


Neutrino Interactions with Nuclei and Long-Baseline Experiments

Ulrich Mosel



Institut für
Theoretische Physik



Motivation


BNL 03/2017



Institut für
Theoretische Physik



Oscillation Signals as $F(E_\nu)$



From:
Diwan et al,
Ann. Rev.
Nucl. Part. Sci 66
(2016)

DUNE, 1300 km

HyperK (T2K) 295 km

Energies have to be known within 100 MeV (DUNE) or 50 MeV (T2K)

Ratios of event rates to about 10%


BNL 03/2017



Institut für
Theoretische Physik



Energy-Distributions of Neutrino Beams



Energy must be reconstructed event by event, within these distributions

Energy Reconstruction

- Need neutrino energy to extract neutrino mixing angles and δ_{CP} , but how to get it??
- Only way: from the final state!
 - ➔ Need cross sections for initial interaction *and* final state interactions

Cross Sections

BNL 03/2017



Institut für
Theoretische Physik




Neutrino Cross Sections

- All targets in long-baseline experiments are nuclei: C, O, Ar, Fe
- Cross sections on the *nucleus*:
 - QE + final state interactions (fsi)
 - Resonance-Pion Production + fsi
 - Deep Inelastic Scattering $\rightarrow$ Pions + fsi
- Additional cross section on the *nucleus*:
 - Many-body effects, e.g., 2p-2h excitations
 - Coherent neutrino scattering and coh. pion production




Neutrino-Nucleon Cross Sections



Experimental error-bars directly enter into nuclear cross sections and limit accuracy of energy reconstruction

Quasielastic Scattering



- Vector form factors from e -scattering
- axial form factors


$F_A \Leftrightarrow F_P$ and $F_A(0)$ via **PCAC**

dipole ansatz for F_A with

$M_A = 1 \text{ GeV}$:

$$F_A(Q^2) = \frac{g_A}{\left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_A^2}\right)^2}$$



$$J_{QE}^\mu = \left(\gamma^\mu - \frac{\not{q} q^\mu}{q^2} \right) F_1^V + \frac{i}{2M_N} \sigma^{\mu\alpha} q_\alpha F_2^V + \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 F_A + \frac{q^\mu \gamma_5}{M_N} F_P$$



Axial Formfactor of the Nucleon

- neutrino data agree with electro-pion production data

Bernard et al, J.Phys. G28 (2002) R1-R35



$M_A \approx 1.02$ GeV world average

$M_A \approx 1.07$ GeV world average

Are there still neutrino generators out there with $M_A = 1.3$ GeV???

Pions

- Pion production amplitude
= resonance contrib + background (Born-terms)
- Resonance contrib
 - V determined from e-scattering (MAID)
 - A from PCAC ansatz
- Background:
 - Up to about Δ obtained from effective field theory
 - Beyond Δ unknown
 - 2 pi BG totally unknown




Pion production: Resonance

- pion production dominated by **P₃₃(1232) resonance**:

$$J_{\Delta}^{\alpha\mu} = \left[\frac{C_3^V}{M_N} (g^{\alpha\mu} \not{q} - q^{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu}) + \frac{C_4^V}{M_N^2} (g^{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p' - q^{\alpha} p'^{\mu}) + \frac{C_5^V}{M_N^2} (g^{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p - q^{\alpha} p^{\mu}) \right] \gamma_5 \\ + \frac{C_3^A}{M_N} (g^{\alpha\mu} \not{q} - q^{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu}) + \frac{C_4^A}{M_N^2} (g^{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p' - q^{\alpha} p'^{\mu}) + C_5^A g^{\alpha\mu} + \frac{C_6^A}{M_N^2} q^{\alpha} q^{\mu}$$

- **C^V** from electron data (MAID analysis with CVC)
- **C^A** from fit to neutrino data (experiments on hydrogen/deuterium), so far only C^A₅ determined, for other axial FFs only educated guesses

Pion Production



Reanalysis of BNL data
(posthumous flux correction)
by T2K group:

C.Wilkinson et al,

Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) no.11, 112017

Agrees with earlier findings in
Graczyk et al, Phys.Rev. D80 (2009) 093001
Lalakulich et al, Phys.Rev. D82 (2010) 093001


10 – 15 % uncertainty in pion production cross sections

First Conclusion

- Uncertainties on elementary cross sections are (too) large
- These uncertainties limit accuracy of LBL
- Need new data on H and D to pin down the elementaries
- Build a new bubble chamber!




