

nHCal July 22, 2025

Sam Corey




THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

Optimizing nHCal Configuration

From my previous study:


- Changing $R = \frac{L_{sci}}{L_{abs}}$ has the largest effect on neutron detection efficiency.
- Number of layers has an important, but much smaller effect.



Optimizing nHCal Configuration

From my previous study:

- Changing $R = \frac{L_{sci}}{L_{abs}}$ has the largest effect on neutron detection efficiency.
- Number of layers has an important, but much smaller effect.



Optimizing nHCal Configuration

We see a huge effect of increasing R, but we never saw a maximum!

Idea: find the **best** (most efficient for neutron detection) configuration by simulating on a grid of R and N_layers.

To do this, I need to pick:


- Neutron energy=0.5 GeV to see largest difference between different R.
- Total depth of the detector: 65 cm
 - Increased from “default” design with 44 cm.

Results

Black star is the “Default” configuration: 4cm abs, 0.4cm sci, 10 layers.

Remember: $R = \frac{L_{sci}}{L_{abs}}$.


When $R = 1$ they have equal lengths, not 100% scintillator!



Results in 1D (N_layers)

Weak dependence on N_layers above 10 layers.

To really optimize this, I should pick a different neutron energy (N_layers has largest effect around 0.9 GeV). I'll show this in a few slides




Results in 1D (R)

R dependence is quite strong.

Clustered points are the ones with the same R but different N_{layers} . Low efficiency curve is the 1 layer case.


Maximum at $R \approx 1.5$!



Results

Best choice for neutron efficiency:

- $R=1.5$
- $N_{\text{layers}}=25$
- Depth=65 cm
- Absorber layer thickness: 1.0 cm
- Scintillator layer thickness: 1.5 cm
- 0.1 cm gap between layers
- **Efficiency: $\approx 94\%$ at 0.5 GeV!**



37.5% less absorber than “default” design, even with 50% longer detector!


Next Step: Repeat for 0.9 GeV neutrons

Same plots for 0.9 GeV neutrons.

More layers → more efficient.

Largest impact for lower R

The difference is negligible above 10 layers for $R \geq 0.6$




Next Step: Repeat for 0.9 GeV neutrons

Same plots for 0.9 GeV neutrons.

More layers → more efficient.

Largest impact for lower R

The difference is negligible above 10 layers for $R \geq 0.6$




Next Step: Repeat for 0.9 GeV neutrons

Same plots for 0.9 GeV neutrons.

More layers $\rightarrow$ more efficient.

Largest impact for lower R

The difference is negligible above 10 layers for $R \geq 0.6$



Bottom line: anything with 10 or more layers and $R \geq 0.6$ has a $\geq 90\%$ efficiency for 0.5 GeV neutrons

Next step:

- Get efficiency vs. energy for this geometry (Tianhao has checked one of these options).
- Collect some more optimization criteria and find the geometry that best satisfies all of them
 - Energy resolution
 - Muon ID
 - Cluster separation (pion vs muon for example)
 - Other particle efficiencies (pi K p—Tianhao's work)
 - Scintillator performance vs. thickness