# INTT Seeding Tracking Performance Study

RIKEN Takuya Kumaoka

Contraction T.Kumaoka





### Aim of this study

### **Improve electron tracking using INTT + calorimeters**

< Final goal > Identify the particles using E/p and reconstruct  $J/\psi$ 

<**My study goal**> Evaluate how improve the p<sub>T</sub> resolution by including the calorimeter hits.

We expect the  $p_{\rm T}$  can be described by a magnetic shift angle ( $d\phi$ ) equation. The coefficients ( $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ) is estimated using single electron simulation.  $\rightarrow$  The function performance is evaluated by:  $p_{\rm T,reco} - p_{\rm T,truth}$ 

 $p_{\mathrm{T.reco}}$ 





- $\rightarrow$  By adding calorimeter hit point, the tracking quality is expected to improve.





## My Study Informations

### **Study Wiki Page:**

https://wiki.sphenix.bnl.gov/index.php?title=INTT AnalysisWorkshop2024 TakuyaKumaoka

### Git link of this study:

- Particle Generation Simulation Codes
- InttSeedTrackPerformance/src/InttSeedTracking.cxx

- INTT Seed Tracking Performance Estimation Codes - It will be explained in this slides of the result part

https://github.com/sPHENIX-Collaboration/INTT/blob/main/general\_codes/tkumaoka/InttSeedingTrackDev/ InttSeedTrackPerformance/src/InttSeedTrackPerformance.cxx

### How to run this study codes

https://indico.bnl.gov/event/24622/contributions/99967/attachments/58840/101806/2024Dec16 Kumaoka HowToRunMyCode.pdf





https://github.com/sPHENIX-Collaboration/INTT/tree/main/general\_codes/tkumaoka/InttSeedingTrackDev/ParticleGen

- INTT Seed Tracking Codes 
It will be explained in this slides of the algorithm part https://github.com/sPHENIX-Collaboration/INTT/blob/main/general\_codes/tkumaoka/InttSeedingTrackDev/



# **INTT Seeding Algorithm**





§ 2025/01/01 Meeting @ Online \$





# **INTT + EMcal Hit Matching Algorithm**

(2) Caclulate  $d\phi/dr$  (outer INTT - inner INTT) < <u>TempCalcdPhidR</u>> (3) Searching for an EMCal cluster (> 0.1 MeV) having the highest energy in the  $\phi_{\text{Cal}}$  range  $\phi_{\text{INTT}}$  - 5° <  $\phi_{\text{Cal}}$  <  $\phi_{\text{INTT}}$  +  $d\phi_{\text{Cal}}$  + 10°  $d\phi_{\text{Cal}} = d\phi/dr * (R_{\text{EMCal}} - R_{\text{INTT}}) < \text{TempInttCalMatch} >$ 

Contraction T.Kumaoka





2025/01/01Meeting @ Online 🖇



**5** /10

# **MVTX Hit Matching Algorithm**

### (1) Draw a circle using three hit points (iINTT + oINTT + EMcal) (a) No fit approach (The last page result) (b) Use ROOT fit <<u>SagittaRByCircleFit</u>> <<u>RoughEstiSagittaCenter3Point</u>> $(y = \sqrt{R^2 - (x - x_c)^2 - y_c})$

(2) Select Closest Points of MVTX <<u>AddMvtxHits</u>>

 $(x_c, y_c)$ 





2025/01/01Meeting @ Online 🖇

### **p**<sub>T</sub> calculation way1

Sagitta  $p_{T}$  equation  $p_{\rm T}[{\rm GeV}] = qBR$ = 0.3B[T]R[m]

Fitting the circle equation  $(y = \sqrt{R^2 - (x - x_s)^2 + y_s^2})$ for the three points (inner INTT, outer INTT, and EMCal) and estimate the *R*.

Using this R, the  $p_T$  can be calculated.







### 2025/01/01Meeting @ Online 🖇

7 /10 🕟

## Acculate $p_{\rm T}$ Estimation Idea

We expect the  $p_{\rm T}$  can be described by a magnetic shift length (L) equation.

Now, I am estimating the coefficients ( $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ) using single electron simulation.  $\rightarrow$  I need to estimate the function performance.  $p_{\rm T,reco} - p_{\rm T,truth}$ 

 $p_{\mathrm{T,reco}}$ 

Contraction T.Kumaoka





- We do not know the truth emission direction.



### **Vertex Determination**

**x, y position:** <<u>CrossLineCircle</u>> The cross point of the circle drown by the hits connection and the line between the (0, 0) and the center of circle.

### z position:

The cross point of the line drown by the hits and the horizontal line.







y (

9 /10 🔊

# **Tracking Performance Results**





\$2025/01/01 Meeting @ Online \$





### Input Event File

Simulation: Single particle gun + GEANT4 → output: DST file format

- Inject electron  $p_T$ : 0-10 GeV/c
- Inject range:  $\phi$ :  $-\pi$  to  $\pi$ ,  $\eta$ : -1 to 1
- GEANT4 Setting: Magnet 1.4 T Detector: MVTX, INTT, TPC, EMCal, iHCal, oHCal







2025/01/01 Meeting @ Online 🖇



# **sPHENIX** Magnetic Field

### **Document Location**

Contraction T.Kumaoka

https://indico.bnl.gov/event/7081/attachments/25527/38284/ sphenix tdr 20190513.pdf



Figure 12. Field Map of the sPHENIX Solenoid



### **ROOT file Location**

/cvmfs/sphenix.sdcc.bnl.gov/calibrations/sphnxpro/cdb/FIELDMAP\_GAP/65/ a9/65a930ed6de9c0e049cd0f3ef226e6b4\_sphenix3dbigmapxyz\_gap\_rebuild\_v2.root



Different behavior: However, I do not know what is this magnetic field.



