

BERKELEY LAB



GABS: For decay-scheme-normalizing factor (NR) and γ-ray absolute intensity

M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia LBNL USNDP meeting, BNL, Oct 31-Nov 2, 2017

GABS

- GABS calculates a decay-scheme-normalizing factor (NR) and %lγ from relative lγ intensities per 100 decays of the parent nucleus.
- Eddie Browne, LBNL, initially wrote the program and it was perhaps used only by local and a few other network members until 2007.
- Probably since 2008, the code was made available through "ENSDF analysis and utility codes" at NNDC web site
- In 2009, Eddie Browne modified the program to list %lγ in 2 G record – and named as "GABS2009".
- At 21st NSDD (2015 in Vienna) meeting the network adopted the policy to include %lγ in 2 G record – following many years of discussions about it's need
- Current GABS program rewritten by Tibor Kibedi in FORTRAN90
 from initial FORTRAN77 version



Use/Method

Can be used:

- 1. To obtain normalization radiation factor (NR) and $\% I\gamma$
- 2. To verify normalization, given by author/s

Method:

¹ E. Browne, Calculated Uncertainties of Absolute γ-ray Intensities and Decay Branching Ratios Derived from Decay Schemes, published in Nucl. Instr. Meth. A249, 462 (1986).

To deduce NR and %I γ - appropriate flags for input γ intensities are needed.



Procedure

• Prepare Input file:

✓Adjust BR field, as of the assumption
✓Identify γ rays feeding G.S. directly* and
✓Use flag 'X' or 'Y' in col 79

• New output file:

Restore BR, if changed in input file

✓ Document all assumptions for NR comments, including 20% DRI, if any.

Current manual: (notes for 'X' and 'Y' will be revised soon by T. Kebedi)

- "X" if DRI is blank, the program assigns 20% uncertainty to the relative γ -ray intensity,
- "Y" the original value of DRI, including a blank, will be used in the calculations.
- Earlier manual: The program assigns 20% uncertainty to relative γ -ray intensities (unless a "Y" instead of an "X" is used in column 79) if DRI values are missing from the input data. Transitions for which these guidelines are not appropriate, most often the "nominal" γ ray with RI set to 100 (without uncertainty), are those which one would designate "Y".



*For cascade γ rays see example in the GABS Manual

An Example: ²²⁰At β⁻ decay





An Example: Input ENSDF file for GABS

C:\Users\Basunia\Documents\D\USNDP\USN	DP_2017\220Rn_b		
220RN 220AT B- DECAY	1989LI04,1989BU09	11NDS 201	104 🔺
220RN cG E,RI From 1989Li04. Other: 1989Bu09.			
220AT P 0.0 3	3.71 M 4	3739 51	
220RN N	0.92020		
220RN cN NR From SI(g+ce) to g.s.=92 {I2} and assuming	no direct	
220RN2cN b{+-} decay to {+220}Rn	g.s.		
220RN PN			3
220RN L 0.0 0+			
220KN L 240.97 1 2+	7.4		
220KN B 33 8	7.1		
220KN3 B EHV=1358 22	0.074		v
220611 6 240.97 1 100 8 C2 220010 1 C22 60 h h+	0.270		^
220RH E 555.06 4 4* 220RN R 95 25 2	6.0		
220RNS B FAII=1233 22	0.7		
220RN 6 292.71 3 43 2 (F	2) Ø.1487		
220RN L 645.74 9 1-	-,		
220RN B 5.5 5	9.0		10
220RNS B EAV=1145 22			
220RN G 404.8 1 2.4 4 [E	1] 0.01713		
220RN G 645.6 2 5.6 5	-		x
220RN L 663.23 4 (3-)			
220RN B 19 2	7.1		
220RNS B EAV=1178 22			
220RN G 422.26 3 27 3 [E	1] 0.01566		-
Image: A state of the state			►



An Example: New output file

C:\Users\Basunia\Documents\BNL\GABS\GABS.rpt	
<pre>* * * GABS Version 11c [08-Jan-2017] Report file * * * Current date: 10/25/2017 ENSDF input file: C:\Users\Basunia\Documents\D\USNDP\USNDP_2017\220Rn_ new ENSDF file: GABS.new Data Set: 220AT B- DECAY NR= 0.75 6 BR= 0.920 20 E= 240.97 1 %IG=69.1 16 per 100 dis. Compare with 69 8 E= 292.71 3 %IG=30 3 per 100 dis. E= 404.8 1 %IG=1.7 3 per 100 dis. E= 645.6 2 %IG=3.9 5 per 100 dis. Compare with 3.9 5 E= 422.26 3 %IG=10 3 per 100 dis.</pre>	_b
	 ▼ ↓



An Example: New output file

C:\Users\Basunia\Documents\BNL\GABS\GABS.new 220RN 220AT B- DECAY 1989LI04,1989BU09 11NDS 201104 . 220RN cG E,RI From 1989Li04. Other: 1989Bu09. 220AT P 0.0 3 3.71 M 4 3739 51 220RN N 0.75 0.92020 6 220RN cN NR From [SI([q+ce) to q.s.=92 {I2} and assuming no direct 220RN2cN |b{+-} decay to {+220}Rn q.s. 220RN PN 3 220RN L 0.0 0+ 220RN L 240.97 1 2+ 220RN B 33 8 7.1 220RNS B EAU=1358 22 220RN G 240.97 1 100 8 E2 0.276 220RN2 G %IG=69.1 16 220RN L 533.68 4 4+ 220RN B 2 6.9 34 220RNS B EAU=1233 22 220RN G 292.71 2 (E2) 0.1487 3 43 220RN2 G %IG=30 25 220RN L 645.74 9 1-220RN B 5.5 5 9.0 10 220RNS B EAU=1145 22 220RN G 404.8 2.4 4 [E1] 0.01713 1 220RN2 G %IG=1.7 3 220RN G 645.6 2 5.6 5 220RN2 G %IG=3.9 5 220RN L 663.23 4 (3-) 220RN B 19 2 7.1 220RNS B EAU=1178 22 220RN G 422.26 27 3 [E1] 0.01566 3 220RN2 G %IG=19 22 ٠ 📄 ъ



Different Scenarios

Notes

- In the above example file if 240.97 keV γ-ray intensity had only 100 and no uncertainty, then use of 'Y' in column 79 is recommended instead of 'X'
- If ²²⁰At had a 100% β⁻ branch, but lets say had a 8(2)% G.S. β⁻ feeding then the input file for GABS would be the same as in slide 6, but in the new output file one would need to change the 'BR' back to 1.0 again.
- If there would have been missing DRI for the 645.6 keV Eγ document – 'X' would assume 20% uncertainty – should be noted as assumption in comments for NR.

Use 'Y' flag only for highest intensity γ line and no DRI (often RI = 100), with the assumption that authors propagated DRI of the strongest γ line to DRI of other γ lines

To run multiple files for a parent with both β^- and EC+ β^+ decay branching – see examples in GABS Manual



Acknowledgement:

Slides were prepared consulting with Dr. Eddie Browne

Thank You

