

# Introduction to QCD and spin physics

Yoshitaka Hatta

Nuclear theory group


Brookhaven National Laboratory

# Outline


- Inside the microscopic world of hadrons
- The strong interaction and QCD
- Spin in quantum mechanics
- Physics of proton spin

# The smallest unit in Nature

human



1m



cell, bacteria,...


micrometer

$$1\mu\text{m} = 10^{-6}\text{m}$$

nanometer


$$1\text{nm} = 10^{-9}\text{m}$$

atom



$$1\text{fm} = 10^{-15}\text{m}$$

femtometer



molecule



Planck length  $10^{-35}\text{m}$

# Discovery of nucleus (Rutherford, 1911)

Bombard a gold foil with a beam of alpha particles.

Most of the alpha particles pass through, but some bounced back 180 degrees.




There is a small and hard 'core' inside an atom → gold nucleus




*“It was quite the most incredible event that has ever happened to me in my life. It was almost as incredible as if you fired a 15-inch shell at a piece of tissue paper and it came back and hit you.”*

# The nucleons

- Discovered by Rutherford in 1919 (proton) and Chadwick in 1932 (neutron)
- Basic building blocks of matter, account for 99% of the mass of the **visible** universe





$$m_{\text{proton}} = 1.67 \times 10^{-27} \text{kg}$$



# Pion

In particle physics, 'force' is essentially the exchange of 'mediator' particles

Protons and neutrons are bound together to form a nucleus by exchanging a **pion** like 'playing catch'




Much stronger than the electromagnetic interaction  
Reach of the interaction = inverse of the mass of the exchanged particle.

$$m_{\pi} \sim \frac{1}{1\text{fm}} \sim 200\text{MeV}/c^2$$


$$\text{distance} = (\text{mass or energy})^{-1}$$

Predicted in 1934, discovered in 1947  $m_{\pi} \approx 140\text{MeV}/c^2$







# Quarks (1964)



proton



neutron



pion

First generation	Second generation	Third generation
Up	Charm	Top
Down	Strange	Bottom

Quarks have fractional electric charges


$$1 = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3}$$

$$0 = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3}$$

Each quark has its own **antiquark**.




M. Gell-Mann




# Gluons

Quarks interact with each other by exchanging massless particles called 'gluons',



Quarks have **color** charge  
(in addition to electric charge)



pion exchange, more fundamental level

All the four known forces of Nature are explained by the exchange of **gauge** particles.

Electromagnetic interaction (QED)  $\rightarrow$  photon

Strong interaction (QCD)  $\rightarrow$  gluon

Weak interaction  $\rightarrow$  W,Z bosons

Gravity  $\rightarrow$  graviton


# Theory of the strong interaction: Quantum ChromoDynamics (QCD)

Gauge theory based on the color SU(3) group

Lagrangian


$$L = -\frac{1}{4} F_a^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^a + \bar{q}(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)q + g\bar{q}\gamma^\mu A_\mu q$$

$$q = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \mathcal{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{U} \\ \mathcal{U} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{l} \text{red} \\ \text{green} \\ \text{blue} \end{array}$$




# Confinement of color

Quarks are permanently confined inside hadrons.  
Cannot be detected in isolation



Only color neutral particles (hadrons) can be directly observed.

# The mystery of proton mass



$$m_{\text{proton}} = 938 \text{ MeV}/c^2$$

$$1 \text{ MeV}/c^2 = 1.78 \times 10^{-30} \text{ kg}$$

$$m_u = 3 \text{ MeV}/c^2$$

$$m_d = 5 \text{ MeV}/c^2$$

$$m_g = 0$$

$$3 + 3 + 5 + 0 = 938 \text{ !?}$$



## Millennium Problems

### Yang–Mills and Mass Gap

Experiment and computer simulations suggest the existence of a "mass gap" in the solution to the quantum versions of the Yang–Mills equations. But no proof of this property is known.

### Riemann Hypothesis

The prime number theorem determines the average distribution of the primes. The Riemann hypothesis tells us about the deviation from the average. Formulated in Riemann's 1859 paper, it asserts that all the 'non-obvious' zeros of the zeta function are complex numbers with real part  $1/2$ .

### P vs NP Problem

If it is easy to check that a solution to a problem is correct, is it also easy to solve the problem? This is the essence of the P vs NP question. Typical of the NP problems is that of the Hamiltonian Path Problem: given  $N$  cities to visit, how can one do this without visiting a city twice? If you give me a solution, I can easily check that it is correct. But I cannot so easily find a solution.

### Navier–Stokes Equation

This is the equation which governs the flow of fluids such as water and air. However, there is no proof for the most basic questions one can ask: do solutions exist, and are they unique? Why ask for a proof? Because a proof gives not only certitude, but also understanding.

### Hodge Conjecture

The answer to this conjecture determines how much of the topology of the solution set of a system of algebraic equations can be defined in terms of further algebraic equations. The Hodge conjecture is known in certain special cases, e.g., when the solution set has dimension less than four. But in dimension four it is unknown.

