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## Introduction

- Initial angular momentum $\boldsymbol{L} \sim 10^{3} \hbar$ in non-central heavy-ion collisions.
- Baryon stopping may transfer this angular momentum, in part, to the fireball.
- Due to vorticity and spin-orbit coupling, $\phi$-meson spin may align with L.



## Spin alignment

- Spin alignment can be determined $\rho_{00}>1 / 3:$ from the angular distribution of the decay products*:

$$
\rho_{00}=1 / 3:
$$

$$
\frac{d N}{d\left(\cos \theta^{*}\right)}=N_{0} \times\left[\left(1-\rho_{00}\right)+\left(3 \rho_{00}-1\right) \cos ^{2} \theta^{*}\right]
$$

where $N_{0}$ is the normalization and $\theta^{*}$ is
$\rho_{00}<1 / 3:$ the angle between the polarization direction $\boldsymbol{L}$ and the momentum direction of a daughter particle in the rest frame of the parent vector meson.

- A deviation of $\rho_{00}$ from $1 / 3$ signals net spin alignment.



## Hadronization scenarios

- Recombination of polarized quarks and antiquarks in QGP likely dominates in the low $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and central rapidity region.

$$
\rho_{00}^{\varphi(r e c)}=\frac{1-P_{s}^{2}}{3+P_{s}^{2}}
$$

Always smaller than 1/3
$P_{s}=-\frac{\pi}{4} \frac{\mu p}{E\left(E+m_{s}\right)}$ is the global quark polarization
$P_{\bar{s}}^{\text {frag }}=-\beta P_{s}$ is the polarization of the anti-quark created in the fragmentation process

## STAR's previous results

- STAR has published results with data taken in year 2004.
- Updated results have been shown at QM2017 (Xu Sun's poster), with data taken in year 2010 \& 2011.
- Both of the above use the 2nd-order event plane obtained from TPC. The published result is consistent with 1/3; New results with reduced uncertainties show some $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ dependence.


STAR's Published results
B.I.Abelev et al (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C77, 061902(R) (2008)


Xu Sun's QM2017 poster

## STAR detector



## 

- Number of events:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Au+Au } 200 \mathrm{GeV} \sim 500 \mathrm{M} \\
\text { Au+Au } 39 \mathrm{GeV} \sim 100 \mathrm{M} \\
\text { Au+Au } 27 \mathrm{GeV} \sim 30 \mathrm{M} \\
\text { Au+Au } 19.6 \mathrm{GeV} \sim 10 \mathrm{M} \\
\text { Au+Au 11.5 GeV ~ 3M }
\end{gathered}
$$

Track cuts:
nHitsFit > 15
nHitsFit/nHitsMax $>0.52$

$$
-1.0<\text { eta }<1.0
$$

$$
\text { dca < } 2.0 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

$$
\mathrm{p}_{T}>0.1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}
$$

$$
\mathrm{p}<10 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}
$$

invariant mass < $1.1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$

$$
-3.0<V z-V z V P D<3.0 c m
$$

Number ToF matched point > 3
Minimum Bias Event
Bad runs are rejected

- Track PID:

| Momentum(GeV/c) | With TOF | Without TOF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0 . 6 5 ]}$ | $0.16<\mathrm{m}^{2}<0.36, \mid$ nSigmaKaon $\mid<2.5$ | $-1.5<$ nSigmaKaon $<2.5$ |
| $\mathbf{( 0 . 6 5 , 1 . 5 )}$ | $0.16<\mathrm{m}^{2}<0.36, \mid$ nSigmaKaon $\mid<2.5$ | - |
| $[\mathbf{1 . 5}, \boldsymbol{\infty})$ | $0.125<\mathrm{m}^{2}<0.36, \mid$ nSigmaKaon $\mid<2.5$ | - |

## 1st order event plane

- In our analysis, the event plane is obtained from ZDCSMD (for 200 GeV data) or BBC (for low energy data) and flattened by shifting method*. The flattening is applied for every 10 runs (about 60000 events in Au+Au 200 GeV collisions).


