



U.S. DEPARTMENT
of ENERGY

Unifying the ENDF free text documentation formats

David Brown

National Nuclear Data Center

dbrown@bnl.gov

7 Jan. 2025

X @BrookhavenLab

Goal: Rewrite all ENDF documentation in common style

- Human readable
- Capture ‘Look and feel’ of well written documentation
- Structured, so machine readable
 - deterministic formatting
 - unified metadata
 - bibliography
 - within each reaction or class of reactions:
 - description
 - change log

Also, this is a chance to experiment with LLMs!

Challenges

The expected ones

- Random formatting from past evaluators
- Full (or not) version history
- Programmatically generated text (EMPIRE & TALYS)
- File size
- ENDF vs. GNDS formatting
- Not really understanding nuclear physics

The unexpected ones

- Gosh darn 💩 emojis 😡 and other non-ASCII characters
- The random seed & lack of predictability
- Sycophantic tone
- Occasionally ignoring instructions or missing content

I tried to follow best practices for prompt engineering (but I am listening to a sycophantic and stupid AI)

Summary

Practice Type	Example You Used	Quality	Suggestion
Context Setup	Role + domain	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Add schema preamble
Multi-source Structuring	SOURCE 1–6	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Maybe add internal cross-source references
Output Constraints	ASCII + table width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Add character validation script if automated
Conditional Logic	Include/omit rules	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Consider flowchart annotation for automation
Validation Rules	Pre-output checklist	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Add self-check assertions
Style Consistency	Golden example + YAML	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Add section-specific micro-examples

I wrote a code to generate the prompt based on the ENDF/GNDS file content

I tried to follow best practices for prompt engineering (but I am listening to a sycophantic and stupid AI)

Summary

Practice Type	Example You Used	Quality	Suggestion
Context Setup	Role + domain	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Add schema preamble
Multi-source Structuring	SOURCE 1–6	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Maybe add internal cross-source references
Output Constraints	ASCII + table width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Add character validation script if automated
Conditional Logic	Include/omit rules	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Consider flowchart annotation for automation
Validation Rules	Pre-output checklist	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Add self-check assertions
Style Consistency	Golden example + YAML	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	Add section-specific micro-examples

My prompt generating code has gotten quite elaborate and I am finding my instruction inconsistency rate grows with the prompt size

I tried a variety of LLM's of different size & complexity, all using my superprompt

gpt-oss:20b and 120b

Qwen3's 32b-fp16, 32b-q8_0, 32b

Deepseek R1's 8b, 32b, 1.7b and 70b models

OpenAI's 3o, 4o and 5 (plus a few other variants)

=> 4o and 5 behaved best, but cost the most \$\$

Deuterium (before)

```

ENDF/B-VIII.1          0 0
1.000000+3 1.996800+0 0 0 0 0 128 1451
0.000000+0 0.000000+0 0 0 0 6 128 1451
1.000000+0 1.500000+8 1 0 10 8 128 1451
0.000000+0 0.000000+0 0 0 216 17 128 1451
1-H - 2 LANL          EVAL-FEB97 P.G.Young, G.M.Hale, M.B.Chadwick 128 1451
CH97,CH99          DIST-AUG24 REV1-JAN23 20240830 128 1451
---- ENDF/B-VIII.1 MATERIAL 128 REVISION 1 128 1451
---- INCIDENT-NEUTRON DATA 128 1451
---- ENDF-6 128 1451
128 1451
***** Modifications ( ENDF/B-VIII.b5, Sept 2017 ) *****
* MF44/MT2 from JEFF-3.3T2 in the range 1e-5 eV - 28 MeV 128 1451
* MF33/MT2 modified at 1e-5 eV - 2 MeV (First 3 bins), 128 1451
* uncertainty of (n,tot) and (n,n) : +/- 1.5% at E < 2 MeV 128 1451
***** Covariances were adopted from COMMARA-2.0 in July 2011. 128 1451
Covariances are supplied for elastic, (n,2n) and radiative 128 1451
capture. Covariances were estimated at LANL based on a brief 128 1451
analysis of experimental data and their agreement with the 128 1451
ENDF/B-VII.0 central values. 128 1451
***** ENDF/B-VII CONVERTED FROM ENDF/B-VI BY NNDC OCT 2004 128 1451
***** ENDF/B-VI MOD 4 Evaluation, February 1997, M.B. Chadwick, 128 1451
P.G. Young, and G. M. Hale (LANL) 128 1451
Produced with FKK/GNASH/GSCAN code in cooperation with ECN Petten 128 1451
***** SUMMARY 128 1451
***** This evaluation provides a complete representation of the 128 1451
nuclear data needed for transport, damage, heating, 128 1451
radioactivity, and shielding applications over the incident 128 1451
neutron energy range from 1.0E-11 to 150 MeV. Below 50 MeV the 128 1451
evaluation is based mainly on the ENDF/B-VI.3 (Release 3) 128 1451
evaluation by Young (Yo94). 128 1451
To summarize, the following ENDF sections are utilized at all 128 1451
energies: 128 1451

