Akitomo:
* DAC0=30 is good enough for the online chip/channel mask study.
* Raul has already unmasked chips at FELIX level, but deed to check.
* The online mask is saved as text file, keeping old version for the record. Need to write document.
* We have to use the same DAC0 value for all modules to make offline analyses simple.
* Semi-online plots at 1008 framework is kind a redundant. The online plot for quick feedback should be implemented in the regular online plot for shift crews.
* For automated plots at SDCC, it would be nice if the run dependence of the beam collision point, beam spot, x, y, z are shown.
* Make check list of status, to do list, for Run25. And make a simple (sustainable) "plan of the day page".
* Mix-up event offline QA plot macro has been committed to github, but not working yet.
* Current INTT offline QA plots were not developed by INTT group, but just copy from mvtx's plots.
* Jaein will summarize the status of on-going offline QA plot works.
 * Tracking group is worrying about INTT mix-up event.
* It is timing mis-alignment issue but nothing to do with the mix-up event.
* Joseph/Cheng-Wei will discuss to understand what is the real Tony's concern.
* What is the definition of mix-up event? Looks like everyone has different definitions...
* How to identify mix-up hit? We cannot tell which hit is coming from mix-up event.
* Recently Mai studied and found that hits from mix-up event are always stored at the first part of event data.
* Mai/Takashi will report the status of the Event mix-up analysis.
* Jakub showed the distribution of BCO diff of INTT clusters between layer 1 and 2, and it is non-zero value?

Ryota:
* In summary page, what is the difference between the bullet 3 and 4?
** The bullet 3 is about fixed vertex, and the bullet 4 is varied vertex.
* Why 97% efficiency in single muon simulation?
** One possibility is that my algorithm is still incorrect, or it is just maximum performance using only 2-layer + vertex.
* You have too narrow windows. Why don't you try up to 5mm window?
* Is there any gap dead area in the simulation? Fiducial cut?
** Just avoid to throw muon to the edge or gap of the detector.
* How about PHYSIA? Did you apply the fiducial cut?
* Upload your code to somewhere if you want someone to check the algorithm.
* Need to understand why you lose 3% in the single muon simulation
* In JPS, audience would be confused if you say it's "detection efficiency" is 97%
* Show your analysis schedule until JPS.

Yusuke:
* What is the number of hits per chip per event because you are using source
** Page 23 is actually showing hit rate, but not event rate.
* Did you check ADC vs ampl correlation is still intact eve if you change the digital parameter?
** Yusuke will include the ADC vs ampl correlation plots in the slide.