Effect of Poisson smearing and fitting the longitudinal shower profile

Sep 17 (Tue) Minho Kim

Total Npe distribution



- We would like to study how much the Poisson smearing worsens the energy resolution.
- For the simplest case, we can study the smearing of the total Npe.

Effect of the Poisson smearing



Because each Npe in the left histogram will have ~0.9224% of smearing, the Poissonsmeared total Npe distribution will have about sqrt(2.03*2.03 + 0.92*0.92) = 2.23% resolution.

.

Effect of the Poisson smearing



- The result is comparable. The difference is because the total Npe is not always 11754 and the distribution shape is not Gaussian.
- Even after the Poisson smearing was applied for each ScFiRecHit, the resolution was almost the same.
- It is reasonable that the Poisson smearing ~0.25% worsens the resolution for 10 GeV.

Effect of the threshold



• The finite thresholds slightly worsens the resolution.

Fitting the longitudinal shower profile



- It is known that the average shower profile can be described by the Γ -distribution.
- It could complement the energy splitting because there should be correlations between particle energy, shower max position, and size of the profile.

Fitting the longitudinal shower profile



- It seems that the one layer-equivalent radiation length is too short to see a smooth shower profile and fit it.
- If we group two layers each for fitting, we may have some information to complement the energy splitting.

Plan

- Will study the energy splitting using the AstroPix information and test if the shower profile shape can complement it.
- Will better understand the issues on the energy and position resolution plots and solve them.
 - ex) The events where the number of the first imaging layer hits is 1.

Behavior of the energy and position reconstruction performances taking into account the experimental structure.

How to reduce the effect of the magnetic field when we reconstruct position.