Run: 280464 Event: 517140616 2015-09-28 04:21:57 CEST



Howard and US ATLAS His "Titan Years" Howard Gordon Fest Brookhaven National Laboratory October 2, 2017

Frank E. Taylor

Proceedings Of The 1982 DPF Summer Study On Elementary Particle Physics And Future **Facilities**

Of The 1982 DPF Summer ary Particle Physics And F **Facilities**

Proceedings Of The **On Elementary Pa**

Abbott • Abe • Abolins • Ankenbrandt • Aronson • Ayres • Baltay olins • Ankenbrandt • Aronson • A Biswas • Rlumonfold :lev • Bingham • Bishon • Biswas •

TESTING THE STANDARD MODEL

H. Gordon, W. Marciano Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY and H.H. Williams University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

K. Abe, U. Pennsylvania M. Chanowitz, U. California-Berkeley R. Cool, Rockefeller U. M. Derrick, ANL J. Friedman, MIT B. Gittelman, Cornell U. K. Gottfried, Cornell U. P. Grannis, SUNY-Stony Brook I. Hinchliffe, U. California-Berkeley J. Jackson, U. California-Berkeley H. Kagan, Ohio State U. P. Lepage, Cornell U. A. Melissinos, Rochester U. L. Nodulman, ANL T. O'Halloran, U. Illinois S. Olsen, Rochester U.

F. Paige, BNL F. Pipkin, Harvard U. R. Ruchti, Notre Dame U. M. Samuel, Oklahoma State K. Shinsky, U. California-Berkeley R. Shrock, SUNY-Stony Brook R. Siemann, Cornell U. H. Sticker, Rockefeller U. M. Tannenbaum, BNL F. Taylor, Northern Illinois U. M. Tuts, SUNY-Stony Brook H. Tye, Cornell U. G. Tzanakos, Columbia U. H. Vogel, Max Plank Institute D. White, BNL R. Wilson, Columbia U. J. Wiss. U. Illinois

Olsen • Paige • Palmer • Parnell • Parsa • Pauss • Pellett • Perl

HADRON HADRON COLLIDER GROUP*

R. Palmer Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973

J. Peoples Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510

> C. Ankenbrandt, FNAL C. Baltay, Columbia U. R. Diebold, ANL E. Eichten, FNAL H. Gordon, BNL P. Grannis, SUNY at Stony Brook R. Lanou, Brown U. J. Leveille, U. Michigan L. Littenberg, BNL F. Paige, BNL E. Platner, BNL H. Sticker, Rockefeller U. M. Tannenbaum, BNL H. Williams, U. Penn. R. Wilson, Columbia U.

SEARCH FOR NEW HEAVY TECHNIPARTICLES

C. Baltay

Columbia University, New York, NY 10027

H. Gordon

• Caldw Collins ve • Eich • Fuki • Gordon Heinz• Holyns ones • Ju • Lambe epage • | renz • L acek • Marri

HEAVY HIGGS PRODUCTION AND DETECTION

H.A. Gordon, W. Marciano and F.E. Paige Brookhaven National Laboratory Upton, NY 11973

P. Grannis Physics Dept., S.U.N.Y at Stony Brook Stony Brook, NY 11794

S. Naculich Physics Dept., Case Western Reserve Univ. Cleveland, Ohio 44106

H.H. Williams Physics Dept., Univ. of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, PA 19104

Miyamura • i Ogata • O'H almer • Parnell • Parsa • Pauss • Pellet

Mann • Mantsch • Marcian Murtagh • Naculich • Nodu Olsen · Paige · Palmer · Pa

W,Z° PRODUCTION AT A PP COLLIDER

G. Bunce, H.A. Gordon, T.J. Killian, M.J. Murtagh, F.E. Paige, M.J. Tannenbaum, T.L. Trueman Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973

> J. Branson Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139

F. Eisler College of Staten Island, Staten Island, NY 11301

P. Grannis State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY 11794

son • Wiss • Wolter • Wosiek • Ye

Wilson • Wise

June 28-July 16, 1982 Snowmass, Colorado

June 28-July 16, 1982 Snowmass, Colorado Howard and US ATLAS

nd • White • Wiede

Peoples · Peskin · Pinkin · Platner · Pondrom · Potter · Price . . Pinkin . Platne

