### NNLL Resummation for N-jet Production at Hadron Colliders



Teppo Jouttenus MIT

LoopFest X, Northwestern University May 12 - 14, 2011

Work with Iain Stewart, Frank Tackmann, Wouter Waalewijn arXiv:1102.4344

# LHC and Tevatron searches for Higgs require exclusive (differential) jet cross sections

Signal and backgrounds for  $H \rightarrow WW$  vary differently as a function of jet multiplicity

Same effect is illustrated below for  $pp \rightarrow WW \rightarrow l\nu l\nu$ 



signal is for  $m_H = 165 \text{ GeV}$ 

## Exclusive N-jet cross sections are defined with a jet veto



We require that all but N jets have  $p_T^{\text{jet}} < p_T^{\text{cut}}$  $\Rightarrow \ln^2 \frac{p_T^{\text{cut}}}{Q}$  large logarithms

#### Exclusive N-jet cross sections are defined with a jet veto

N-jettiness quantifies the distance of particles Stewart, Tackmann, Waalewijn from beam  $d_{a,b}(p)$  and jet  $d_i(p)$  directions

 $\mathcal{T}_{N} = \sum |\vec{p}_{kT}| \min \{ d_{a}(p_{k}), d_{b}(p_{k}), d_{1}(p_{k}), d_{2}(p_{k}), \dots, d_{N}(p_{k}) \}$ 



 $\mathcal{T}_N$  has dimensions of momentum

It is similar to thrust N pencil-like jets:  $T_N = 0$ More than N jets:  $T_N \sim Q$ 

$$\mathcal{T}_N = \mathcal{T}_N[q_a, q_b, q_1, \dots, q_N]$$

# Exclusive N-jet cross sections are defined with a jet veto



We require that all but N jets have  $p_T^{\text{jet}} < p_T^{\text{cut}}$  $\Rightarrow \ln^2 \frac{p_T^{\text{cut}}}{O}$  large logarithms

 $T_N < T_N^{cut}$  directly constrains all radiation to be collinear to N+2 directions or soft

$$\Rightarrow \ln^2 \frac{\mathcal{T}_N^{\text{cut}}}{Q}$$
 large logarithms

N-jettiness factorizes naturally

 $\rightarrow$  resummation is easier

# Large logarithmic terms dominate exclusive jet cross sections

$$\sigma_{N-jet} = 1 + \alpha_s L^2 + \alpha_s L + \alpha_s + \alpha_s n_1(\mathcal{T}_N^{cut}) + \alpha_s^2 L^2 + \alpha_s^2 L^3 + \alpha_s^2 L^2 + \alpha_s^2 L + \alpha_s^2 + \alpha_s^2 n_2(\mathcal{T}_N^{cut}) + \alpha_s^3 L^6 + \alpha_s^3 L^5 + \alpha_s^3 L^4 + \alpha_s^3 L^3 + \alpha_s^3 L^2 + \alpha_s^3 L + \cdots + \frac{1}{12} +$$

Inclusive cross section has  $\mathcal{T}_N^{\mathrm{cut}} \sim Q$  so  $L \lesssim 1$ 

Fixed order calculation gives accurate results

Exclusive cross section:  $T_N^{\text{cut}} \ll Q$ , tight cut can give  $\alpha_s L^2 \sim 1$  or  $\alpha_s L \sim 1$ Resummation is necessary

When  $1 < L < 1/\alpha_s$ , resummation improves accuracy

 $L = \ln \frac{\mathcal{T}_N^{\text{cut}}}{O}$ 

# Large logarithmic terms dominate exclusive jet cross sections

	Cusp anomalous dimension	Non-cusp anomalous dimension	Fixed order for matching QCD to SCET	
LL + LO	1-loop	-	tree	Parton shower codes
•	•	•	•	
NNLL + NLO	3-loop	2-loop	1-loop	Enabled by our work

