Future CIELO Activities

D. Brown, G. Nobre National Nuclear Data Center, BNL



a passion for discovery



Cffice of Science

We are interested in **fluctuations** (esp. near closed shell nuclei like Fe) and **improved direct reaction modeling** (esp. for (in)elastic cross sections)



Our CIELO Fe evaluation was very much driven by data, and respected previous excellent evaluations





Thousands of datasets, we could not get through it all. Used history to guide us.



Brookhaven Sci

Better Resonances

- LRF=7 option for ⁵⁶Fe
- The low energy background (from 10 to 100 keV) in ⁵⁶Fe capture
- EGAF thermal capture cross section for ⁵⁶Fe
- Elastic angular distribution on ⁵⁶Fe
- Fusion cross section between elastic and inelastic in the energy range from 4 to 8 MeV
- Minor Fe covariances, esp. in RRR
- Other steel constituents (Cr, Ni)



Better Resonances

- LRF=7 option for ⁵⁶Fe
- The low energy background (from 10 to 100 keV) in ⁵⁶Fe capture
- EGAF thermal capture cross section for ⁵⁶Fe
- Elastic angular distribution on ⁵⁶Fe
- Fusion cross section between elastic and inelastic in the energy range from 4 to 8 MeV
- Minor Fe covariances, esp. in RRR
- Other steel constituents (Cr, Ni)



LRF=7 resonances needed

Legacy RRR evaluations (Froehner's and hence JENDL-4.0, Atlas and ours) use LRF=3 format.

LRF=3 format uses Reich-Moore approximation, but channels limited to capture, elastic and fission

First and second excited states show fluctuations: we need resonance treatment

Angular distributions can be computed from RRR data, if they are trustworthy



IRSN ⁵⁶Fe RRR evaluation appeared like attractive option



Higher energy, up to 2nd excited state threshold
Many more resonances



Brookhaven Science Associates

IRSN ⁵⁶Fe RRR evaluation appeared like attractive option

Gripes about IRSN evaluation:

- Resonances shifted from Atlas, ours, & J4.0 (aggressive use of ToF correction)
- Not using all available data, focusing only on ORNL measurements
- Poor reproduction of MT51 (resonance J[⊓] assignments?)
- Poor reproduction of angular distributions (resonance J[⊓] assignments?)
- Missing capture resonances
- PI focused on other things, not very responsive



Firestone's thermal capture (EGAF)

ENDF Request 6268, 2017-Oct-31,15:44:32



Which is it? Why? is it a 15% correction, or something worse?



PHYSICAL REVIEW C 95, 014328 (2017)

Thermal neutron capture cross section for 56 Fe (n, γ)

R. B. Firestone,^{1,2} T. Belgya,³ M. Krtička,⁴ F. Bečvář,⁴ L. Szentmiklósi,³ and I. Tomandl⁵

¹University of California, Department of Nuclear Engineering, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

²Lawrence Berkelev National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

³Centre for Energy Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1525 Budapest, Hungary

⁴Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, V. Holešovičkách 2, CZ-180 00 Prague 8, Czech Republic

⁵Nuclear Physics Institute, Czech Academy of Sciences, CZ-250 68 Řež, Czech Republic

(Received 24 October 2016; published 30 January 2017)

The ⁵⁶Fe(n, γ) thermal neutron capture cross section and the ⁵⁷Fe level scheme populated by this reaction have been investigated in this work. Singles γ -ray spectra were measured with an isotopically enriched ⁵⁶Fe target using the guided cold neutron beam at the Budapest Reactor, and $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidence data were measured with a natural Fe target at the LWR-15 research reactor in Řež, Czech Republic. A detailed level scheme consisting of 448 γ rays populating/depopulating 97 levels and the capture state in ⁵⁷Fe has been constructed, and \approx 99% of the total transition intensity has been placed. The transition probability of the 352-keV γ ray was determined to be $P_{\gamma}(352) = 11.90 \pm 0.07$ per 100 neutron captures. The ⁵⁷Fe level scheme is substantially revised from earlier work and \approx 33 previously assigned levels could not be confirmed while a comparable number of new levels were added. The ⁵⁷Fe γ -ray cross sections were internally calibrated with respect to ¹H and ³²S γ -ray cross section standards using iron(III) acetylacetonate (C₁₅H₂₁FeO₆) and iron pyrite (FeS₂) targets. The thermal neutron cross section for production of the 352-keV γ -ray cross section was determined to be $\sigma_{\gamma}(352) = 0.2849 \pm 0.015$ b. The total ⁵⁶Fe(n, γ) thermal radiative neutron cross section is derived from the 352-keV γ -ray cross section and transition probability as $\sigma_0 = 2.394 \pm 0.019$ b. A least-squares fit of the γ rays to the level scheme gives the ⁵⁷Fe neutron separation energy $S_n = 7646.183 \pm 0.018$ keV.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.95.014328