REASONS EXPERIMENTS CAN BE PERFORMED AT A pp MACHINE AT L = 10^{33} cm⁻² sec⁻¹

Murtagh • Naculich • Nodulman • Odorico •

H.A. Gordon, T. Ludlam, E. Platner, and M.J. Tannenbaum Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973

10/02/2017

Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973 Tominaga • Trueman • Tuts • Tye • Tzanal Wenzel • Weygand • White • Wiedemann • Wilkes • Williams Wilson • Wiss • Wolter • Wosiek • Ye



The Nonfulfillment of Snowmass Plans

- SSC funding authorized January 1987
- Two General Detectors
 - GEM (B. Barish and W. Willis, et al.)
 - Howard was the BNL Representative & worked on calorimetry
 - SDC (G. Trilling, et al.)
- SSC Killed 21 October 1993
 - This was a horrible shock to the US HEP Program







CERN Party 04-Oct-2008 Howard said it beautifully





US Delegation Visits CERN

- In 1993 when the SSC was terminated, Willis & Kirk part of the U.S. delegation which visited CERN to possibly join LHC experiments
 - It was natural to think about ATLAS since some of our work in GEM was with accordion LAr calorimetry in collaboration with Daniel Fournier (Orsay).
 - ATLAS said the U.S. was welcome to join but don't expect to change anything! We are forever grateful !
 - Bill Willis very effectively recruited from GEM & SDC to ATLAS
 - US CMS equally successful in recruiting physicists from GEM & SDC

Slide from HG/Willis Symposium



Don't Expect to Change Anything !

• GEM (SSC) LAr Module:



FIG. 5-4. Transverse and longitudinal segmentation of the Inner Barrel EM Calorimeter.

• ATLAS LHC LAr Module:





US ATLAS Management / Howard's Years





The Early US ATLAS Years 1994-1998

- Collaboration Building
- Construction Scope & List of Deliverables
- Subsystems and their Management
- Howard's role
 - US Project office at BNL
 - Budgets, Milestones and Project Management
 - Interpreting Bill's sometimes oblique language



The Construction Project Defined 1998

U.S. ATLAS 99-20	Submission and Approvals	ATLAS COLLABORATION RRB-D 98-44 rev.	ATLAS COLLABORATION
	This is a Perision of the U.S. ATLAS Project Management which was approved jointly by the U.S. Department of Energy and the National Science Foundation.		The European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN)
	Submitted by: Approved by the DOE/NSF Joint Oversight Group:	Memorandum of Understanding	and
Revised	William J. Willin U.S. ATLAS Project Manager Columbia University National Science Foundation	for Collaboration in the Construction of the ATLAS Detector between	
U.S. ATLAS Construction Project Management Plan	Thomas B. W. Kirk Annotaise Director Brookhaven National Laboratory Department of Energy Physics Department of Energy	The EUROPEAN ORGANISATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH, hereina/ter referred to as CERN, Geneva, as the Host Laboratory on the one hand	declare that they agree on the present Memorandum of Understanding for the ATLAS Experiment.
	in S. LHC Poyler Manager Department of Eaergy	and an Institution/Funding Agency of the ATLAS Collaboration on the other hand.	Done in Geneva Done in
	Associate U.S. LHC Program Manager National Science Foundation	Preamble	on
Originally Approved March 1998	Tuncthy Toohig U.S. LHC Program Manager Department of Energy	(a) A group of Institutes from CERN Member and non-Member States, and CERN, has agreed to collaborate to form the ATLAS Collaboration (Annex 1). This Collaboration has proposed to CERN an experiment to study particle interactions at the highest possible energies and luminosities to be reached with the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). These Institutes have secured the support of their Funding Agencies to enable them to participate in the ATLAS Collaboration.	For CERN For
U.S. ATLAS Project Management Plan – November 1999 2 US ATLAS Construction Project Management Plan March 1998		 (b) Agreement to this Collaboration is effected through identical Memoranda of Understanding (MoU) between each Funding Agency or Institute, as appropriate, in the Collaboration and CERN, as the Host Laboratory. These MoUs collectively define the Collaboration and its objectives, and the rights and obligations of the collaborating Institutes. (c) On the basis of a Technical Proposal submitted in December 1994 (c) CPD1(J LCC (04.4)) and a devided provide of the order to the set of the collaboration of the collab	Lorenzo Foà Director of Research
		 (CERN/LHCC/94-3) and a detailed review of the scientific merits, the technological feasibility and estimates of the needed resources, the LHC Committee (LHCC) recommended approval of the experiment to the CERN Research Board, subject to a set of milestones to be met by the experiment in its initial phase (CERN/LHCC 95-76). (d) Based on the recommendation by the LHCC and in agreement with the list of milestones, the Research Board recommended to the Director General of CERN to approve the project, together with plans, including milestones, leading to the subsystem/detector Technical Design Reports. 	ATLAS Memorandum of Understanding with CERN April 1998
		28 April, 1998 Page 1 ATLAS-MoU	28 April, 1998 Page 9 ATLAS-MoU



