



1

Check missing hits in tracking

Shujie Li, Joe Xu ePIC tracking++ meeting Sept 5, 2024

Two types of missing hits in tracking:

- **hole**: discontinuity of trajectory (does not include endpoints) b/c no tracker hits available on that tracking surface.
- **outlier**: hits available but severely deviates from projected trajectory therefore not used in reconstruction (default cut: chi2>15)



First look of Missing hits:

- **holes** \rightarrow # of available tracker hits per particle \rightarrow geometry, DD4hep simulation
- **outliers** \rightarrow # of tracker hits used in track reconstruction \rightarrow tracking algorithm and cuts
- Simulation samples:
 - 10k single pi+ at 5 GeV, uniform in phi and eta:
 - central: -0.2<eta<0.2
 - forward: 2.2<eta<2.4
 - eic-shell version 24.08, standard ePIC geometry
 - realistic seeding



- forward region:
 - missed the innermost vertex barrel
 - 8 disks (5 Si + 2 MPGD + 1 TOF)

Central region -0.2<eta<0.2 Missing tracker hits:



- MPGD lost >10% hits after digitization [https://github.com/eic/epic/issues/774]
- outer MPGD is known to have acceptance gap.

Tracking algorithms

. . .

Central region

-0.2<eta<0.2

Hits not used in track reconstruction



some barrel layers can provide more than one hits through the overlapped areas



detailed study on hits chi2/residual ongoing

Forward region 2.2<eta<2.4

Missing tracker hits:

Detector	Zmin [mm]	Zmax [mm]	# of event with sim hits	# of event with digi hits
Si disk 1	240	260	9996	9996
Si disk 2	440	460	9998	9998
Si disk 3	690	710	9995	9995
Si disk 4	990	1010	9989	9989
Si disk 5	1340	1360	9981	9981
MPGD disk 1	1480	1500	9966	7702
MPGD disk 2	1600	1620	9950	7758
TOF disk	1840	1880	10476	10475 —

lost hits after digitization

TOF has two (overlapped) detector planes with ~2cm separation in z



6

Forward region 2.2<eta<2.4

Hits not used in track reconstruction



Conclusion

- >10% MPGD hits lost in digitization, check the threshold?
- more study needed to understand the hit chi2/residual