 $L = \ln \frac{\mathcal{T}_N^{\text{cut}}}{O}$ 

### NNLL Resummation for N-jet Production at Hadron Colliders



Resummation ingredients

Two facets of N-jettiness

- Jet definition
- Observable

Soft calculation

# Soft-Collinear Effective Theory (SCET) factorizes hard, collinear and soft physics

Bauer, Fleming, Pirjol, Stewart

Factorization enables resummation of large logarithms between different scales

Hard function can be obtained using 1-loop QCD calculation

Collinear radiation is described by beam functions and jet functions

Our 1-loop soft function calculation is the last missing ingredient for NNLL resummation

$$\sigma_{N} = H_{N}(\mu) \times \left[B_{a}(\mu)B_{b}(\mu)\prod_{i=1}^{n}J_{i}(\mu)\right] \otimes S_{N}(\mu)$$

$$\ln^{2}\frac{T_{N}}{Q} = 2\ln^{2}\frac{Q}{\mu} - \ln^{2}\frac{T_{N}Q}{\mu^{2}} + 2\ln^{2}\frac{T_{N}}{\mu}$$

$$\mu_{H} \simeq Q, \quad \mu_{B}, \mu_{J} \simeq \sqrt{T_{N}Q}, \quad \mu_{S} \simeq T_{N}$$

$$\int_{\text{Jet}} \int_{\text{Jet}} \int_{\text{Jet}} \int_{\text{Jet}} p$$

N

#### Hard function is obtained by matching QCD to SCET

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} \sim \vec{C}_N \cdot \vec{\mathcal{O}}_N$$
$$\hat{H}_N = \vec{C}_N \vec{C}_N^{\dagger}$$

10

The matching coefficient is given by the IR-finite part of the QCD-amplitude (in dim.reg.)

$$C_N^{a_1 a_2 \cdots \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}, p_n)$$
  
=  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{finite}}(q^{a_1}(p_1), q^{a_2}(p_2), \dots, g^{\alpha_{n-1}}(p_{n-1}), g^{\alpha_n}(p_n))$ 

Some QCD calculations that have been used in matching

	gg  ightarrow H at NNLO	Dawson Djouadi, Spira, Graudenz, Zerwas Harlander, Kilgore Anastasiou, Melnikov	Ravindran, Smith, van Neerven Pak, Rogal, Steinhauser Harlander, Mantler, Marzani, Ozeren	
1	Drell-Yan at NNLO	Altarelli, K. Ellis, Martinelli Hamberg, van Neerven, Matsuura	Harlander, Kilgore Anastasiou, Dixon, Melnikov, Petriello	
1	Direct photon production at NLO		Aurenche, Douiri, Baier, Fontannaz, Schiff Gordon,Vogelsang	
	Two jet production at hadron colliders at NLO		K. Ellis, Furman, Haber, Hinchliffe K. Ellis, Sexton Kunszt, Signer, Trocsanyi	
	$p \bar{p}, p p \rightarrow t \bar{t}$ at NLC Nason, Dawson Beenakker, Kuijf	) , K. Ellis , van Neerven, Meng, Schuler, Smith	Czakon, Mitov Bonciani, Ferroglia, Gehrmann, Maitre, Studerus Frixione, Mangano, Nason, Ridolfi	

# Cross section for $pp \to H \to WW$ shows the significant impact of resummation

Berger, Marcantonini, Stewart, Tackmann, Waalewijn



Resummation matters most for small values of  $\mathcal{T}_{\rm cm}^{\rm cut} = \mathcal{T}_0^{\rm cut}$ 

Resummation improves convergence of perturbation theory

### NNLL Resummation for N-jet Production at Hadron Colliders



Resummation ingredients

Two facets of N-jettiness

Jet definition

Observable

Soft calculation

#### Jet definition:

N-jettiness divides particles into jet and beam regions





Jets are in general non-planar



#### Jet definition:

N-jettiness divides particles into jet and beam regions

$$\mathcal{T}_{N} = \sum_{k} |\vec{p}_{kT}| \min\{d_{a}(p_{k}), d_{b}(p_{k}), d_{1}(p_{k}), d_{2}(p_{k}), \dots, d_{N}(p_{k})\}$$



Teppo Jouttenus (MIT) 14

#### **Observable:**

Measuring N-jettiness defines exclusive N-jet cross sections



### NNLL Resummation for N-jet Production at Hadron Colliders



Resummation ingredients

Two facets of N-jettiness

- Jet definition
- Observable

#### Soft calculation

## The soft function describes radiation connecting the collinear sectors

$$\widehat{S}_N \sim \left\langle 0 \middle| \left( \prod_i \widehat{Y}_i \right) \left( \prod_l \delta(k_l - \mathcal{O}_l) \right) \left( \prod_j \widehat{Y}_j \right) \middle| 0 \right\rangle$$
$$\widehat{q}_i^\mu \equiv q_i^\mu / Q_i, \quad i \in \{a, b, 1, \dots, N\}$$