US ATLAS Contributed to Many Subsystems ~ 20% ATLAS Total

Subsystem	Institutions
Silicon	UC-Berkeley/LBNL, UC-Irvine, UC-Santa Cruz, Iowa State, New Mexico, Ohio State, Oklahoma, SUNY-Albany, Wisconsin
TRT	Duke, Hampton, Indiana, Michigan, Pennsylvania
Liquid Argon Calorimeter	Arizona, BNL, Columbia, Pittsburgh, Rochester, Southern Methodist U., SUNY-Stony Brook
Tile Calorimeter	ANL, Chicago, Illinois-Champaign/Urbana, Michigan State, UT-Arlington
Muon Spectrometer	Boston, BNL, Brandeis, Harvard, MIT, Michigan Northern Illinois, SUNY-Stony Brook, Tufts, UC-Irvine, Washington
Trigger and DAQ	ANL, UC-Irvine, Michigan State, Wisconsin
Common Projects	All institutions



U.S. ATLAS Organization Chart c. 1999

Apppendix 7-1: U.S. ATLAS Organization

Project Management Plan





Reporting A lot of work for a lot of people to spend a lot of money - responsibly





As complicated as Trigger/DAQ!



Organization Chart Evolves May 2003



Added Attention given to M&O and Upgrade R&D as well as construction and physics/computing

Technical Coordination (Lissauer works with Nessi)

Note that Education remains as a Level 2 Activity Howard a strong supporter



Under Perpetual Review - I

- Annual Guidance from Funding Agencies
 - Meetings with subsystem managers
- US ATLAS Budget Scrubbing

US ATLAS Management of US Group

- Cost estimates were scrubbed with subsystem managers
- US Management Meetings of Baseline Change Proposals
 - The whole management team would hear the argument from a subsystem for more funding. Here is where the Management Reserve was sometimes used.
- Budget Tracking
 - Subsystems spending according to plan
 - Cost and Schedule variance
 - Technical Progress
- MOUs with participating institutions
 - Review & signing
- Howard and Bill or Howard and Mike Travel to various sites
 - Useful in meeting all the players & seeing the actual work



Under Perpetual Review – II

- DOE/NSF (Lehman) Reviews
 - Annually
 - First Review was difficult not enough contingency invented "Management Reserve"
 - Crucial process in aligning project with funding & big motivator to meet milestones
- Project Manager's Reviews (Project Advisory Panel internal BNL)
 - Held before DOE/NSF Reviews as preparation
 - Were very tough
 - Covered Construction Project initially then expanded to Operations & Computer & Upgrades
- Joint Oversight Group (JOG)
 - Opportunity for DOE and NSF to review construction, operations and upgrades
 - Agencies needed to coordinate
- MEG (M&O Evaluation Group) Reviews
 - Annual reviews to assess progress in startup of experiments
- Operation Status (OPS)
 - During operation phone meeting bi-weekly with DOE and NSF and ATLAS and CMS
 - Meetings were quite useful in reviewing status and providing an early warning to the agencies of our problems