### Poincaré Conjecture

In 1904 the French mathematician Henri Poincaré asked if the three dimensional sphere is characterized as the unique simply connected three manifold. This question, the Poincaré conjecture, was a special case of Thurston's geometrization conjecture. Perelman's proof tells us that every three manifold is built from a set of standard pieces, each with one of eight well-understood geometries.

### Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture


Supported by much experimental evidence, this conjecture relates the number of points on an elliptic curve mod  $p$  to the rank of the group of rational points. Elliptic curves, defined by cubic equations in two variables, are fundamental mathematical objects that arise in many areas: Wiles' proof of the Fermat Conjecture, factorization of numbers into primes, and cryptography, to name three.

Millennium prize problems  
Clay mathematics institute  
(2000)





← Solved by G. Perelman

I'm not interested in money  
or fame.  
I don't want to be on display  
like an animal in a zoo.




# Hadron mass from lattice QCD simulations

Solve QCD on a discrete 4D lattice using a supercomputer.




# Coupling 'constant' is not a constant!

Coulomb interaction





$$\vec{F} \sim \alpha_{em} \frac{\vec{r}}{r^3}$$

$$\alpha_{em} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \approx \frac{1}{137}$$



$$\alpha_{em} \rightarrow \alpha_{em}(r)$$


'running' coupling constant



Electromagnetic coupling gets weaker at longer distances.


# Asymptotic freedom

In QCD, the slope has an **opposite sign** due to gluons' self-interactions




Long distance  
~confinement of quarks

Short distance  
~perturbation theory




Politzer, Gross, Wilczek

# Probing the internal structure of proton



OR





Quarks cannot be directly observed.  
Can we probe them indirectly?

# Measuring the proton size in electron scattering (1953)

**Cross section** (probability of scattering) of elastic scattering

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4E_1^2 \sin^4 \frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{E_3}{E_1} \left\{ \left( F_1^2 - \frac{\kappa_p^2 q^2}{4m_p^2} F_2^2 \right) \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{q^2}{2m_p^2} (F_1 + \kappa_p F_2)^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right\}$$

$F_{1,2}(q = k - k')$  **Form factors**




R. Hofstadter





# Deep inelastic scattering (DIS) (1968~)

At higher energy, elastic scattering becomes inelastic.

The incoming proton behaves like a bunch of pointlike, **non**-interacting particles, or '**partons**' (quarks and gluons).



Due to the asymptotic freedom, interaction becomes weak at high energy.





R. Feynman

# Parton distribution function (PDF)




$$\sigma = \sum_i^{u,d,s,\dots} \int dx f_i(x) \sigma_i(xP)$$

Number density of partons with energy fraction  $x = \frac{E_{parton}}{E_{proton}}$



# Higgs particle production at the Large Hadron Collider (2012)

→ Lecture by Elizabeth Brost



$$\sigma = \sum_{ij} \int dx_1 dx_2 f_i(x_1) f_j(x_2) \sigma_{ij}(x_1, x_2)$$

# Spin physics

# Angular momentum in classical mechanics


In classical mechanics, angular momentum is a vector perpendicular to the direction of rotation

$$\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$$

It is **conserved** in the absence of external force (torque) ← rotational symmetry

$$\frac{d\vec{L}}{dt} = \dot{\vec{r}} \times \vec{p} + \vec{r} \times \dot{\vec{p}} = 0$$

and it can take any (continuous) value.



# Angular momentum in quantum mechanics

In quantum mechanics, the (orbital) angular momentum  $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$

is **quantized** and takes **integer** values  $|\vec{L}| = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  in units of  $\hbar = \frac{h}{2\pi}$  (Planck constant)

Separately to this, an electron has an intrinsic, **half-integer**  $|\vec{S}| = \frac{1}{2}$  angular momentum called **spin**.

Electron wavefunction in a hydrogen atom



$$\psi(\vec{r}, s) = R(r)Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)\chi(s)$$

spin ('rotation')

orbital ('revolution')

Beware, an electron is a pointlike particle, not really like a 'ball' spinning around some axis.

Still, the analogy to a spinning object is convenient for the sake of visualization.



# Conception of spin

In 1925, G. Uhlenbeck and S. Goudsmit proposed the notion of spin to explain some puzzling phenomena. Completely ad hoc, but it worked.



*“This is a good idea. Your idea may be wrong, but since both of you are so young without any reputation, you would not lose anything by making a stupid mistake.”*

—— P. Ehrenfest

# Conception of spin

In 1925, G. Uhlenbeck and S. Goudsmit proposed the notion of spin to explain some puzzling phenomena. Completely ad hoc, but it worked.



*“This is a good idea. Your idea may be wrong, but since both of you are so young without any reputation, you would not lose anything by making a stupid mistake.”* — P. Ehrenfest

Earlier that same year, R. Kronig came up with the same idea, but he did not publish because

*“It is indeed very clever but of course has nothing to do with reality.”* — W. Pauli

Today, spin is a fundamentally important concept in particle, nuclear and condensed matter physics.

