Measurement of the forward-backward asymmetry in $t\bar{t}$ events in the l+jets channel

Doug Orbaker
on behalf of the DØ collaboration

October 19, 2011

Asymmetry in top-antitop quark production

- Early 1980s: Asymmetry observed in $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$ at $\sqrt{s} = 35$ GeV validates EW theory
  (Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1701-1704 (1982))





- What about in $p\bar{p} \to t\bar{t}$?
- $p\bar{p} \to t\bar{t}$ more complicated than $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$:
  - Top quarks reconstructed from 6 decay products
  - $\Delta y = y_t - y_{\bar{t}} = q_l(y_{t,lep} - y_{t,had})$
- $A_{\rm FB} = \frac{N(\Delta y>0)-N(\Delta y<0)}{N(\Delta y>0)+N(\Delta y<0)}$

Asymmetry in the standard model

- SM predicts no asymmetry at LO in QCD, and a small asymmetry at NLO.



- Our predictions made at NLO in QCD via MC@NLO

Level	$A_{\rm FB}$ (%)
Production	5.0 ± 0.1
Reconstruction	2.4 ± 0.7

- Inclusive SM predictions vary from 5%-9%

Event Selection and Reconstruction

- Search in the lepton (e/μ) + jets channel



Require:

$\Rightarrow$ 1 lepton

$\Rightarrow \not\!\!E_{\mathrm{T}}$

$\Rightarrow \geq$ 4 jets

$\Rightarrow \geq$ 1 b-tagged jet

- Reconstruct events with a constrained kinematic fit
  - $m_W = 80.4$ GeV
  - $m_t = 172.5$ GeV
- Keep only assignment with lowest χ^2
- 1581 events pass selection for 5.4 fb^{-1}

Maximum Likelihood Fit



Discriminant with $\Delta y < 0$



Discriminant with $\Delta y > 0$

Signal:

$N_{t\bar{t}} = 1126 \pm 39$

$A_{FB} = (9.2 \pm 3.7)\,\%$

Backgrounds:

$N_{W+\text{jets}} = 376 \pm 39$

$N_{\text{Multijet}} = 79 \pm 5$

Results from reconstruction of $A_{\rm FB}$



Bin width is 1/2 resolution

	l+$\geq$4 jets	l+4 jets	l+$\geq$5 jets
$A_{\rm FB}$(%)	9.2±3.7	12.2±4.3	-3.0±7.9
MC@NLO $A_{\rm FB}$ (%)	2.4±0.7	3.9±0.8	-2.9±1.1

- Measured $A_{\rm FB} = \left(9.2 \pm 3.6({\rm stat})^{+0.8}_{-0.9}({\rm syst})\right)\%$
- Statistical significance from MC@NLO prediction: 1.9 SD

Dependence of $A_{\rm FB}$ on $m_{t\bar{t}}$ and $|\Delta y|$





- No significant dependence of $A_{\rm FB}$ on $m_{t\bar{t}}$

Unfolding





$$\text{Unfolded } A_{\text{FB}} = \left(19.6 \pm 6.0(\text{stat})^{+1.8}_{-2.6}(\text{syst})\right)\ \%$$

me

- Regularized unfolding via TUnfold class with $50 \rightarrow 26$ bins in Δy
  - Regularize on curvature of event density
- Cross-checked with four bin ML unfolding
- Better statistical strength using regularized unfolding
- Statistical significance from MC@NLO prediction: 2.4 SD

Lepton-based asymmetry



$$A_{\rm FB}^{l} = \frac{N(q_l y_l > 0) - N(q_l y_l < 0)}{N(q_l y_l > 0) + N(q_l y_l < 0)}$$

- Simple observable
- Same technique as measurement of reconstructed $A_{\rm FB}$
- To avoid large acceptance corrections: require $|y_l| < 1.5$
- 1532 events

	l+$\geq$4 jets	l+4 jets	l+$\geq$5 jets
$A_{\rm FB}^{l}$ (%)	14.2$\pm$ 3.8	15.9$\pm$ 4.3	7.0$\pm$ 8.0
MC@NLO $A_{\rm FB}^{l}$ (%)	0.8$\pm$ 0.6	2.1$\pm$ 0.6	-3.8$\pm$ 1.2

Unfolding $A_{\rm FB}^{l}$



$$\text{Unfolded } A_{\rm FB}^{l} = \left(15.2 \pm 3.8(\text{stat})^{+1.0}_{-1.3}(\text{syst})\right)\%$$

- Production level MC@NLO prediction: $A_{\rm FB}^{l} = (2.1 \pm 0.1)\,\%$
- Migrations are very small $\rightarrow$ correct only for acceptance
- Statistical significance from MC@NLO: 3.4 SD