US ATLAS Management

with Funding Agencies



Some of the DOE/NSF Reviews

- April 4, 2012, JOG 29
 Agenda NSF, Arlington, VA
- March 6-7, 2012, DOE/NSF Evaluation of U.S. LHC Operations
 <u>Agenda</u>
 <u>DOE/NSF Review Report</u>
 SLAC, Menlo Park, CA
- September 26, 2011, JOG 28 Agenda NSF, Arlington, VA
- April 4, 2011, JOG 27 <u>Agenda</u> NSF, Arlington, VA
- March 10-11, 2011, DOE/NSF Evaluation of U.S. LHC Operations
 <u>Agenda</u>
 DOE/NSF Review Report
 <u>Reviewers Reports</u>
 Rice University, Houston, TX
- September 28, 2010, JOG 26 Agenda NSF, Arlington, VA
- May 11-12, 2010, DOE/NSF Evaluation of U.S. ATLAS Operations <u>Agenda</u> ANL, Argonne, IL
- April 8, 2010, JOG 25 Agenda NSF, Arlington, VA
- September 29, 2009, JOG 24 Agenda NSF, Arlington, VA
- April 16, 2009, JOG 23
 Agenda
 URA, Washington, DC
- February 9-12, 2009, DOE/NSF Evaluation of U.S. LHC Operation Agenda Princeton University

- October 31, 2008, JOG 22 Agenda URA, Washington, DC
- August 8, 2008, Internal Evaluation of U.S. LHC Operations Agenda URA, Washington, DC
- April 2, 2008, JOG 21
 <u>Agenda</u>
 URA, Washington, DC
- February 4-7, 2008 DOE/NSF Evaluation of U.S. LHC Research Program
 <u>Agenda</u>
 University of California at Irvine
- December 19, 2007 DOE/NSF Review of the U.S. LHC Project Agenda
- October 16, 2007, JOG 20
 <u>Agenda</u>
 URA, Washington, DC
- August 9, 2007 DOE/NSF Mini-Review of the U.S. LHC Research Program
 <u>Agenda</u>
 URA, Washington, DC
- May 24, 2007 DOE/NSF Mini-Review of the U.S. LHC Project <u>Agenda</u>
- April 12, 2007, JOG 19
 <u>Agenda</u>
 URA, Washington, DC
- February 13-16, 2007 U.S. LHC Detector M&O Evaluation Group <u>Agenda</u> Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory
- January 17-19, 2007 U.S. LHC Software and Computing Review
 <u>Agenda</u>
 UTA
- December 21, 2006 DOE/NSF Review of the U.S. LHC Project
 <u>Agenda</u>
- October 11, 2006, JOG 18 Agenda (htm)

Lifetime Number of Reviews Estimate:

177 Reviews in 12 years

+ at least 12 more Reviews 1994 to 2000

Σ Howard's Reviews \geq 200

10/02/2017

Howard and US ATLAS



Howard at DOE/NSF Review May 11-12, 2010

Outline

U.S. ATLAS Management: Resources, Planning, and Priorities

- Summary of current U.S. priorities and how they are determined/maintained
- Allocation of Management Reserve
- Cost of running program offices
- Operating needs of M&O and S&C for FY10 through FY16
- Overall priorities in light of possible budgetary constraints
- Management reserve and contingency (and carry over)
- Spending breakdown (using the standardized functional spreadsheet)

Howard Gordon BNL

DOE/NSF Evaluation of the U.S. ATLAS Operations Program May 11-12, 2010 ANL

How we obtained our Priorities

- →• Scrub cost estimates for FY11-12
- Prioritize within each level 2 area of tasks
- Establish an overall priority list with the U.S. ATLAS Management Board
- Obtain endorsement from the U.S. ATLAS Executive Committee – elected members from the Collaboration
- Obtain input from ATLAS Management
- End up with one list of priorities
- Iterate as conditions change and periodically
- We are in the process of doing this and have a DRAFT list of priorities - which we call Requests Beyond the Target (RBT)