I. INTRODUCTION

Precise thermal neutron capture γ -ray spectra were measured for all elements with Z = 1-83, 90, and 92, except for He and Pm, using neutron beams at the Budapest Reactor [1,2]. The γ -ray energies and cross sections were determined and combined, together with additional information from the literature, to generate the Evaluated Gamma-ray Activation File (EGAF) [3] and they were also published in the Handbook of Prompt Gamma Activation Analysis with Neutron Beams

of the total radiative thermal neutron cross section accurate to $\approx 0.8\%$.

The ⁵⁶Fe(n, γ) reaction was previously studied by Vennink *et al.* [6], who placed 191 γ rays that populated/depopulated 62 levels in ⁵⁷Fe. Levels and γ rays were assigned by Vennink *et al.* on the basis of γ -ray energy sums but without the aid of $\gamma \gamma$ coincidence data. That procedure can be unreliable due to a high probability of chance energy sums matching known level energies resulting from the complexity of the (n, γ) spectrum.

NATIONAL LABORATO

A background was added to ⁵⁶Fe capture, we want to get rid of it



Must improve angular distributions

High resolution Cierjacks data not used, data from Ramirez et al. came out after evaluation finished





Brookhaven Science Associates

- Better Resonances
 - LRF=7 option for ⁵⁶Fe
 - The low energy background (from 10 to 100 keV) in ⁵⁶Fe capture
 - EGAF thermal capture cross section for ⁵⁶Fe
 Elastic angular distribution on ⁵⁶Fe
- Fusion cross section between elastic and inelastic in the energy range from 4 to 8 MeV
- Minor Fe covariances, esp. in RRR
- Other steel constituents (Cr, Ni)



²⁵²Cf(sf) source in Fe sphere





We followed Nelson, maybe we should have followed Negret or split difference





56Fe(n,n'y) powerful test of many things



⁵⁶Fe(n,n'γ) powerful test of many things



- Better Resonances
 - LRF=7 option for ⁵⁶Fe
 - The low energy background (from 10 to 100 keV) in ⁵⁶Fe capture
 - EGAF thermal capture cross section for ⁵⁶Fe
 - Elastic angular distribution on ⁵⁶Fe
- Fusion cross section between elastic and inelastic in the energy range from 4 to 8 MeV
- Minor Fe covariances, esp. in RRR
- Other steel constituents (Cr, Ni)



Must adapt RRR covariance code for Reich-Moore approximation





- Better Resonances
 - LRF=7 option for ⁵⁶Fe
 - The low energy background (from 10 to 100 keV) in ⁵⁶Fe capture
 - EGAF thermal capture cross section for ⁵⁶Fe
 - Elastic angular distribution on ⁵⁶Fe
- Fusion cross section between elastic and inelastic in the energy range from 4 to 8 MeV
- Minor Fe covariances, esp. in RRR
- Other steel constituents (Cr, Ni)



Questions about ⁵⁴Fe capture background determination

Need more capture data above 500 keV



Cr, Fe Sensitivities for HMI-001



HBU-METHINTER-001-001 XENO ENDF/E-YI 0 / 288-Group elastic fe-0 = HEL-METHINTER-001-001 KENO ENDF/8-WIL0 / 238-Group rigarmarte-0
 I SU-METHINTER-001-001 KENO ENDF/E-YI 0 / 208-Group elastic cr-0 = IEL-METHINTER-001-001 KENO ENDF/0-WIL0 / 238-Group capture tr-0 procknewer science Associates

AATTOTAL LADORATORI

Ni, Fe Sensitivities for HMI-001



HBU-METHINTER-001-001 KENO ENDF/E-YI 0 / 238-Group elastic fe-0 = HEL-METHINTER-001-001 KENO ENDF/8-WIL0 / 238-Group in carma fe-0
 I CU-METHINTER-001-001 KENO ENDF/E-YI 0 / 208-Group elastic n-0 = I CU-METHINTER-001-001 KENO ENDF/E-YI 0 / 238-Group in carma n-0
 DECOMPARED DETERCE ASSOCIATES

Elastic Sensitivities for HMI-001



Brookhaven Science Associates

I CU-MET-INTER-001-001 KENO ENDIVERYI 0 / 208-Croup elastic m-0

⁵³Cr(n, γ)





Anomaly in Cr(n,el) SAD









Anomaly in Cr(n,el) SAD





Potential ²³⁸U(n,n') project



Brookhaven Science Associates

Potential ²³⁸U(n,n') project

... if our proposal funded



Brookhaven Science Associates