The event plane before/after shifting method
*A. Poskanzer and S. Voloshin, PRC 58, 1671 (1998)

## Che background is obtained using event mixing technique. - The $\begin{aligned} & \text { T-mesons signal is fitted with } \\ & \text { Briet-Wigner function and the } 2 \mathrm{nd} \\ & \text { Order polynomial function for }\end{aligned}$ order polynomial function for

 residual background to extract raw $\phi$ meson yield:$B W\left(\mathrm{~m}_{i n v}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{A \Gamma}{\left(m-m_{\phi}\right)^{2}+(\Gamma / 2)^{2}}$
where $\Gamma$ is the width of the distribution and $A$ is the area of the distribution. $A$ is the raw yield scaled by the bin width $\left(=0.001 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)$.

## Extracting observed poo

- With yield of $\phi$ for different bins, we can fit the yield distribution and obtain poo using
$\frac{d N}{d\left(\cos \theta^{*}\right)}=N_{0} \times\left[\left(1-\rho_{00}\right)+\left(3 \rho_{00}-1\right) \cos ^{2} \theta^{*}\right]$
$\theta^{*}$ is the angle between the polarization direction $\boldsymbol{L}$ and the momentum direction of a daughter particle in the rest frame of the parent vector meson.
- What we extracted here is the poo before event plane resolution correction (observed poo).


Fitting of yield Vs $\cos \theta^{*}$
Au+Au 200 GeV
Centrality: 40-50\%
рт: $0.8 \sim 1.2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$

## 



- $\phi$-meson efficiency*acceptance is calculated with $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{K}^{-}$ embedding data and shows very weak $\cos \theta^{*}$ dependence, and the effect on $\rho_{00}$ is negligible.


## Derivation of event plane resolution correction

- For spin =1 particles, their daughter's angular distribution can be written in a general form as a function of $\theta^{*}$ and $\beta$ (the azimuthal angle w.r.t $\boldsymbol{L}$, see the picture at bottom right):

$$
\frac{d N}{d \cos \theta^{*} d \beta} \propto 1+A \cos ^{2} \theta^{*}+B \sin ^{2} \theta^{*} \cos 2 \beta+C \sin 2 \theta^{*} \cos \beta
$$

- where

$$
A=\left(3 \rho_{00}-1\right) /\left(1-\rho_{00}\right)
$$

- We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos \theta^{*}=\sin \theta \sin (\varphi-\psi) \\
& \cos \theta=\sin \theta^{*} \sin \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is the angle between $Z$-axis and the momentum direction of a daughter particle in the rest frame.


## Derivation of event plane resolution correction

- The observed event plane $\psi^{\prime}$ may be different from the real event plane:

$$
\psi^{\prime}=\psi+\Delta
$$

- The distribution of $\Delta$ is supposed to follow an even function, so we can assume

$$
\langle\cos 2 \Delta\rangle=R, \quad\langle\sin 2 \Delta\rangle=0
$$

- When $\psi \rightarrow \psi^{\prime}, \quad \theta^{*} \rightarrow \theta^{{ }^{*}}, \quad \beta \rightarrow \beta^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
A \\
B \\
C
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
A^{\prime} \\
B^{\prime} \\
C^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\frac{A(1+3 R)+B(3-3 R)}{4+A(1-R)+B(-1+R)} \\
\frac{A(1-R)+B(3+R)}{4+A(1-R)+B(-1+R)} \\
\frac{4 \cdot C \cdot R}{4+A(1-R)+B(-1+R)}
\end{array}\right)
$$



## Verify the resolution correction <br> formula with simulations

- To test the formula of resolution correction, we generate Monte Carlo events by Pythia with $\Delta$ following gaussian distributions.
- $\rho_{00}^{\text {real }}$ can be either obtained by fitting the yield with real event plane (without $\Delta$ ), or by calculation with the correction formula we derived.
- The plots show the comparison of results between two methods. The correction works well even when the resolution is low.



## $\rho_{00}$ VS. PT



- Non-trivial $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ dependence is seen. $6 \sigma$ away from $1 / 3$ at $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}=1.5 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$.
- As a consistency check, the poo is also studied with an $\boldsymbol{L}$ direction randomized in $3 d$-space, which is at the expected value of $1 / 3$.


## 1st EP vs. 2nd EP



- To explain the difference at $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}} \sim 1.5 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$, we need to consider the de-correlation between the two EPs.