```

```

MF=3 MT= 1 Total Cross Section
MF=2 MT= 2 Elastic Scattering Cross Section
MT= 3 Nonelastic Cross Section
MT= 16 2H(n,2n)1H Cross Section
MT=102 Radiative Capture Cross Section (Estimate Only at
higher energies)

MF=4 MT= 2 Elastic Angular Distributions

MF=6 MT= 16 Production Cross Sections and Energy-Angle
Distributions for Emission Neutrons, Protons,
Deuterons, and Alphas; and Angle-Integrated
Spectra for Gamma Rays and Residual Nuclei That
Are Stable Against Particle Emission

The following modifications were made to the ENDF/B-VI.3
evaluation:
1. The (n,2n), elastic, and nonelastic cross sections were
revised slightly above 10 MeV to better reflect experimental
data;
2. The tabulated elastic scattering angular distributions
(MF=4, MT=2) below 3.2 MeV and above 20 MeV were revised
slightly to better reflect measurements. The results below 3.2
MeV are based on a couple-channels R-matrix analysis.

METHODOLOGY USED IN NEW EVALUATION

The neutron total cross section is based on ENDF/B-VI below
100 MeV and on experimental data between 100 and 200 MeV. The
elastic scattering, nonelastic, and 2H(n,2n)1H cross sections
were determined above 10 MeV in concert, using the fact that the
nonelastic cross section essentially equals the (n,2n) cross
section above En ~ 1 keV, and the elastic and nonelastic cross
sections must sum to the total cross section. Note that we
revised the existing ENDF/B-VI (n,2n) cross section at most
energies between 10 and 100 MeV to improve the agreement with
experimental data. We also included experimental data for the
proton reaction cross section of 2H in our analysis, as we
determined empirically that it is entirely consistent with the
neutron nonelastic (or reaction) cross section above an incident
nuclear energy of about 20 MeV.

The elastic cross section and angular distributions below an

```

incident energy of 4 MeV were evaluated by comparing to results of a coupled-channels R-matrix analysis. No changes were made to the existing ENDF/B-VI.2 cross section evaluation because the results were consistent with data and the R-matrix analysis; the elastic angular distributions below 3.2 MeV were replaced with tabulated distributions from the R-matrix analysis.

Several elastic scattering angular distribution measurements exist above 20 MeV which we fit with Legendre expansions to get integrated cross sections and to establish the evaluated angular distributions. Especially important are the measurements of Romero, Wang, and Howard, as well as the partial distributions of Yountz and Palmieri. We inferred nonelastic cross sections from the elastic scattering angular distribution measurements above 20 MeV, combined with our evaluated total cross section from experimental data.

We assume that the energy distributions of neutrons from $2\text{H}(\text{C}_n, 2\text{n})\text{H}$ follow a pure three-body phase space distribution at all incident neutron energies and utilize LAW=6 in MF=6 to represent these energy distributions.

REFERENCES

[Ch97]. M.B. Chadwick, P.G. Young, and G.M. Hale, "Evaluation of $n + 2\text{H}$ Cross Sections," Group T-2 Progress Report for the Accelerator Production of Tritium Program, 1 January - 1 February 1997.

