| FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | |
|----------------------------------|--|---------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| PicoDAQ | MicroDAQ | MiniDAQ | Full DAQ-\ | /-1 | Production DAQ | | DAQ |
| Streaming Orchestration | | | Streaming Cha | llenges | | | |
| Al-Empo | AI-Empowered Streaming Data Processing | | | enges | | | Computing |
| | | | | Dist | ributed Data Challenge | es | |
| AI-Driven Autonomous Calibration | | | Al-Driven A | Autonomous Alig | nment, Calibration, an | d Control | Al |

Compute-Detector Integration:

- Joint deliverables between **DAQ** and **computing** to develop integrated systems for detector readout, data processing, and ultimately physics analysis.
- Key role of AI(/ML): Empowering data processing and enabling autonomous experimentation and control.



| FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | |
|-------------------------|--|--------------------|--|------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|
| PicoDAQ | MicroDAQ | MiniDAQ | Full DAQ-v | /-1 | Production DAQ | | DAQ |
| Streaming Orchestration | | | Streaming Chal | lenges | | | |
| Al-Empo | Al-Empowered Streaming Data Processing | | | enges | | | Computing |
| | | | | Dist | ributed Data Challenge | es | |
| Al-Driven | AI-Driven Auto | nomous Calibration | Al-Driven Autonomous Alignment, Calibration, and Control | | | nd Control | Al |

AI-Driven Autonomous Calibration

- Progress continues on understanding calibration workflows in collaboration with subsystem experts, with a focus
 on identifying timelines and interdependencies.
- The strategy for autonomy involves algorithms for change detection and agentic workflows.
- FY28 Q1 Goal: Autonomous calibration of one detector system using simulated streaming data.
- Milestones and deliverables linked to:
 - Streaming Orchestration Milestones and Deliverables (slide 3)
 - Streaming Data Processing Milestones and Deliverables (slide 4)



| FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | |
|--|----------|---------|--|----------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| PicoDAQ | MicroDAQ | MiniDAQ | Full DAQ | -v-1 | Production DAC | 1 | DAQ |
| Streaming Orchestration | | | Streaming Ch | allenges | | | |
| AI-Empowered Streaming Data Processing | | | Analysis Cha | llenges | | | Computing |
| | | | | Dis | tributed Data Challenge | es | |
| AI-Driven Autonomous Calibration | | | AI-Driven Autonomous Alignment, Calibration, and Control | | | d Control | Al |

Streaming Orchestration Milestones and Deliverables

- ✓ Requirement documents for streaming orchestration developed.
- FY28 Q1 Goal: Deliver a functional testbed for calibrating one detector system using simulated streaming data.
- Progress is ongoing in testbed development:
 - We are evaluating streaming orchestration using PanDA + Rucio (slides 15–20).
 - We have demonstrated streaming data processing using EJFAT (slides 21–22).
 - Additional prototypes under consideration: LHCb Allen, SPADI Alliance.



| FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | |
|-------------------------|---|---------|---------------|---------|-------------------------|------|-----------|
| PicoDAQ | MicroDAQ | MiniDAQ | Full DAQ- | v-1 | Production DAC | Q | DAQ |
| Streaming Orchestration | | | Streaming Cha | llenges | | | |
| Al-Empo | AI-Empowered Streaming Data Processing | | | lenges | | | Computing |
| | | | | Dis | tributed Data Challenge | es | |
| AI-D | Al-Driven Autonomous Calibration Al-Driven Autonomous Alignment, Calibration, and Control | | | | nd Control | Al | |

Streaming Data Processing Milestones and Deliverables

- ✓ JANA2 enables data processing at the timeframe, event, and sub-event levels.
- FY28 Q1 Goal: Achieve streaming data reconstruction with high efficiency in identifying physics collision events in simulations, including varying levels of background. This includes an AI/ML challenge focused on developing algorithms for distinguishing physics events from background.
- Progress is ongoing in streaming data reconstruction (slides 13–14).



AI-Driven Autonomous Calibration

Carlos (Muñoz Camacho) presented on Oct. 28 on the **Backward ECal (EEEMCal) Calibration**. The EEEMCal is a good example. Carlos will provide the necessary calibration scripts and integrate them into JANA2/EICrecon. As part of this integration, the data flow and required input data will be defined.

To achieve autonomy in this calibration case, we have three distinct tasks (AI aspects are highlighted in dark blue):

- 1. Calibration Logic: We need software that detects when a new calibration is required for the EEEMCal and updates the state machine accordingly.
- 2. Calibration Integration Into State Machine: The state machine must be connected with a calibrations/conditions database system to track calibration status and link to calibration data. Clarify who is reading and writing.
- 3. Calibration Execution and Validation: The calibration script must recognize when it has derived new constants, validate these constants, and then register them in the calibrations/conditions database.

There are also three boundary conditions:

- **The Online Condition**: All of this must function in an online context, meaning while data is still being accumulated and not yet available offline. We will start with file-based workflows to make immediate progress, while developing support for streaming.
- The Human Condition: We aim to automate these processes as much as possible. However, it is essential to clearly define where human oversight is required. This includes questions such as: When should a human intervene in the loop? Which decisions must be reviewed or approved manually? While this is a crucial discussion, this is a separate conversation. Our priority is to design and implement the autonomous system and then determine how and where to introduce the human-in-the-loop component.
- The Cybersecurity Condition: Cybersecurity requirements will be addressed in a later implementation phase.



Questions to the DSCs

From slide 2: Progress continues on understanding calibration workflows in collaboration with subsystem experts,
with a focus on identifying timelines and interdependencies. In simple terms: Are there any showstoppers that could
prevent calibrating the ePIC data within two weeks?

Information to Provide to the DSCs:

- Markus will prepare a slide explaining what is meant by AI-Driven Autonomous Calibration.
- Jeff will prepare a slide outlining the different levels of calibration.
- Marco and Taku will draft a set of questions for the DSCs:
 - What is the minimum amount of statistics required to calibrate your system?
 - Can the necessary calibration data be collected during regular data taking, or is a dedicated calibration run required?
 - On which other calibrations does your system's calibration depend?
- We propose using the spreadsheet compiled by Jin to update and organize this information:
 - Marco and Taku will revise and complete the entries as needed.
 - They will also prepare simple instructions for the DSCs on how to provide the revised information for the spreadsheet.