# $\eta$ Dependency of the Emission Angle Shift d $\phi$ by Magnetic Field

There is a possibility that the bending of a track by magnetic field is depends on  $\eta$ . a. The magnetic field is not completely uniform for  $\eta$ . b. Flight length in the higher  $\eta$  region is longer than the smaller  $\eta$  one.



The  $\eta$  dependency seems negligible.

Contraction T.Kumaoka

### **pT** resolution







 $p_{\rm T} = p0 + \frac{p1}{x} + \frac{p2}{x^2} = -0.085 - 0.26/x - 0.0019/x^2$ 



Contraction T.Kumaoka

🖇 2025/01/01 Meeting @ Online 🖇

## $p_{\rm T}$ resolution vs $p_{\rm T}$

### MVTX+iINTT+oINTT+EMCal ordinal way: $p_{\rm T}[{\rm GeV}] = qBR$



For the pT fluctuation, the fitting function way is clearly better than the ordinal way.

 $dp_{T}/p_{T}$ 

10 T.Kumaoka

# Fitting Function way: $p_{\rm T} = p0 + \frac{p1}{d\phi} + \frac{p2}{d\phi^2}$



Probably it made by mis-tracking (reco-truth)/truth

2025/01/01Meeting @ Online 🖇

## $p_{\rm T}$ resolution with fitting function

### MVTX+iINTT+oINTT+EMCal



The comparison is not fair because the fitting does not work well. While the shape seems sharper than the old way.

\multimap T.Kumaoka





2025/01/01Meeting @ Online 🖇

# $p_{T}$ resolution with fitting function (pT slice)



The fit function does not work well in low  $p_T$  region, and the peak also non-zero. I have to check the fit function more.

Contraction T.Kumaoka



# $d\theta$ (reco - truth)

### MVTX+iINTT+oINTT+EMCal



 $\theta$  affect to the momentum calculation ( $p = p_T / \sin \theta$ ). It is necessary to improve the quality using more sophisticated algorithm.





### dE

### The track energy use the EMCal + iHCal + oHCal. Only the HCal cluster which locate on the closest $\phi_{ m EMCal}$ is selected.





ೂ T.Kumaoka



# It is necessary to cluster or merge around calorimeter clusters or towers.

2025/01/01Meeting @ Online 🖇

# E/p

Contraction T.Kumaoka

### iINTT+oINTT+EMCal



🖇 2025/01/01 Meeting @ Online 🖇





Remain Tasks

§ 2025/01/01 Meeting @ Online \$





### Remanings

- 1. Implement a more sophisticated hit matching algorithm.
- 2. Study the calorimeter clustering algorithm.
- 3. Estimate tracking efficiency.
- 4. Run other particles simulation. (Hadrons)
- 5. Multi-particles simulation (ex: PYTHIA)





2025/01/01 Meeting @ Online 🖇



## **Tracking Fail Events Ratio**

**Event Ratio** (1) Only single INTT Cluster (only iINTT or oINTT): ~7%

(2) Matching fail by the algorithm reason: ~2%

(3) Large  $p_T$  tracking: ~8% (by mostly decayed events)







# (2) Fail Track Event Display Examples (~20%)







S 2025/01/01 Meeting @ Online S

# (3) Large dpT Events Examples (~8%)

Contraction T.Kumaoka





**26** /10 🕟

### Clastering way and the postion is very sensitive for the $p_{\rm T}$ estimation.

→ Need to modify clustering or shift position.







# **Calorimeter Clustering code**

RawClusterContainer (← Now I am using): https://sphenix-collaboration.github.io/doxygen/d6/d12/classRawClusterContainer.html

### RawCluster:

https://sphenix-collaboration.github.io/doxygen/d2/d4e/classRawCluster.html

I think the algorithm making calorimeter cluster is written in the "ClusterBuilder" source codes . However, there are three codes having the name "ClusterBuilder"...

The RawClusterPositionCorrection seems important for tracking... However, I have not yet read it.

> https://github.com/sPHENIX-Collaboration/coresoftware/tree/ 02804b5a691b92395e4aae83726ae2c04979c0e2/offline/packages/CaloReco







2025/01/01 Meeting @ Online 🖇

## **Compare between EMCal Tower and Cluster**

coun

count

Single electron generator simulation injection  $p_{T}$ : 0.5-1.5 [GeV/*c*]  $\eta = 0, |\phi| < \pi$ 

**Calorimeter Tower** ("TOWERINFO\_CALIB\_CEMC") <TowerInfo>

Calorimeter Cluster ("CLUSTER\_CEMC") <RawCluster>

\multimap T.Kumaoka

2025/01/01 Meeting @ Online 🖇

