Lattice Exploration of Strong Dynamics at the TeV Scale

Meifeng Lin



Brookhaven Forum "A First Glimpse of the Tera Scale" October 19 - 21, 2011

Outline

Motivation

Lattice Calculations

Lattice search for the conformal window Work by the LSD Collaboration

Summary

Strong Dynamics at the TeV Scale

- Technicolor (TC) theories: strongly coupled gauge theories may play a role at the electroweak scale: Λ_{TC} ~ F_{EW} ≈ 246 GeV. Weinberg & Susskind 1979
- Assume gauge interactions $SU(N_{TC})$ at Λ_{TC} .
- ► Technifermions possess chiral symmetry, transforming under $SU(N_{f,TC})_L \otimes SU(N_{f,TC})_R$.

Spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking

 → massless Technicolor Goldstone bosons
 → three of these provide mass for the EW gauge bosons.

Extended technicolor at Λ_{ETC} to generate quark and lepton masses. Dimopoulos & Susskind 1979, Eichten & Lane 1979

$$m_{q,l} \simeq rac{\langle \overline{Q}Q
angle_{ETC}}{\Lambda_{ETC}^2}$$

• $\Lambda_{ETC} \gg \Lambda_{TC}$ to suppress flavor-changing neutral currents

Difficulties with QCD-like Technicolor

If TC theories are QCD-like

• With $\langle \overline{Q}Q \rangle_{TC} \simeq 4\pi F_{EW}^3$, and

$$\langle \overline{Q}Q \rangle_{ETC} = \langle \overline{Q}Q \rangle_{TC} \exp\left(\int_{\Lambda_{TC}}^{\Lambda_{ETC}} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \gamma_m(\mu)\right) \to \langle \overline{Q}Q \rangle_{TC} \ln(\Lambda_{ETC}/\Lambda_{TC})$$

- $ightarrow \langle \overline{Q}Q
 angle_{ETC} / \Lambda_{ETC}^2 < 0.1 \text{ MeV}$
- \rightarrow too small to generate SM quark masses
- ► The electroweak *S* parameter would be too big to be consistent with the experimental constraint: $S \approx 0$.

One solution: Walking Technicolor.

Walking Technicolor

There exists a region $\Lambda_{I\!R} < \mu < \Lambda_{UV}$ where the running coupling $\alpha(\mu)$ evolves very slowly.

▶ Assume $\gamma(\mu) \sim \gamma$, then Techni-quark condensate gets enhanced:

$$\langle \overline{Q}Q
angle_{ETC} \sim \langle \overline{Q}Q
angle_{TC} \left(rac{\Lambda_{UV}}{\Lambda_{IR}}
ight)^{\gamma}$$

Could be large enough to generate quark masses.

• Could result in a small *S* parameter.

Such "walking" theories may exist with a large number of massless fermions.

The Conformal Window

 \triangleright SU(N) gauge theories with N_f massless Dirac fermions, conjectured from two-loop β function

Banks & Zaks 1981

 $N_{f} > 11 N_{c}/2$

 $N_f < 11N/2$ asymptotically free $0 \le N_f < N_f^c$ confinement and S χ SB $N_f^c \le N_f < 11N/2$ conformal (\exists Infrared fixed point) asymptotic freedom lost

- \triangleright N_f^c the conformal window
- "Walking" may appear with $N_f \rightarrow N_f^c$.
- The problem: N^c_f not precisely known. Various perturbative calculations and approximations give a wide range of results.
- Lattice calculations are ideal to study such strong interacting theories.

Lattice Formulation

Discretize field variables onto a space-time grid.



Evaluate Euclidean Green's functions via Monte Carlo simulations

$$\langle \boldsymbol{O} \rangle = \frac{\int [dA] [d\bar{\psi}] [d\psi] \, \boldsymbol{O} \, e^{-S^{(E)}(A,\psi,\bar{\psi})}}{Z}$$

 \rightarrow integrating out fermion fields

$$\langle O \rangle = \frac{\int [dU]O[U]\det(D + m)e^{-S_g[U]}}{Z}$$

U: lattice gauge variable, SU(3) matrices

 \rightarrow Monte Carlo

$$\int [dU] \to \sum_{\{U\}}$$

Lattice Toolbox

Many existing tools for the QCD studies can be used:

- Non-perturbative running coupling calculations How does the running coupling change with the energy scale?
- Hadron and glueball spectrum measurements Are the quark mass dependence and state ordering the same as QCD?