[Ch99]. M.B. Chadwick, P.G. Young, G.M. Hale, et al., Los Alamos National Laboratory report, LA-UR-99-1222 (1999).

[Yo94]. P.G. Young, "n + D Evaluation to 100 MeV," Release 3, ENDF/B-VI evaluation, distributed April, 1995.

ENDF/B-VI MOD 3 Revision, November 1996, P.G. Young (LANL)

File 6, MT=16: Second subsection added.

ENDF/B-VI MOD 2 Evaluation, March 1994, M.B. Chadwick, P.G. Young, and G.M. Hale (LANL)

This evaluation is a revision of the ENDF/B-VI evaluation.

+ 2 more revisions, with
very different formatting

Deuterium (after)

```

1 0 0
1.002000+3 1.996800+0      0      0      0      0 128 1451
0.000000+0 0.000000+0      0      0      0      6 128 1451
1.000000+0 1.500000+8      1      0      10      8 128 1451
0.000000+0 0.000000+0      0      0      164     16 128 1451
1-H - 2 LANL      EVAL-FEB97 P.G.Young,G.M.Hale,M.B.Chadwick 128 1451
CH97,CH99      DIST-AUG24 REV1-JAN23      20240830 128 1451
---- ENDF/B-VIII.1 MATERIAL 128      REVISION 1 128 1451
---- INCIDENT-NEUTRON DATA 128 1451
---- ENDF-6 128 1451
128 1451
n + 2H Evaluation (ENDF/B-VIII.1 Rev.1) 128 1451
=====
:Authors: P.G. Young, G.M. Hale, M.B. Chadwick 128 1451
:Laboratory: LANL 128 1451
:Evaluation date: FEB97 128 1451
:Projectile: n 128 1451
:Target: H2 128 1451
:Compound nucleus: H3 128 1451
:Projectile frame: lab 128 1451
:Temperature: 0. K 128 1451
:ENDF release: ENDF/B-VIII.1 Rev.1 128 1451
:GNDS version: 2.0 128 1451
128 1451
.. This documentation was summarized and formatted by chatGPT
version 4.0 and verified by David A. Brown (dbrown@bnl.gov). 128 1451
128 1451
Introduction 128 1451
-----
This evaluation provides a complete representation of the nuclear 128 1451
data needed for transport, damage, heating, radioactivity, and 128 1451
shielding applications over the incident neutron energy range from 128 1451
1.0E-11 to 150 MeV. Below 50 MeV, the evaluation is based mainly 128 1451
on the ENDF/B-VI.3 (Release 3) evaluation by Young [3]. This 128 1451
release supersedes ENDF/B-VI.3. 128 1451
128 1451
Methodology Used in New Evaluation 128 1451
-----
The neutron total cross section is based on ENDF/B-VI below 100 128 1451
MeV and on experimental data between 100-200 MeV. Above 10 MeV, 128 1451
the elastic, nonelastic, and (n,2n) cross sections were determined 128 1451
in concert, using the fact that the nonelastic cross section 128 1451
approximately equals the (n,2n) cross section above En ~ 1 keV. 128 1451
The total cross section is partitioned such that elastic plus 128 1451
nonelastic equals total. 128 1451
128 1451

```

Revisions were made to the ENDF/B-VI ($n,2n$) cross section at most energies between 10-100 MeV to improve agreement with experimental data. Proton reaction cross section data on 2H were included and found consistent with neutron nonelastic cross sections above 20 MeV.

Below 4 MeV, the elastic cross section and angular distributions were validated using a coupled-channels R-matrix analysis. While the ENDF/B-VI.2 cross section was retained, angular distributions below 3.2 MeV were replaced with tabulated R-matrix results.

Above 20 MeV, angular distributions were based on Legendre fits to measurements by Romero, Wang, Howard, Yountz, and Palmieri. Nonelastic cross sections were inferred from elastic angular measurements and the evaluated total cross section.

The emitted neutron spectra from ($n,2n$) reactions were modeled as pure three-body phase space (LAW=6 in MF=6) at all incident energies.