## De-correlation between 1st and 2nd order event planes

- In the derivation of resolution, we have correction term R as:

$$
R=\langle\cos 2 \Delta\rangle
$$

for 1st(2nd) order EP, the corresponding correction term becomes $R_{1,2}=\left\langle\cos 2\left(\Psi_{1,2}-\Psi\right)\right\rangle$, and for 2nd order EP with the consideration of de-correlation, the correction term can be written down as: $R_{12}=\left\langle\cos 2\left(\Psi_{2}-\Psi_{1}+\Psi_{1}-\Psi\right)\right\rangle=D_{12} \cdot R_{1}$, where $\mathrm{D}_{12}=\left\langle\cos 2\left(\Psi_{2}-\Psi_{1}\right)\right\rangle$

- Then we can take the corrected $\rho_{00}$ from 1st order EP as real poo, and use the resolution correction formula to recover 2nd order EP result:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{\mathrm{obv}}^{2 \text { nd }}-\frac{1}{3}=\frac{1+3 R_{2}}{4}\left(\rho_{00}^{2 \text { nd }}-\frac{1}{3}\right) \\
& \rho_{\mathrm{obv}}^{2 \text { nd }}-\frac{1}{3}=\frac{1+3 D_{12} \cdot R_{1}}{4}\left(\rho_{00}^{1 \mathrm{st}}-\frac{1}{3}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \rho_{00}^{2 \text { nd }}-\frac{1}{3}=\frac{1+3 D_{12} \cdot R_{1}}{1+3 R_{2}}\left(\rho_{00}^{\text {st }}-\frac{1}{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



## De-correlation results



- The de-correlation between 1st and 2nd-order events plane explains part of the difference.
- The remaining difference may be due to $\mathrm{B} \neq 0$ in the angular distribution (or other physics origin?):

$$
\frac{d N}{d \cos \theta^{*} d \beta} \propto 1+A \cos ^{2} \theta^{*}+B \sin ^{2} \theta^{*} \cos 2 \beta+C \sin 2 \theta^{*} \cos \beta
$$

## $\mathrm{SoO}_{0} \mathrm{Na}$



- $\rho_{00}$ are around $1 / 3$ at most central collisions.
- For non-central collisions, $\rho_{00}$ are significantly higher than $1 / 3$, supporting the fragmentation scenario?


## 



- م0o are significantly higher than $1 / 3$ at 39 and 200 GeV .


## Summary

- Non-trivial dependence of $\rho_{00}$ as a function of $\rho_{T}$ and centrality has been observed with 1st-order event plane. At 200 GeV the measured $\rho_{00}$ is $>1 / 3$ at $\rho_{T} \sim 1.5 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ in non-central collisions.
- For $\rho_{0 o}$ integrated from $p_{T}>1.2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$, the deviation from $1 / 3$ is found to be significant at 39 and 200 GeV .
- This is the first time $\rho_{00}>1 / 3$ being observed in heavy ion collisions. Vorticity induced by initial global angular moments and particle production from quark fragmentation are possible sources that might contribute to the new observation.


## Backups

## Comparing charged particle v1



1st order event plane resolution
Gang's thesis results: Run 4, Au-Au 200GeV
Our analysis: Run $11, \mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Au} 200 \mathrm{GeV}$


Charged particle v1 vs Eta

## What to expect when using random event plane

- Recall the formula for resolution correction:

$$
\rho_{00}^{\text {real }}-\frac{1}{3}=\frac{4}{1+3 R}\left(\rho_{00}^{\text {obv }}-\frac{1}{3}\right)
$$

- For random event plane, $\boldsymbol{L}$ is random in the transverse plane, and $R=0$. Only when the real $\rho_{00}$ is $1 / 3$, the observed $\rho_{00}$ from random event plane will become $1 / 3$. Putting it in simple words, an irregular shape won't become a ball when rotated around a fixed axis (z in this case). So the observed random plane result will be closer to $\rho_{00}=1 / 3$, but hardly to be right at $1 / 3$. With the resolution correction formula ( $R=0$ ), we can still obtain the real $\rho_{00}$.
- Only when $L$ can take any direction in space (not confined to the transverse plane), it becomes truly random (3d-random) and the $\rho_{00}$ becomes 1/3.


Rotation around $z$ axis will not necessarily make a round shape (strictly speaking, not make a flat distribution in $\cos \theta^{*}$ )

## $\rho_{o 0}$ vs. $\mathrm{pt}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au} 39 \mathrm{GeV})$