# Spin in particle physics

To really understand spin, you need to learn

1. special relativity
2. relativistic quantum mechanics
3. group theory (Lorentz group)


Every elementary particle has a unique value of **spin**  $s = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots$

Integer spin  $\rightarrow$  **bosons** (photon, gluon, W,Z boson, Higgs,...)

Half-integer spin  $\rightarrow$  **fermions** (quark, electron, neutrino...)

**Dirac equation** (for spin  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) 
$$(i\gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu - igA_\mu) - m)q = 0$$

What about the spin of **non**-elementary particles?



P. Dirac



# Understanding the origin of proton spin

The proton has spin-1/2

The proton is not an elementary particle.




$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Sigma + \Delta G + L_z$$

quark spin

gluon spin

orbital angular momentum




The spin of a composite particle can be ultimately understood by combining the angular momenta (spin+orbital) of elementary constituent particles.

# A naive expectation

In the quark model,  
a proton consists of 2 up-quarks and 1 down-quark.

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$$

➔  $\Delta\Sigma = 1$





After taking into account the motion of quarks inside the proton,

$$\Delta\Sigma \approx 0.7$$

# Measurement of $\Delta\Sigma$ in polarized DIS

Polarize : orient spin in a particular direction



Spin opposite direction

Spin same direction

$$A_{LL} = \frac{e^\uparrow p^\downarrow - e^\uparrow p^\uparrow}{e^\uparrow p^\downarrow + e^\uparrow p^\uparrow} \sim \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_T}\right) \frac{2xg_1}{F_2}$$

$$\int_0^1 dx g_1(x) = \frac{1}{9} \Delta\Sigma + \dots$$

# 'Spin crisis'


In 1987, the European Muon Collaboration at CERN announced a very small value of the quark spin contribution to proton spin

$$\Delta\Sigma = 0.12 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.14 \text{ !?}$$


Recent value

$$\Delta\Sigma = 0.25 \sim 0.3$$

Still significantly less than 1.



# RHIC spin project



For 20 years, RHIC (relativistic heavy-ion collider) has been colliding polarized protons to study the spin structure of the proton (in parallel with the heavy-ion program → [Lecture by James Dunlop](#))

RHIC is the only machine in the world that can produce a high energy polarized proton beam.


One of the main physics goals is to pin down the gluon spin contribution

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta\Sigma + \Delta G + L_z$$



# Determination of $\Delta G$ at RHIC

Pions and photons production in polarized proton-proton collisions



$$A_{LL} = \frac{d\sigma^{++} - d\sigma^{+-}}{d\sigma^{++} + d\sigma^{+-}}$$

## Direct Photons Point to Positive Gluon Polarization

Results from 'golden measurement' at RHIC's PHENIX experiment show the spins of gluons align with the spin of the proton they're in

June 21, 2023



A new analysis of data from the PHENIX detector at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) gives fresh insight into how gluons contribute to proton spin.

# Electron-Ion Collider (EIC)



Next-generation (2030~) nuclear physics facility to be built at BNL

As of now, the only new high energy collider in the world officially approved for construction.

Dedicated to QCD, in particular the proton structure

The structure of atomic nuclei can also be studied

→ Lecture by Abhay Deshpande




# Scientific goals of EIC


**Finding 1:** An EIC can uniquely address three profound questions about nucleons—protons—and how they are assembled to form the nuclei of atoms:

- How does the mass of the nucleon arise?
- How does the spin of the nucleon arise?
- What are the emergent properties of dense systems of gluons?


White paper  
(2012)



NAS report  
(2018)



Yellow report  
(2021)






# Scientific goals of EIC

**Finding 1:** An EIC can uniquely address three profound questions about nucleons—protons—and how they are assembled to form the nuclei of atoms:

- How does the mass of the nucleon arise?
- How does the spin of the nucleon arise?
- What are the internal structures of nucleons and nuclei?


The era of precision QCD study of nucleon and nuclear structures in the next >20 years!

White paper  
(2012)



# Probing the gluon orbital angular momentum at the EIC




Bhattacharya, Boussarie, YH (2022,2024)



$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Sigma + \Delta G + L_z$$

Longitudinal **double** spin asymmetry in dijet production


First quantitative prediction for an observable sensitive to parton OAM



# Quantum entanglement at the EIC

Fucilla, YH (2025)



YH, Schoenleber (2025)



The spins of the produced quark and antiquark are entangled in quantum mechanical sense.

Maximally entangled Bell state can be realized

Novel research direction at the EIC?



# Conclusion

- QCD as the theory of the strong interaction, full of mysteries even 40 years after the discovery.
- EIC is the next-generation (**your** generation) machine that will uncover the internal structure of the proton and nuclei. The spin of the proton is a vital part of the program.