DOE/NSF Evaluation of the U.S. ATLAS Operations Program

Three Budget Scenarios

Low Guidance		Nominal Guidance		High Guidance				
	FY11	FY12		FY11	FY12		FY11	FY12
Computing Equipment	3,000	3,250	Computing Equipm	3,000	3,250	Computing Equipm	3,000	3,25
Computing Operating	15,748	15,673	Computing Operati	16,148	16,673	Computing Operati	16,648	17,273
M&O	6,664	7,172	M&O	6,664	7,172	M&O	6,664	7,172
Common Funds	5,584	5,374	Common Funds	5,584	5,374	Common Funds	5,584	5,374
R&D	1,874	1,631	R&D	2,124	1,631	R&D	2,306	2,31
U.S. ATLAS OP Subtotal	32,871	33,100	U.S. ATLAS OP Sul	33,521	34,100	U.S. ATLAS OP Sul	34,203	35,38
MR %	0.06	0.06	MR %	0.08	0.08	MR %	0.09	0.1
MR	2,108	2,292	MR	2,825	3,155	MR	3,510	4,180
U.S. ATLAS OP Total	34,979	35,392	U.S. ATLAS OP Tot	36,346	37,255	U.S. ATLAS OP Tot	37,713	39,56
DOE guidance	25.979	26.842	DOE guidance	27.346	28.255	DOE quidance	28,713	29.66
NSF guidance	9,000	8,550	NSF guidance	9,000	9,000	NSF guidance	9,000	9,900
Total guidance	34,979	35,392	Total guidance	36.346	37.255	Total guidance	37,713	39,568

Managing Budget Guidance from Funding Agencies that was usually only a range and changed year-to-year.

Setting priorities for Requests Beyond Budget address to technical issues.

Obtaining concurrence of plan with US ATLAS and International ATLAS and DOE/NSF.

DOE/NSF Evaluation of the U.S. ATLAS Operations Program May 11-12 2010 ANI

Howard and US ATLAS

DOE/NSF Evaluation of the U.S. ATLAS Operations Program

May 11-12 2010 AN



CD-4A Report Construction 97% Complete

U.S. Large Hadron Collider (LHC) Project Critical Decision- 4A (CD-4A) Closure Report 2) Project Host Laboratory: DOE Reference Number: 1) Project Title: U.S. ATLAS Brookhaven National Laboratory XX-SC-XXX-1

4) Project Purpose and Scope (include WBS to Level 2, and see attachments for more detail) The U.S. ATLAS Project consists of the activities to design, supply and install the U.S. portion of the ATLAS detector. The detector will become part of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN, the European Laboratory for Particle Physics. The ATLAS detector is being designed to understand the dynamics of electroweak symmetry breaking, with the capability of reconstructing the momenta and directions of quarks (hadronic jets, tagged by their flavors where possible), electrons, muons, taus, and photons, and sensitivity to energy carried off by weakly interacting particles such as neutrinos that cannot be directly detected. The Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) is:

1.1 Silicon Subsystem	1.6 Trigger/DAQ Subsystem
1.2 TRT Subsystem	1.7 Common Projects
1.3 LAr Calorimeter Subsystem	1.8 Education Outreach
1.4 Tile Calorimeter Subsystem	1.9 Project Management
1.5 Muon Spectrometer Subsystem	1.10 Technical Coordination