Chiral condensate

Does the chiral condensate vanish or get enhanced?

Thermodynamic properties (T_c, EoS, etc.) Is there a deconfinement transition? Is EoS different?

Lattice search for the conformal window Work by the LSD Collaboration

A Dozen Lattice BSM Efforts Worldwide



Picture Credit: George Fleming (Origin of Mass 2010)

Motivation Lattice Calculations

Lattice search for the conformal window Work by the LSD Collaboration

Current Landscape



Meifeng Lin (Yale) Lattice Exploration of Strong Dynamics at the TeV Scale

The Lattice Strong Dynamics Collaboration

20 people from 9 institutions:

Tom Appelquist Yale U. Ron Babich Boston U. Rich Brower Boston U. Michael Buchoff LLNL Michael Cheng Boston U. Mike Clark Harvard U. Saul Cohen U. Washington George Fleming Yale U. Joe Kiskis U. of Carlifornia, Davis Meifeng Lin Yale U. Heechang Na ANL Ethan Neil Fermilab James Osborn ANL Claudio Rebbi Boston U. David Schaich Boston U. Sergey Syritsyn LBL Joe Wasem LLNL Oliver Witzel Boston U. Gennady Voronov Yale U. Pavlos Vranas LLNL

LSD Program

- ► Explore the *N_c* − *N_f* plane of the SU(*N_c*) gauge theories non-perturbatively.
- Look for trends with changing N_c , N_f , and perhaps locate N_f^c .
- Chiral symmetry plays an important role.
 - Use domain wall fermions: nearly exact chiral symmetry.
- Start from something familiar on the lattice: SU(3) in the fundamental representation.
 - $N_f = 2$: as a reference point.
 - $\dot{N_f} = 6$: first focus on QCD-like theories, and move cautiously towards the conformal window.
 - ▶ $N_f = 10$: more challenging, can be QCD-like, conformal or walking.
- ► Want to have sufficient separation between IR and UV scales in a slowly-running theory → small lattice spacing:
 - We aim for five times the vector meson mass: $1/a \approx 5M_V$.

Current Status

- ► N_f = 2 and N_f = 6, more than three years of running. Currently increasing statistics on existing ensembles.
- Observables under study:
 - hadron spectrum and chiral condensate
 - S parameter
 - $\pi \pi$ scattering
- Publications for $N_f = 2$ and $N_f = 6$:
 - Condensate enhancement, arXiv:0910.2224 [hep-ph].
 - S parameter and parity doubling, arXiv:1009.5967 [hep-ph]
- $N_f = 10$ in progress.
- SU(2) simulations in the pipeline.

Chiral Condensate Enhancement

- ► As we increase *N_f* but below *N^c_f*, if the theory becomes slowly running, then the chiral condensate should get enhanced.
- On the lattice we can use three ways to measure chiral condensate (from three GMOR ratios)

$$R = \frac{\langle \overline{\psi}\psi\rangle}{F_{\pi}^3} = \frac{M_{\pi}^3}{\sqrt{(2m)^3\langle \overline{\psi}\psi\rangle}} = \frac{M_{\pi}^2}{2mF_{\tau}}$$

► Define enhancement ratios w.r.t the SM with $N_f = 2$ at roughly the same lattice cutoff.

$$\mathcal{R}_{XY,\widetilde{m}}\equiv rac{R^{(N_f)}}{R^{(N_f=2)}}$$

Lattice search for the conformal window Work by the LSD Collaboration

Condensate Enhancement for $N_f = 6$

$$R_m \equiv rac{M_m^2}{2mF_{\pi}} / rac{M_m^2}{2mF_{\pi}} / rac{M_m^2}{2mF_{\pi}}$$