 $\hat{q}_a$ 

1-jettiness angular phase space is divided into three jet regions



 $\Theta_1(p) \equiv \theta(\hat{q}_a \cdot p - \hat{q}_1 \cdot p)\theta(\hat{q}_b \cdot p - \hat{q}_1 \cdot p)$ 

#### Calculation simplifies by first choosing the eikonal lines producing the gluon



and then seeing which region it ends up in

$$\begin{split} S_{ij} &= \sum_{m} S_{ij}^{m} \text{ direction of the outgoing gluon} \\ S_{12} &= S_{12}^{1} + S_{12}^{2} + S_{12}^{3} = \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ S_{12}^{1} & S_{12}^{1} & S_{12}^{2} \\ S_{12}^{1} & S_{12}^{2} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 3 & S_{12}^{3} \end{array} \end{split}$$

#### Hemisphere decomposition for attachment (i, j)



Double divergences are along these directions

Split remaining region(s) into two hemispheres 1-jettiness has only three regions

Extend regions (i, j) to full hemispheres

Hemispheres contain all the divergences and give the expected anomalous dimension

Non-hemisphere contributions are UV and IR finite as will be shown later

## Hemisphere decomposition for attachment (i, j)



$$F = F_{ij,\text{hemi}} + F_{ji,\text{hemi}} + \sum_{m \neq i} F_{ij,m} + \sum_{m \neq j} F_{ji,m}$$

$$F_{ij,\text{hemi}} = \Theta_{ij}^{\text{hemi}}(p) \,\delta[k_i - \hat{q}_i \cdot p] \prod_{l \neq i} \delta(k_l) \qquad \qquad \Theta_{ij}^{\text{hemi}}(p) \equiv \theta(\hat{q}_j \cdot p - \hat{q}_i \cdot p)$$

$$F_{ij,m} = \Theta_{ij}^{\text{hemi}}(p) \,\Theta_m(p) \prod_{l \neq i,m} \delta(k_l) \qquad \qquad \Theta_m(p) \equiv \prod_{l \neq m} \theta(\hat{q}_l \cdot p - \hat{q}_m \cdot p)$$

$$\times \left\{ \delta(k_i) \,\delta[k_m - \hat{q}_m \cdot p] - \delta[k_i - \hat{q}_i \cdot p] \,\delta(k_m) \right\} \qquad \qquad k_i = \mathcal{T}_{1,\text{soft}}^i$$

# Hemisphere contributions contain all UV divergences

(removed by adding counterterms)

$$\begin{split} \widehat{S}_{N}(\{k_{i}\}) &= \mathbf{1} \prod_{i} \delta(k_{i}) + \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbf{T}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{j} \left[ S_{ij,\text{hemi}}^{(1)}(\{k_{i}\}) + \sum_{m \neq i,j} S_{ij,m}^{(1)}(\{k_{i}\}) \right] \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{2}) \\ S_{ij,\text{hemi}}^{(1)}(\{k_{i}\}, \mu) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{8}{\sqrt{2\hat{q}_{i} \cdot \hat{q}_{j}} \mu} \mathcal{L}_{1} \left( \frac{k_{i}}{\sqrt{2\hat{q}_{i} \cdot \hat{q}_{j}} \mu} \right) - \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \delta(k_{i}) \right] \delta(k_{j}) \, \delta(k_{m}) \end{split}$$

The (i, j) hemisphere contribution only depends on  $\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_j$ , not on other  $\hat{q}_m$ 

The anomalous dimension is determined by the hemisphere contribution



# Non-hemisphere contributions depend on angles between all jets

$$S_{ij,m}^{\text{bare}(1)}(\{k_i\}) \sim \int d\Omega_{d-2} \, dp^i \, dp^j \, \frac{\theta(p^i) \, \theta(p^j)}{(p^i p^j)^{1+\epsilon}} \qquad p^l \equiv 2\hat{q}_l \cdot p$$
$$\times \left[ \delta(k_i) \, \delta(k_m - p^m) - \delta(k_i - p^i) \, \delta(k_m) \right]$$
$$\times \delta(k_j) \, \theta(p^j - p^i) \, \Theta_m(p)$$

There is a non-hemisphere term for each jet region  $m \neq i, j$ 

Divergences are regulated as follows

- Soft taking the difference
- UV measurement
- Collinear restriction to region m