5) Project Completion Baseline through CD-4A

Project WBS Item	Budgeted Cost of Work Performed (through CD-4A)
1.1 Silicon Subsystem	\$23.037.0L
1.2 TPT Subcustam	\$23,557.5K \$11,979,3L
1.2 IAT Calorimeter Subsystem	\$47 522 21-
1.4 Tile Calorimeter Subsystem	\$11 552 31-
1.5 Muon Spectrometer Subsystem	\$30 185 71
1.6 Trigger/DAO Subsystem	\$5 170 6k
1.7 Common Projects	\$15 313 5k
1.8 Education Outreach	\$125.04
1.9 Project Management	\$8 380 2k
1 10 Technical Coordination	\$3,005,31
TOTAL U.S. ATLAS Project	\$157 171 3k
10112 0.0.111210 110/000	4107,171.5K
Completion Date (Actual): 9/30/2005	
6) Overview of work remaining through CD-4B, if The work remaining for CD-4B includes Pixel Mechanics Pr Trigger/DAQ Production and Software Development, remain list items (see section 9 below).	'any: oduction, completion of Silicon service panel components, ing installation of some systems, and completion of CD-4A punch-
7) Status of Project Turnover/Acceptance (includin	g for Maintenance & Operations of detectors):
 Key Learning Points and Recommendations: Specifically define a list of Deliverables in MOUs. I increasing in cost, as we saw in this project. Management Contingency concept worked to keep or to maximize the U.S. contribution to the detector. Do not rely on sole source procuments if possible. We never made a visit to a collaborator or a vendor System engineering (ak: Technical Coordination in underestimated. Reviews are useful. 	Pefinitions in terms of percentages or levels of effort can keep ost increases down and allowed for optimal management of scope which was not productive – make more trips. ATLAS) is critical early in a large Project and should not be
9) CD-4A Punch-1st Items, Actons Assigned, if a Complete installation of LAr WBS 1.3.6.4 Power So has stated this FY, the completion will slip into FY the international ATLAS schedule. Complete production of Muon WBS 1.5.11.5, 1.5.1 Modules); holding weekly meetings with subsystem by September 2005, with production planned for co international ATLAS schedule.	ny: pplies and WBS 1.3.7.1 Front-End Boards. Although installation 06, but should complete by Jan 2006. There will be no impact on 1.6, 1.5.11.10 (ROD's, Support Electronics and Transition imanagers to insure that design, layout and prototype are completed mpletion in February 2006. There will be no impact on the

10) Certification that Project is physically completed as described in attachments, and Maintenance & Operations planning/transition is sufficient for this stage of completion:

WBS 1.1 Silicon Strips and RODs are in pre-operations and being commissioned. The pixels will be installed later in FY06 and then begin pre-operations and commissioning. WBS 1.2 Transition Radiation Tracker is installed and in pre-operations and commissioning. WBS 1.3 Most of the Liquid Argon Calorimeter is installed and all components are in pre-operations and commissioning. The rest of the Front-End Boards and Low Voltage Power Supplies will be installed in early FY06. WBS 1.4 Tile Calorimeter will finish installation in FY06 but all components are already in pre-operations and commissioning. WBS 1.5 All components of the Muon System are in pre-operations and commissioning, with installation continuing into FY06. WBS 1.6 has work already for the Trigger/DAQ subsystem in pre-operations and commissioning. There are no deliverables for WBS 1.7 Common Fund and WBS 1.8 Education Outreach. WBS 1.9 U.S. ATLAS Project Office has transitioned to the U.S. ATLAS Program Office. WBS 1.10 Technical Coordination has transitioned to the U.S. ATLAS Research Program.

Hell Michael Oli	C W Wills	Federal Project Director
Date	Date 12 Och	2-55 <u>10-18-05</u> Date
Acceptance by the us	er organization of the Project delive	rables as described in attached project
baseline documents	? Deuni	18 Cot 2005
U	ser Organization representative	Date
12) Listing of Attachmen	its (one set with further breakdown f	for each Level 2 WBS item):
ATTACHMENT	USATLAS 1.1 – Silicon Subsystem	(Parts 1 and 2)
ATTACHMENT	USATLAS 1.2 – Transition Radiatio	on Tracker Subsystem (Parts 1 and 2)
ATTACHMENT	USATLAS 1.3 – Liquid Argon Calo	primeter Subsystem (Parts 1, 2 and 3)
ATTACHMENT	USATLAS 1.4 – Tile Calorimeter S	ubsystem (Parts 1 and 2)
ATTACHMENT	USATLAS 1.5 – Muon Subsystem ((Parts 1 and 2)
ATTACHMENT	USATLAS 1.6 - Trigger/Data Acqu	usition Subsystem
ATTACHMENT	USATLAS 1.7-9 - Common Funds/	Education Outreach/Project Management
	Subsystems	
ATTACHMENT	USATLAS 1.10 - Technical Coordi	nation Subsystem

Oct. 2005: CD-4A 97% US Deliverables @ CERN shipping dock. Sep. 2007: CD-4B 100% Complete \$165.5 M (DOE/NSF: \$104.7M/\$60.8M)