T. Appelquist et al. (LSD Collaboration), PRL104:071601,2010

The S Parameter

- ► Parametrizes vacuum polarization (oblique) corrections. Peskin and Takeuchi 1992
- Used to contrain new physics beyond the Standard Model.
- Definition

$$S = -4\pi \left[\Pi_{VV}'(0) - \Pi_{AA}'(0) \right] - \Delta S_{SM}, \ \Pi'(0) = \frac{d\Pi(q^2)}{dq^2}|_{q^2 \to 0}$$

where

$$\Pi_{VV}^{\mu\nu}(q) = \sum_{x} e^{iq \cdot x} \langle V^{\mu}(x) V^{\nu}(0) \rangle, \ \Pi_{AA}^{\mu\nu}(q) = \sum_{x} e^{iq \cdot x} \langle A^{\mu}(x) A^{\nu}(0) \rangle$$

► ΔS_{SM} - Standard Model Higgs contributions

$$\Delta S_{SM} = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{m_H^2}{s} \right)^3 \theta(s - m_H^2) \right]$$

 m_H – reference Higgs mass.

Electroweak precision experiments find $S \approx 0$. Scaled-up QCD with $N_f = 2$ gives $S \approx 0.3$.

Lattice search for the conformal window Work by the LSD Collaboration

Extracting S from Current Correlators





• Need the slopes at
$$q^2 = 0$$
.

Use Padè(1,2) to describe the q² dependence.

$$\Pi_{V-A}(q^2) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 q^2}{1 + q^2(b_1 + b_2 q^2)}$$

Fits describe the data reasonably well in the small q² region

 Insensitive to fit ranges: fits are stable. Motivation Lattice Calculations

Lattice search for the conformal window Work by the LSD Collaboration

The S Parameter



T. Appelquist et al. (LSD Collaboration), PRL 106 (2011) 231601

- ▶ $N_f = 2$ gives S = 0.32(5) with $m_H \sim 1$ TeV, consistent with scaled-up QCD.
- For $N_f = 6$, at small mass, *S* drops below naive scaled-up QCD value, which would be 3 times the $N_f = 2$ value.
- ▶ There can be chiral log contributions, which will eventually make *S* turn up. $(\ln N_f = 2, \text{ the chiral logs cancel after the SM subtraction.})$
- Other interactions need to be turned on to have a finite *S* for $N_f = 6$ in the chiral limit.

Is the ten-flavor theory chirally broken, or conformal?

- Hayakawa et al. observed evidence for the existence of an infrared fixed point from Schrödinger functional running coupling studies. [Phys. Rev. D 83, 074509 (2011)]
- > The hadron mass quark mass dependence is different in these two scenarios.
- In a chirally broken theory, at sufficiently light quark masses, chiral perturbation theory predicts m_f dependence.
 - However, M_P/M_V values in our simulations range from 0.71 to 0.83.
 - Simulated quark masses are likey too heavy to make use of ChPT.
- In a mass-deformed conformal theory, hadron masses are governed by universality,

 $M_X \approx C_X m^{1/(1+\gamma^*)} + \cdots$

- Ratios of hadron masses would be roughly independent of quark masses.
- For DWF, $m \equiv m_f + m_{res}$.

Can the theory be conformal?

Recall that in a mass-deformed conformal theory

 $M_X = C_X m^{1/(1+\gamma^*)}$

so the vector-to-pseudoscalar meson mass ratio M_V/M_P should look roughly constant.



- The $N_f = 2$ data are diverging as $m \to 0$, as expected since $M_P \to 0$.
- Similarly for $N_f = 6$, though not as obvious.
- The $N_f = 10$ data may show an upward trend as $m \rightarrow 0$. But since the errorbars are underestimated, it is also possible that the ratios can be roughly constant. More work is in progress.



Summary

- Lattice studies by the LSD collaboration find evidence for condensate enhancement and reduced S parameter with N_f = 6 for SU(3) gauge theories.
- ► N_f = 10 studies are in progress. Could be in either the chirally broken or conformal phase.
- Lattice gauge theory has the potential to provide valuable input to theoretical model building.

Challenges

- Computionally more demanding than QCD.
- There are still many unresolved issues that need to be understood.
- What is the best way to distinguish conformal from QCD-like?
- How do we distinguish walking from conformal? Larger boxes and smaller lattice spacings?