### Explicit expression for 1-jettiness non-hemisphere contribution

$$S_{ij,m}^{(1)}(\{k_i\},\mu) = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\pi} \left\{ I_0 \left[ \frac{1}{\mu} \mathcal{L}_0\left(\frac{k_i}{\mu}\right) \delta(k_m) - \delta(k_i) \frac{1}{\mu} \mathcal{L}_0\left(\frac{k_m}{\mu}\right) + \ln \frac{\hat{q}_j \cdot \hat{q}_m}{\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_j} \,\delta(k_i) \,\delta(k_m) \right] \delta(k_j) \\ + I_1 \,\delta(k_i) \,\delta(k_j) \,\delta(k_m) \right\}$$

$$I_{0}(\alpha,\beta) = 2 \int_{0}^{\phi_{\mathrm{cut}}(\alpha,\beta)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\pi} \ln \frac{y_{+}(\phi,\alpha)}{\sqrt{\beta/\alpha}} + 2\theta(\alpha-\beta-1) \int_{\phi_{\mathrm{cut}}(\alpha,\beta)}^{\phi_{\mathrm{max}}(\alpha)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\pi} \ln \frac{y_{+}(\phi,\alpha)}{y_{-}(\phi,\alpha)}$$

$$I_{1}(\alpha,\beta) = 2 \int_{0}^{\phi_{\mathrm{cut}}(\alpha,\beta)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\pi} \left[ G(y_{+}(\phi,\alpha),\phi) - G(\sqrt{\beta/\alpha},\phi) \right]$$

$$+ 2\theta(\alpha-\beta-1) \int_{\phi_{\mathrm{cut}}(\alpha,\beta)}^{\phi_{\mathrm{max}}(\alpha)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\pi} \left[ G(y_{+}(\phi,\alpha),\phi) - G(y_{-}(\phi,\alpha),\phi) \right]$$

### Explicit expression for 1-jettiness non-hemisphere contribution

$$I_{0}(\alpha,\beta) = 2 \int_{0}^{\phi_{\mathrm{cut}}(\alpha,\beta)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\pi} \ln \frac{y_{+}(\phi,\alpha)}{\sqrt{\beta/\alpha}} + 2\theta(\alpha-\beta-1) \int_{\phi_{\mathrm{cut}}(\alpha,\beta)}^{\phi_{\mathrm{max}}(\alpha)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\pi} \ln \frac{y_{+}(\phi,\alpha)}{y_{-}(\phi,\alpha)}$$

$$I_{1}(\alpha,\beta) = 2 \int_{0}^{\phi_{\mathrm{cut}}(\alpha,\beta)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\pi} \left[ G(y_{+}(\phi,\alpha),\phi) - G(\sqrt{\beta/\alpha},\phi) \right]$$

$$+ 2\theta(\alpha-\beta-1) \int_{\phi_{\mathrm{cut}}(\alpha,\beta)}^{\phi_{\mathrm{max}}(\alpha)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\pi} \left[ G(y_{+}(\phi,\alpha),\phi) - G(y_{-}(\phi,\alpha),\phi) \right]$$

### Hemisphere decomposition works for N-jettiness and other observables



Definition of F does not depend on **number of jets** 

$$\eta_{ij}$$

$$F_{ij,\text{hemi}} = \theta(\hat{q}_j \cdot p - \hat{q}_i \cdot p) \,\delta[k_i - \hat{q}_i \cdot p] \prod_{l \neq i} \delta(k_l)$$

$$F_{ij,m} = \theta(\hat{q}_j \cdot p - \hat{q}_i \cdot p) \,\Theta_m(p) \,\prod_{l \neq i,m} \delta(k_l)$$

$$\times \left\{ \delta(k_i) \,\delta[k_m - \hat{q}_m \cdot p] - \delta[k_i - \hat{q}_i \cdot p] \,\delta(k_m) \right\}$$

Teppo Jouttenus (MIT) 25

## N-jettiness result is very similar to 1-jettiness

#### More delta functions

$$S_{ij,\text{hemi}}^{(1)}(\{k_i\},\mu) = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{8}{\sqrt{2\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_j} \,\mu} \mathcal{L}_1\left(\frac{k_i}{\sqrt{2\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_j} \,\mu}\right) - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \,\delta(k_i) \right] \prod_{m \neq i} \delta(k_m)$$