Neutron Resonance Region

No resolved resonance parameters (MF=2) are provided in this evaluation. However, R-matrix analysis was used to validate low-energy elastic behavior. The following table summarizes integral metrics relevant to the $1/v$ region:

Reaction	RI	2200 m/s	Westcott	MACS
	[b]	[b]	factor	30keV[mb]
Elastic	5.366e+11	3.395e+01	1.1284	3.660e+3
Capture	2.753e-41	5.064e-41	0.8159	1.999e-3
Total	5.406e+11	3.396e+01	1.1285	3.660e+3

Revision History:

- Sept 2017 - MF33 uncertainties propagated to resonance metrics; ENDF/B-VIII.b5; LANL T-2
- Feb 1997 - R-matrix analysis validated elastic RI; ENDF/B-VI Mod 4; P.G. Young (LANL)

Reaction Summaries

Elastic Scattering (MT=2)

Deuterium (after)

The elastic cross section was derived by integrating n-D and p-D angular distributions. It equals the total cross section below the $(n,2n)$ threshold and is the total minus $(n,2n)$ above threshold. Angular distributions below 3.2 MeV use coupled-channels R-matrix data. Above 20 MeV, Legendre fits to data shape the evaluation.

Revision History:

- Sept 2017 - MF4/MT=2 angular distributions replaced (1e-5 eV to 28 MeV); ENDF/B-VIII.b5; LANL T-2
- Feb 1997 - Angular distributions revised below 3.2 MeV and above 20 MeV; ENDF/B-VI Mod 4; P.G. Young (LANL)
- Mar 1994 - Elastic shape revised 3-8 MeV; ENDF/B-VI Mod 2; M.B. Chadwick (LANL)
- Dec 1989 - Phase-space MF4 retained; ENDF/B-VI Mod 1; R.E. MacFarlane (LANL)

Total Cross Section (MT=1)

The total cross section uses ENDF/B-VI data below 100 MeV and experimental data above. Discrepancies from LA-3271 [4] were addressed in the 3-8 MeV range. Proton-induced data corroborated the high-energy evaluation.

Revision History:

- Sept 2017 - MF33 uncertainties for MT=1 tightened below 2 MeV; ENDF/B-VIII.b5; LANL T-2
- Feb 1997 - Hybrid experimental approach above 10 MeV; ENDF/B-VI Mod 4; M.B. Chadwick (LANL)
- Mar 1994 - Extended to 100 MeV and shape updated 3-8 MeV; ENDF/B-VI Mod 2; M.B. Chadwick (LANL)

(n,2n) Reaction (MT=16)

The $(n,2n)$ cross section was extensively revised to better match experimental data between 10-100 MeV. The neutron spectra are modeled with pure 3-body phase space and LAW=6 in MF=6. A second MF6 subsection was added in 1996.

Revision History:

- Feb 1997 - Extensive cross section revision; ENDF/B-VI Mod 4; M.B. Chadwick (LANL)
- Nov 1996 - Added second MF6 subsection; ENDF/B-VI Mod 3; P.G. Young (LANL)
- Dec 1989 - MF4 and MF5 removed; MF6 phase-space added; ENDF/B-VI Mod 1; R.E. MacFarlane (LANL)

Radiative Capture (MT=102)

The thermal cross section is 506 ub and assumed to follow $1/v$ to 1 keV. Above this, the curve includes inverse reaction data from Bosch. The 14 MeV value is approximately one-third of Cerineo.

Revision History:

- Jul 2011 - Covariances adopted from COMMARA-2.0; LANL T-2
- Dec 1989 - MF8/9 single-gamma representation added; ENDF/B-VI Mod 1; R.E. MacFarlane (LANL)

Covariance Data

Covariances were adopted from COMMARA-2.0 in July 2011 and include MT=2 (elastic), MT=16 ($n,2n$), and MT=102 (capture). In Sept 2017, uncertainties for MT=1 and MT=2 below 2 MeV were tightened to +/-1.5%, reflecting updates from LANL T-2 based on agreement with ENDF/B-VII.0 central values.

