Backward Hadronic Calorimeter update

Acceptance study

Leszek Kosarzewski

The Ohio State University

ePIC Calorimetry meeting 18.9.2024





- Simulation setup
- Acceptance study





- nHCal only geometry used (no collar, oculus, flux return) to study geometric acceptance
- avoids secondaries created in the material in front
- Shooting single π^- only in 180 < heta < 120 deg at kinetic energy $E=1,2,3\,{
 m GeV}$
- Looked at primary π^- only

Acceptance study $\pi^- E = 1 \text{ GeV}$



- nHCal only geometry used (no collar, oculus, flux return) to study geometric acceptance
- · avoids secondaries created in the material in front
- $\pi^- E = 1 \text{ GeV}$
- Acceptance $-4.16 < \eta < -1.16$
- · Ignored scattered points, because of interactions with air
- Checked isDecayedInCalorimeter==true does not make sense and is probably buggy

Acceptance study $\pi^- E = 5 \text{ GeV}$



- nHCal only geometry used (no collar, oculus, flux return) to study geometric acceptance
- · avoids secondaries created in the material in front
- $\pi^- E = 5 \text{ GeV}$
- Acceptance $-4.14 < \eta < -1.16$
- · Ignored scattered points, because of interactions with air
- Checked isDecayedInCalorimeter==true does not make sense and is probably buggy

Acceptance study $\pi^- E = 10 \text{ GeV}$



- nHCal only geometry used (no collar, oculus, flux return) to study geometric acceptance
- · avoids secondaries created in the material in front
- $\pi^- E = 10 \text{ GeV}$
- Acceptance $-4.12 < \eta < -1.16$
- · Ignored scattered points, because of interactions with air
- Checked isDecayedInCalorimeter==true does not make sense and is probably buggy

Acceptance from geometry



- Acceptance calculated based on the geometry dimensions
 - Front geometry limit: $-4.03 < \eta < -1.18$
 - Back geometry limit: $-4.14 < \eta < -1.27$
 - Clusters: $-3.95 < \eta < -1.25$

Conclusions

- Hit contributions give reasonable value
- Acceptance $-4.16 < \eta < -1.16$
- Checked isDecayedInCalorimeter==true is probably buggy

BACKUP