Systematic uncertainties

Measurement dominated by statistical uncertainties

Absolute uncertainty on A_{FB} (%)			
	Reco. level		Prod. level
Source	Prediction	Measurement	Measurement
Jet reco	± 0.3	± 0.5	± 1.0
JES/JER	$+0.5$	-0.5	-1.3
Signal modeling	± 0.3	± 0.5	$+0.3/-1.6$
b-tagging	-	± 0.1	± 0.1
Charge ID	-	$+0.1$	$+0.2/-0.1$
Bg subtraction	-	± 0.1	$+0.8/-0.7$
Unfolding Bias	-	-	$+1.1/-1.0$
Total	$+0.7/-0.5$	$+0.8/-0.9$	$+1.8/-2.6$

Absolute uncertainty on A_{FB}^{l} (%)			
	Reco. level		Prod. level
Source	Prediction	Measurement	Measurement
Jet reco	± 0.3	± 0.1	± 0.8
JES/JER	$+0.1$	-0.4	$+0.1/-0.6$
Signal modeling	± 0.3	± 0.5	$+0.2/-0.6$
b-tagging	-	± 0.1	± 0.1
Charge ID	-	$+0.1$	$+0.2/-0.0$
Bg subtraction	-	± 0.3	± 0.6
Total	± 0.5	± 0.7	$+1.0/-1.3$

Cross checks

- Simultaneously measured A_{FB} for $t\bar{t}$ and W+jets
  - Also included events with 0 b-tags
  - Measured A_{FB} for W+jets in good agreement with simulation





- Checked A_{FB} by solenoid and toroid polarities
  - Found no significant dependence
- Checked A_{FB} by lepton charge
  - Found no significant dependence
- Good agreement between e+jets and μ+jets

A_{FB} and top pair p_T

- Is amount of gluon radiation the same for forward and backward events?

Angular coherence on



Angular coherence off



- If correlation exists, backward events selected more often than forward events
- Effect on measurement is included in systematics: -1.6%
- What is effect on prediction?

Modeling and top pair p_T

- The correlation between $p_T^{t\bar{t}}$ and $A_{\rm FB}$ may be large
- So we checked the modeling of $p_T^{t\bar{t}}$
- Drastic change needed to get simulation to match data for $p_T^{t\bar{t}}$





Bins of 1/2 resolution. Hash marks = uncertainty from jet reconstruction

- Low $p_T^{t\bar{t}} \rightarrow$ less gluon radiation =? larger predicted $A_{\rm FB}$

Summary





- Inclusive results in agreement between DØ and CDF
- But deviate from predictions
- Measure no significant dependencies of A_{fb} on either $m_{t\bar{t}}$ or $|\Delta y|$
- Unfolded $A_{\mathrm{FB}}^{l} = \left(15.2 \pm 3.8(\mathrm{stat})^{+1.0}_{-1.3}(\mathrm{syst})\right)\%$
- Compare to MC@NLO, but note limitations
- For more information: arXiv:1107.4995

Backup Slides

Kinematic fitter

- Answers questions: Which jets came from top quark and which jets came from antitop quark?
- Gets right answer 70% of events where leading four jets are from $t\bar{t}$ decay.
- Constrain m_W to 80.4 GeV and m_t to 172.5 GeV.
- Vary jets within resolution and get χ^2 for each jet permutation.



Reconstructed $A_{\rm FB}$ table

	l+$\geq$4 jets	e+$\geq$4 jets	μ+$\geq$4 jets	l+4 jets	l+$\geq$5 jets
Raw $N_{\Delta y>0}$	849	455	394	717	132
Raw $N_{\Delta y<0}$	732	397	335	597	135
$N_{t\bar{t}}$	1126±39	622±28	502±28	902±36	218±16
N_W	376±39	173±28	219±27	346±36	35±16
$N_{\rm MJ}$	79±5	56±3	8±2	66±4	13±2
$A_{\rm FB}$(%)	9.2±3.7	8.9±5.0	9.1±5.8	12.2±4.3	-3.0±7.9
MC@NLO $A_{\rm FB}$ (%)	2.4±0.7	2.4±0.7	2.5±0.9	3.9±0.8	-2.9±1.1

Reconstructed $A_{\rm FB}^{l}$ table

	l+$\geq$4 jets	e+$\geq$4 jets	μ+$\geq$4 jets	l+4 jets	l+$\geq$5 jets
Raw $N_{q\cdot y_l>0}$	867	485	382	730	137
Raw $N_{q\cdot y_l<0}$	665	367	298	546	119
$A_{\rm FB}^{l}$ (%)	14.2± 3.8	16.5± 4.9	9.8± 5.9	15.9± 4.3	7.0± 8.0
MC@NLO $A_{\rm FB}^{l}$ (%)	0.8± 0.6	0.7± 0.6	1.0± 0.8	2.1± 0.6	-3.8± 1.2

DØ detector


Muon
Tracking
Detectors
Muon
Toroid
Central
Tracking
System
Calorimeter
Muon
Trigger
Detectors
Low Beta Quad.
Electronics
0
meters
5
y