Key Learning Points and Recommendations – CD4A

- Specifically define a list of Deliverables in MOUs. Definitions in terms of percentages or levels of effort can keep increasing in cost, as we saw in this project.
- Management Reserve (Contingency) concept worked to keep cost increases down and allowed for optimal management of scope to maximize the U.S. contribution to the detector.
- Do not rely on sole-source procurements if possible.
- We (US Management) never made a visit to a collaborator or a vendor which was not productive – make more trips.
- System engineering (aka Technical Coordination in ATLAS) is critical early in a large Project and should not be underestimated.
- Reviews are useful.

Willis & Gordon



Installation & Commissioning at CERN ≥ 2003

- Mike Tuts becomes US Operations Manager (2003)
 - Replaced Willis as PI of NSF Operations Grant 13-May-2005
- Howard Gordon continues as Deputy Manager
- The Operations Program covered Maintenance and Operations, Physics Support and Computing and Upgrade R&D
 - Total Budget ≈ \$35 M/year
- Integration with ATLAS International
 - Melding of US Groups with ATLAS partners
 - Assembling of US Teams to install and commission the detector
 - Moving Students, Postdocs, Engineers, Technicians and Physicists to CERN
 - US Technical Coordination (Lissauer) with Nessi (ATLAS TC) crucial



Celebration of the Completion of ATLAS Detector



VIP Visit to LHC Tunnel 21-October-2008 (Columbia ATLAS website)

The LHC Magnet Incident in September 2008 gave us more time to commission the detector



US ATLAS Moves into Operation

- Mike and Howard
 - Tuning the Organization Chart
 - Operations Manager & Computing
- Some equipment fixes needed
 - VCSELs Pixels
 - LAR LV power supplies
 - Muon CSC RODs
 - TileCal LV supplies (non-US) and Drawer Reliability



U.S. ATLAS Operations Program Organization as of March 1, 2012





Operations Meetings & Institute Board Meetings

🗼 OPS-01-Nov-10	3/8/2011 5:00 PM	File folder
🐌 OPS-04-Oct-10	3/8/2011 5:00 PM	File folder
👢 OPS-07-Feb-11	3/8/2011 5:00 PM	File folder
👢 OPS-12-Jul-11	8/2/2011 12:53 PM	File folder
👢 OPS-13-Dec-10	3/8/2011 4:59 PM	File folder
👢 OPS-13-Sep-11	9/13/2011 1:25 PM	File folder
👢 OPS-14-Jun-11	8/2/2011 12:53 PM	File folder
👢 OPS-15-Nov-10	3/8/2011 4:59 PM	File folder
👢 OPS-16-May-11	5/6/2011 12:18 PM	File folder
🐌 OPS-18-Oct-10	3/8/2011 4:59 PM	File folder
👢 OPS-21-Mar-11	5/6/2011 12:18 PM	File folder
👢 OPS-23-Aug-11	8/23/2011 1:05 PM	File folder
👢 OPS-24-Jan-11	3/8/2011 4:59 PM	File folder
👢 OPS-25-Apr-11	5/6/2011 12:18 PM	File folder
👢 OPS-28-Jun-11	8/2/2011 12:53 PM	File folder
👢 OPS-29-Nov-10	3/8/2011 4:59 PM	File folder
👢 OPS-31-May-11	6/2/2011 3:14 PM	File folder
👢 US ATLAS IB 04-Nov-10	3/8/2011 4:59 PM	File folder
👢 US ATLAS IB 09-Dec-10	3/8/2011 4:59 PM	File folder
👢 US ATLAS IB 13-Apr-11	5/6/2011 12:18 PM	File folder
👢 US ATLAS IB 13-May-11	6/2/2011 3:13 PM	File folder
👢 US ATLAS IB 14-Jan-11	3/8/2011 4:59 PM	File folder
👢 US ATLAS IB 15-Jul-11	8/2/2011 12:52 PM	File folder
👢 US ATLAS IB 16-Feb-11	3/8/2011 4:59 PM	File folder
🐌 US ATLAS IB 16-Sep-11	11/12/2011 11:48	File folder
🐌 US ATLAS IB 22-Jun-11	8/2/2011 12:52 PM	File folder
👢 US ATLAS IB 25-Mar-11	5/6/2011 12:18 PM	File folder
👢 US ATLAS Meeting 15-Aug-11	9/16/2011 6:56 AM	File folder

