 fragmentation + gluon saturation)



30

**SIKEN** 

### Transverse spin asymmetries in pA

2015: p<sup>+</sup>+A collision at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ 

Several theory predictions of diminished pA asymmetries due to nonlinear low-x behavior (either final or initial state effects)

- No substantial reduction seen in 2015 STAR data
- However, origin of A<sub>N</sub> asymmetries still unclear





#### Luminosities

- Calorimeter triggers:
  - Up to 107 pb<sup>-1</sup> (lower jet p<sub>T</sub> thresholds prescaled)
  - Some INTT/MVTX information available
- Calorimeter triggers with full tracking system data
  - 13.3 pb<sup>-1</sup>
  - Lower momenta prescaled
- Streaming readout
  - Minimum Bias events, full tracking information, calorimetry information not available
  - 2.9 pb<sup>-1</sup>





# $\pi^0$ / $\eta$ A<sub>N</sub> measurements (higher twist correlators - initial + final states)

Full Jet trigger sample

- Requires only prerequisites + EMCAL information
- Signal and BG from mass peak fits
- Possible acceptance inefficiencies (hot/dead towers) cancel in asymmetries
- Use of sqrt formula available (relative luminosity just as cross check)
- At least simple cross check of cross section
- However, only confirmation of very precise PHENIX results

#### PRD 103 (2021) 052009





# Jet $A_N$ (higher twist correlators – initial state only)

- Likely first as calorimeter jets
- could be expanded to particle flow jets (requires tracking information)

#### PRD 106 (2022) 072010



**SIKEN** 

34

**Full Jet trigger** 

sample

## Full Jet trigger sample

## First direct photon A<sub>N</sub>s

- First direct photon A<sub>N</sub> extracted at RHIC
- Mostly sensitive to initial state effects (no fragmentation) → quark-gluon and gluon-gluon correlation functions
- Power to constrain gluon-gluon correlation function well, since quark impact expected to be small

#### Phys.Rev.Lett. 127 (2021) 162001





# Collins II: charged hadron in Jet azimuthal asymmetries

#### Jet triggered + full tracking detectors sample

- Use calorimeter jets for jet reconstruction
- Reconstruct charged hadrons
- Obtain azimuthal transverse single spin asymmetries around jet axis



63 →13 pb<sup>-1</sup> (>10 GeV jets)

 $0.6 \rightarrow ?$ 



#### Full Jet trigger

## Collins I: $\pi^0$ in jet Azimuthal asymmetries<sup>sample</sup>

- Use calorimeter jets for jet reconstruction
- Reconstruct neutral pions from Calorimeters
- Obtain azimuthal transverse single spin asymmetries around jet axis
- Asymmetries will be smaller as favored/disfavored Collins and u,d Transversity will partially cancel each other
- Also combinatorial background



SIKEN

# Di-jet q<sub>T</sub> spin asymmetries (jet charge separated)

- Use di-jet imbalance and calculate single spin asymmetry
- Sensitive to spin dependent intrinsic transverse momentum k<sub>t</sub> kick (from Sivers effect)
- First indications seen by STAR after enhancing up or down flavors via jet charge selection
- Model-dependent extraction of g 10 up, down and g+sea contributions



[Deg]

-0.05

-0.1

20

b)

*u*-guark

d-guark

+ gluon & sea

Jet triggered + full

tracking detectors

sample

0 0

track p

jet p

STAR

PYTHIA 6.4.28 (Perugia 2012 Tune)

all the tracks with pT>0.8GeV

 $\cos(\varphi_{\rm b}) > 0$ 

STAR: 2305.10359

0<sup>+</sup> tagging 0<sup>-</sup> tagging

average

stat.uncert

(average)

O =

svst.uncert

Jet1

0.15 a) STAR 2012+2015 p-p 200 GeV

+z & -z beam combined

dijet p\_ > 6 GeV/c & 4 GeV/d

ntotal

## Photon-Jet $q_T$ asymmetries

Jet triggered + full tracking detectors sample

- Similar to di-jet qT asymmetries, but cleaner quark-gluon hard interaction
- Likely also cleaner jet-charge <-> quark flavor association



# Di-hadron asymmetries (Interference tracking detectors sample fragmentation – quark tensor charge/transversity)

- Requires oppositely charged track pair or charged track +  $\pi^0$  pair
- Azimuthal angular modulations of single spin asymmetries as function of inv mass, pair  $p_T$ , and  $x_F/\eta$
- Partially cross check of STAR results,  $\pi^0$  track pairs new
- Corresponding p+p cross sections unknown (needed together with Belle results for gluon FF)





## Streaming readout sample

## D<sup>0</sup> A<sub>№</sub>

- In sPHENIX possiblity to actually measure D meson asymmetries
- Ordering of asymmetries for D and Dbar will constrain tri-gluon correlations further (possibility to identify charges via kaon pion separation?)

sPHENIX BUP2021 [sPH-TRG-2021-001]

TSSA of prompt D<sup>0</sup>→πK
Enabled by streaming readout





### Summary

- (transverse) spin physics accesses the spin-orbit correlations in the nucleon
- Sizeable effects are known, but not well measured
- RHIC can access these effects via higher twist
- Plenty of interesting possibilities with current p<sup>↑</sup>+p data, potentially more with p<sup>↑</sup>+A