#### Same form but more theta functions in $I_0, I_1$

$$S_{ij,m}^{(1)}(\{k_i\},\mu) = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\pi} \left\{ I_0 \left[ \frac{1}{\mu} \mathcal{L}_0\left(\frac{k_i}{\mu}\right) \delta(k_m) - \delta(k_i) \frac{1}{\mu} \mathcal{L}_0\left(\frac{k_m}{\mu}\right) + \ln \frac{\hat{q}_j \cdot \hat{q}_m}{\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_j} \,\delta(k_i) \,\delta(k_m) \right] + \left[ I_1 \,\delta(k_i) \,\delta(k_m) \right\} \prod_{l \neq i,m} \delta(k_m)$$
Teppo Jouttenus (MIT) 26

# N-jettiness result is very similar to 1-jettiness

Same form but more theta functions in  $I_0, I_1$ 

 $I_0(\alpha,\beta,\{\alpha_l,\beta_l,\phi_l\})$  $= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\phi \int \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{y} \theta \left(y - \sqrt{\beta/\alpha}\right) \theta \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1 - y^2 + 2y\cos\phi\right)$  $\times \prod \theta \Big[ \alpha_l - 1 + (\beta_l - 1)y^2 - 2y \big[ \sqrt{\alpha_l \beta_l} \cos(\phi + \phi_l) - \cos\phi \big] \Big]$  $I_1(\alpha, \beta, \{\alpha_l, \beta_l, \phi_l\})$  $= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\phi \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}y \ln(1+y^2-2y\cos\phi) \theta\left(y-\sqrt{\beta/\alpha}\right) \theta\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1-y^2+2y\cos\phi\right)$  $\times \prod \theta \left| \alpha_l - 1 + (\beta_l - 1)y^2 - 2y \left[ \sqrt{\alpha_l \beta_l} \cos(\phi + \phi_l) - \cos \phi \right] \right|$ 

This is the minimal extra complication from additional jets

$$\alpha = \frac{\hat{q}_j \cdot \hat{q}_m}{\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_j}, \qquad \beta = \frac{\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_m}{\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_j}$$
$$\alpha_l = \frac{\hat{q}_j \cdot \hat{q}_l}{\hat{q}_j \cdot \hat{q}_m}, \qquad \beta_l = \frac{\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_l}{\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_m}$$

### Hemisphere decomposition works for N-jettiness and other observables



Definition of F does not depend on
number of jets
specification of regions

$$\eta_{ij}$$

$$F_{ij,\text{hemi}} = \theta(\hat{q}_j \cdot p - \hat{q}_i \cdot p) \,\delta[k_i - \hat{q}_i \cdot p] \prod_{l \neq i} \delta(k_l)$$

$$F_{ij,m} = \theta(\hat{q}_j \cdot p - \hat{q}_i \cdot p) \Theta_m(p) \prod_{l \neq i,m} \delta(k_l)$$

$$\times \left\{ \delta(k_i) \,\delta[k_m - \hat{q}_m \cdot p] - \delta[k_i - \hat{q}_i \cdot p] \,\delta(k_m) \right\}$$

Teppo Jouttenus (MIT) 28

### Hemisphere decomposition works for N-jettiness and other observables



Definition of  ${\cal F}\,$  does not depend on

- number of jets
- specification of regions
- observables

$$\eta_{ij}$$

$$F_{ij,\text{hemi}} = \theta(\hat{q}_j \cdot p - \hat{q}_i \cdot p) \,\delta[k_i - f_i(p)] \prod_{l \neq i} \,\delta(k_l)$$

$$F_{ij,m} = \theta(\hat{q}_j \cdot p - \hat{q}_i \cdot p) \,\Theta_m(p) \,\prod_{l \neq i} \,\delta(k_l)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{ij,m} &= \theta(q_j \cdot p - q_i \cdot p) \ \Theta_m(p) \ \prod_{l \neq i,m} \delta(k_l) \\ &\times \left\{ \delta(k_i) \, \delta[k_m - f_m(p)] - \delta[k_i - f_i(p)] \, \delta(k_m) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Teppo Jouttenus (MIT) 29

#### Conclusions

- SCET is powerful for analyzing jet processes with experimental cuts
  - Exclusive jet cross sections include large logarithms
  - Can systematically improve fixed order calculations by resummation
- Our calculation enables NNLL resummation for exclusive jet production
  - 1-loop soft function was the last missing ingredient
- Future work
  - Direct photon / W / Higgs + 1 jet phenomenology
  - Use the freedom in the choice of jet regions (jet algorithms, etc.)
  - Calculate N-jet soft functions for other observables
  - Hemisphere structure of the anomalous dimension suggests that extension to two loops is feasible