- During commissioning and data taking the DOE and NSF wanted frequent progress reports (≈ every fortnight)
 - On the phone from funding agencies:
 - Moishe Pripstein NSF, Amber Boehnlein DOE, Saul Gonzalez – DOE/NSF, et al.
 - On the phone from experiments:
 - ATLAS & CMS Levels 1, 2 and 3 Managers
 - We discussed operations and upgrade work
- The IB Meetings were to inform US ATLAS Collaborators of progress & problems



Not all OPS Meetings were Predictable

GENERALIZED GEOLOGIC MAP OF THE CENTRAL VIRGINIA PIEDMONT WITH FAULTS AND EARTHQUAKES (M >2, 1973-2011)



23-Aug-2011 Earthquake Magnitude 5.8 on Richter Scale

Recorded the following in my logbook:

Rattled the NSF Arlington, VA: 1:51 PM EDT Felt at Princeton Felt at Brookhaven Felt at MIT Cambridge, MA: 1:54 PM EDT



v = 717 km / 180 sec ≈ 4 km/sec



Transition to the New Management Team

DOE/NSF Review March 6, 2012 Mike Tuts' Presentation



Program Management Plan

- Program Management Plan (PMP)
 - Complete and awaiting signoff by agencies (next slide)
- Working under the new PMP ٠
 - At Operation Program Manager (OPM)/DOPM level
 - Srini Rajagopalan (shadow) replaces Howard Gordon in Sept 2012 (comes in as DOPM)
 - New university person replaces Mike Tuts in Sept 2013 comes in as DOPM with 1 shadow year starting 9/12
 - Jim Cochran

- Numerous other appointments
 - Aligning/extend the terms of appointments to allow new incoming management to provide input (to Sept 2012)

March 6, 2012

U.S. ATLAS DOE/NSF Review

12



The Key to Success

- US ATLAS and US CMS, ATLAS and CMS Experiments and the LHC are GRAND SUCCESSES:
 - US ATLAS was the effort of many people but the project/program <u>would not</u> <u>have succeeded without the leadership of Bill, Howard and Mike</u>.
 - Those who had the privilege of working on the projects are universally proud.
- It's hard to define exactly what makes for good leadership but here are a <u>few points that Bill, Howard and Mike practiced:</u>
 - Frequent and forthright two-way communications of the Big Picture
 - Resilience and optimism in facing and solving problems
 - Supportive guidance at a nuanced technical and financial level without undermining responsibility



Howard Gordon Garners U.S. ATLAS Lifetime Achievement Award

Recognition for scientific contributions and leadership throughout construction and operation of world-class particle physics experiment

July 13, 2015

The discovery of the <u>Higgs boson</u>, the last predicted particle of the Standard Model of particle physics, and the recounting of the quest for that discovery in the award-winning movie <u>Particle Fever</u> brought tears to his eyes. But an award recognizing his contributions to one of the key Higgs-nabbing experiments had physicist Howard Gordon positively beaming.

Gordon, who works at the U.S. Department of Energy's Brookhaven National Laboratory, has played pivotal roles in the construction and operation of the ATLAS experiment, one of two large particle detectors that discovered signs of the Higgs boson through careful analysis of energetic proton collisions at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at Europe's CERN laboratory. On June 25, the U.S. ATLAS Collaboration honored Gordon with a U.S. ATLAS Lifetime Achievement Award at their annual workshop.



Howard Gordon

<u>Congratulations & Many</u> <u>Thanks</u> <u>Howard !</u> <u>You Kept the Project on Track!</u>

The nice thing about retiring as a manager is that you no longer have to manage physicists !

Now you have time to chase that 1982 Snowmass Physics of the Heavy Higgs and to search for (New) Heavy Techniparticles!

10/02/2017

BNL News