SIS – DIS by PYTHIA



Shallow Inelastic Scattering,

interplay of different reaction mechanisms

→ Ambiguity to switch from one mechanism to the other



Lalakulich et al, Phys.Rev. C86 (2012) 014607,
recently measured by MINERvA

2p2h excitations: from electrons to neutrinos

- 2p2h: purely transverse, response from e-scattering

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega dE'} = & \frac{G^2}{2\pi^2} E'^2 \left[\frac{Q^2}{\vec{q}^2} \left(G_M^2 \frac{\omega^2}{\vec{q}^2} + G_A^2 \right) R_{\sigma\tau}(T) \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right. \\ & + 2 \left(G_M^2 \frac{\omega^2}{\vec{q}^2} + G_A^2 \right) R_{\sigma\tau}(T) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \\ & \left. \pm 2 \frac{E + E'}{M} G_A G_M R_{\sigma\tau}(T) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

from: Martini et al.

$R_{\sigma\tau} \sim W_1$ from
electron scattering

Same transverse response in $V + A$ as in $V \cdot A \sim W_1$, Walecka 1975

2p-2h Interactions

- **Assume:** 2p2h transverse, structure function W_1 for electrons from experimental fit of **MEC contribution** by Bosted and Mamyan (arXiv:1203.2262) and Christy (priv. comm.) to world data for $0 < W < 3.2 \text{ GeV}$ and $0.2 < Q^2 < 5 \text{ GeV}^2$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega dE'} = \frac{4\alpha^2}{Q^4} E'^2 2 \left(\frac{Q^2}{2\vec{q}^2} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right) W_1(Q^2, \omega)$$

- Transversity established around 1990, Ericsson, Marteau

Energy Reconstruction

- **Kinematical (QE) method:** use only properties of outgoing lepton.
Lepton can be measured well, BUT
 - **Problem: identify QE in nuclear environment**
 - **Calorimetric method:** use energies of all outgoing particles, BUT
 - **Problem: detector thresholds and efficiencies**
Provide websites with these thresholds for each experiment!
- **Have to correct ,measured' energies by means of a generator**



A Wake-up Call

Generators can possibly be tuned, but can they then have any predictive power? With their patchwork of recipes?

It is time for a wake-up call! For a nuclear-theory driven Generator!



Generators describe νA interactions?

- Take your favorite neutrino generator (GENIE, NEUT, ...):
„a good generator does not have to be right, provided it fits the data“
- Generators are indispensable for detector geometry effects!
- Generators have a long history, so long, that some of their contents have become forgotten, or are out-dated



νA Reaction


- General structure: **approximately** factorizes

full event (four-vectors of all particles in final state)

$$\text{initial interaction} \quad \overset{\approx}{\times} \quad \text{final state interaction}$$

 Determines inclusive X-section

 Determines the final state particles



◎ **GiBUU : Theory and Event Generator**

based on a BM solution of Kadanoff-Baym equations


◎ **GiBUU** describes (within the same unified theory and code)

- heavy ion reactions, particle production and flow
- pion and proton induced reactions
- low and high energy photon and electron induced reactions
- **neutrino induced reactions**

.....using the same physics input! And the same code!


➔ **Perfect test for final state interactions**






- GiBUU was constructed with the aim to encode the „best“ possible theory
- Initial interactions
 - Mean field potential with local Fermigas momentum distribution, nucleons are bound (not so in generators!)
 - Initial interactions are calculated by summing over interactions with all bound, Fermi-moving nucleons





- *Final state interaction*: propagates outgoing particles through the nucleus using *quantum-kinetic transport theory*, not just MC
- Fully relativistic. Initial and final interactions come from the same Hamiltonian. → Consistency of inclusive and semi-inclusive X-sections
- Calculations give the final state phase space distribution of all particles, four-vectors of all particles → generator





- ◎ **GiBUU : Quantum-Kinetic Theory and Event Generator**
based on a BM solution of Kadanoff-Baym equations
- ◎ Physics content and details of implementation in:
Buss et al, Phys. Rept. 512 (2012) 1- 124
Mine of information on theoretical treatment of potentials,
collision terms, spectral functions and cross sections, useful for
any generator
- ◎ Code from gibuu.hepforge.org, new version GiBUU 2016
Details in Gallmeister et al, Phys.Rev. C94 (2016) no.3, 035502



Quantum-kinetic Transport Theory

On-shell drift term

Off-shell transport term

Collision term

$$\mathcal{D}F(x, p) - \text{tr} \left\{ \Gamma f, \text{Re} S^{\text{ret}}(x, p) \right\}_{\text{PB}} = C(x, p) .$$

$$\mathcal{D}F(x, p) = \{p_0 - H, F\}_{\text{PB}} = \frac{\partial(p_0 - H)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial(p_0 - H)}{\partial p} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}$$

H contains
mean-field
potentials

Describes time-evolution of $F(x, p)$

$$F(x, p) = 2\pi g f(x, p) \mathcal{P}(x, p)$$

Spectral function

Phase space distribution

KB equations with BM offshell term

BNL 03/2017



Institut für
Theoretische Physik



GiBUU vs Generators

■ Pros:

- GiBUU has potentials for nucleons and hadrons, nuclei are bound
- It is consistent: same groundstate for all processes
- It has same potentials in first interactions and fsi
- It follows phase-space distributions and spectral functions of hadrons throughout the nuclear volume (off-shell transport)
- It is based on present-day's nuclear theory

■ Cons:

- GiBUU does not describe any coherent processes
- GiBUU does not contain any detector geometry effects




Inclusives

- Necessary Test: inclusive electron data




Inclusive QE Electron Scattering

- a necessary check for any generator development



0.24 GeV, 36 deg, $Q^2 = 0.02 \text{ GeV}^2$





0.56 GeV, 60 deg, $Q^2 = 0.24 \text{ GeV}^2$

Now Neutrinos

- Test with data for muon and electron neutrinos, at different energy regimes
- Test for both QE and pion production
- **NO tune**, all results obtained with code ,out of the box‘





Inclusive 0-Pion Data (MiniBooNE)



Comparison with T2K incl. Data


T2K, ν_e



T2K, ν_μ

Agreement for different neutrino flavors

Reaction Channels




Pions

- Pions are an essential part of the cross section
 - For total signal
 - For ,zero-pion‘ events
- → Pions have to be under control!




Pions at lower energies: T2K ND280




H₂O

Pions at higher energies: MINERvA

CC charged pions





$W < 1.4 \text{ GeV}$
Eberly et al



$W < 1.8 \text{ GeV}$, multiple pions
McGivern et al

MINERvA Pions



$W < 1.8 \text{ GeV}$

Now Predictions


BNL 03/2017




Institut für
Theoretische Physik



Inclusive Lepton Kinematics



NOvA C12



DUNE/LBNF Ar40

Gallmeister et al.
Phys.Rev. C94 (2016) no.3, 035502

Now Influence on Oscillations


BNL 03/2017



Institut für
Theoretische Physik



Oscillation Signals as $F(E_\nu)$



GiBUU

From:

Diwan et al.

Sensitivity of T2K to Energy Reconstruction







Fig. 2. $P_{\mu e}$ in matter versus neutrino energy for the T2K experiment. The blue curves depict the normal hierarchy, red the inverse hierarchy. Solid curves depict positive θ_{13} , dashed curves negative θ_{13}

D.J. Ernst et al., arXiv:1303.4790 [nucl-th]




Oscillation signal in T2K

δ_{CP} sensitivity of appearance exps




Uncertainties due to energy reconstruction
as large as δ_{CP} dependence

Proton Tagging and Multi-Nucleons



Event rates at near (LBNF)
and far detector (DUNE)



δ_{CP} sensitivity at DUNE


Mosel et al,
Phys.Rev.Lett. 112 (2014) 151802

Solid: true E
Dashed: reconstructed E

Generator Dependence of Oscillation Parameters

GiBUU-GENIE

GiBUU-GiBUU



From: P. Coloma et al,
Phys.Rev. D89 (2014) 073015

Nature: GiBUU
Generator: GENIE

Summary I

- Neutrino energy must be known within about 50 (T2K) or 100 (DUNE) MeV, and even rates better than 15%
- Neutrino energy must be reconstructed from final state observations
- Nuclear effects complicate this energy reconstruction
- The larger the step from reconstructed to true energies, the larger is the uncertainty in the oscillation parameters
→ **Need good Nuclear Theory**



Summary II: GiBUU

- Quantum-kinetic Transport Theory is the (well established, and – in other fields of physics - widely used) method to deal with potentials and binding in non-equilibrium processes, allows for off-shell transport
- GiBUU is an implementaton of transport theory
- GiBUU describes, without any tune:
 - Double-differential 0-pion data from MiniBooNE, neutrino and antineutrino
 - Fully inclusive T2K ND280 data for mu- and electron-neutrinos
 - Pions at T2K (water) and MINERvA



What is needed?

- Need new data on *elementary targets* (H, D)
- Need reaction studies on *nuclear targets* (MINERvA, T2K ND, NOvA ND, ANNIE, ..) to control many-body effects and fsi
- Need data without ‚generator contamination‘:
i.e. no flux cuts, no W cuts, no special reaction mechanism
- Need theory for full events, not just fully inclusive.
- Need a dedicated theory support program and a computational physics effort to construct a new, reliable generator for the precision era of neutrino physics



■ Essential References:

1. Buss et al, Phys. Rept. 512 (2012) 1
contains both the theory and the practical implementation of transport theory
2. Gallmeister et al., Phys.Rev. C94 (2016), 035502
contains the latest changes in GiBUU2016
3. Mosel, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 66 (2016) 171
short review, contains some discussion of generators
4. Mosel et al, arXiv:1702.04932
pion production comparison of MiniBooNE, T2K and MINERvA