References

- [1] M.B. Chadwick, P.G. Young, G.M. Hale, "Evaluation of $n + 2H$ Cross Sections," Group T-2 Progress Report for the APT Program, 1 Jan - 1 Feb 1997.
- [2] M.B. Chadwick, P.G. Young, G.M. Hale, et al., LA-UR-99-1222 (1999).
- [3] P.G. Young, "n + D Evaluation to 100 MeV," ENDF/B-VI Release 3, Apr 1995.
- [4] D.H. Stewart & R.F. Horsley, LA-3271, 1968.

***** C O N T E N T S *****			
1	451	184	0
2	151	4	0
3	1	63	0
3	2	63	0
3	3	62	0
3	16	45	0
3	102	53	0
4	2	1099	0
6	16	9	0
8	102	2	0
12	102	4	0
14	102	1	0
33	1	11	0
33	2	11	0
33	16	121	0

Deuterium (after)

The elastic cross section was derived by integrating n-D and p-D angular distributions. It equals the total cross section below the $(n,2n)$ threshold and is the total minus $(n,2n)$ above threshold. Angular distributions below 3.2 MeV use coupled-channels R-matrix data. Above 20 MeV, Legendre fits to data shape the evaluation.

Revision History:

- Sept 2017 - MF4/MT=2 angular distributions replaced (1e-5 eV to 28 MeV); ENDF/B-VIII.b5; LANL T-2
- Feb 1997 - Angular distributions revised below 3.2 MeV and above 20 MeV; ENDF/B-VI Mod 4; P.G. Young (LANL)
- Mar 1994 - Elastic shape revised 3-8 MeV; ENDF/B-VI Mod 2; M.B. Chadwick (LANL)
- Dec 1989 - Phase-space MF4 retained; ENDF/B-VI Mod 1; R.E. MacFarlane (LANL)

Total Cross Sect

The total cross experimental data addressed in the the high-energy

Revision History:

- Sept 2017 - MF ENDF/B-VIII.b5
- Feb 1997 - Hyb Mod 4; M.B. Ch
- Mar 1994 - Ext ENDF/B-VI Mod

$(n,2n)$ Reaction (MT=16)

The $(n,2n)$ cross section was extensively revised to better match experimental data between 10-100 MeV. The neutron spectra are modeled with pure 3-body phase space and LAW=6 in MF=6. A second MF6 subsection was added in 1996.

Revision History:

- Feb 1997 - Extensive cross section revision; ENDF/B-VI Mod 4; M.B. Chadwick (LANL)
- Nov 1996 - Added second MF6 subsection; ENDF/B-VI Mod 3; P.G. Young (LANL)
- Dec 1989 - MF4 and MF5 removed; MF6 phase-space added; ENDF/B-VI Mod 1; R.E. MacFarlane (LANL)

Radiative Capture (MT=102)

The thermal cross section is 506 ub and assumed to follow $1/v$ to 1 keV. Above this, the curve includes inverse reaction data from Bosch. The 14 MeV value is approximately one-third of Cerineo.

Revision History:

- Jul 2011 - Covariances adopted from COMMARA-2.0; LANL T-2
- Dec 1989 - MF8/9 single-gamma representation added; ENDF/B-VI Mod 1; R.E. MacFarlane (LANL)

Covariance Data

Covariances were adopted from COMMARA-2.0 in July 2011 and include

How did it go so far?

- n+1H – worked OK, but inconsistent performance
- n+2H - very simple documentation, formatting worked very well
- n+3H - very simple documentation, formatting worked very well
- n+3He – bigger file, got confused occasionally
- n+4He – very simple documentation, formatting worked very well
- n+16O – too big, went badly

ChatGPT 5 recommendation

7. Chunked Execution Instruction

If you plan to feed this to an LLM via an API, instruct:

"Process and emit sections incrementally (Metadata, Resonance Region, Reactions, etc.), verifying each before proceeding."

This prevents truncation and maintains compliance in long outputs — essentially **streamed prompt execution**.

**If you want to try it out or improve it,
please do!**

<https://git.nndc.bnl.gov/endf/tools/doc-fixer.git>