

Summary of PHENIX comments on DAPP



Y. Akiba, M. Potekhin, Ch. Nattrass, G. David

- (Intro) Should BRAHMS and PHOBOS be included?
- (2.2) Reprocessing mute for PHENIX without a HUGE effort to simplify data structures
- (2.3) AI: the proposal is AI-heavy w/o ensuring proper training material and supervision
- (3.4) User access: User Data Portal == Open Data Portal? If so, make it explicit
- (5.1) Staffing: how much FTE in the experiments/universities? What's the funding scheme for them?
Data and knowledge preservation are EQUALLY important, one w/o the other is useless,
funding should be linked ab initio ("it will be solved later via other channels" is not a responsible option)
- (7) Accessibility: PHENIX still needs a more robust metadata system

General remarks:

DAPP is overweight on SDCC requirements and needs, underweight on experimental ones
need for "holistic" funding plan for BNL and universities

Little discussion of the very different needs of the 3 experiments (since they are at very different stages of their life-cycle)