*JETSCAPE Workshop 2018* LBNL, Berkeley, January 7, 2018

# A Hybrid Hadronization Model



**Rainer Fries** 

Texas A&M University







Work in collaboration with Kyongchol Han Che Ming Ko Michael Kordell

#### **Overview**

- Introduction and motivation
- The Hybrid Hadronization model
- Including a medium
- Matching to jet MCs and JETSCAPE



#### **Hadronization Models**

- We propose a new kind of hadronization model: a hybrid of existing models.
  - □ String fragmentation
  - □ Quark recombination
- Goal: extrapolate smoothly between successful vacuum phenomenology of string fragmentation and hadronization in a thermal environment. Focus on hadronization of parton showers/jets.
- Original motivation: in-medium effects for jet hadronization in a medium.
  - □ Hadron chemistry
  - Momentum diffusion
- More recently: modification of hadronization even in small systems?



#### **String Fragmentation**

■ Color flux expelled from the QCD vacuum → color flux tubes → string-like behavior.



- Lund string fragmentation picture
- Successful phenomenology starting at PETRA, LEP, ...  $\rightarrow$  PYTHIA

Here: we will use default PYTHIA string fragmentation.



#### **Hadronization!**









#### **Hadronization?**





# **Quark Recombination**

Densely populated phase space: Recombination of quarks into mesons and baryons?



- Qualitatively similar to recombination in atomic physics.
- Here: instantaneous recombination of constituent quark-like partons to stable hadrons and resonances.
- Recombination models started in the 70s, were only successful in niches:
  - Exclusive processes
  - Leading particle effect
  - □ Since 2000: heavy ion physics



## **Quark Recombination**

- Exclusive processes: recombination of all beam partons:  $\psi \sim \langle 0 | u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} d_{\gamma} | P \rangle$
- Leading particle effect: recombination of produced partons with beam partons
- Charm-strange correlations in heavy ion collisions: strangeness enhancement seen in D<sub>s</sub>.



**CCNU 2017** 





9

#### **Input: Parton Shower**

- For illustration: single jet.
- Perturbative parton showers evolved to a scale Q<sub>0</sub>.

 Decay gluons with remaining virtualities into quark-antiquark pairs.



#### **How Dense are Parton Showers?**

- Distance of quark-antiquark pairs in phase space is the deciding factor for the importance of recombination into mesons.
- Distribution of pair distances in 100 GeV (PYTHIA) parton showers in phase space (in the pair center of mass frame)
- "Our" PYTHIA jets: most of the jet is relatively dense in phase space.
  - Space-time structure reconstructed from formation times.
- Long tails exist (~ large z partons)
- Test for other jet Monte Carlos? Perturbative evolution should not lead to dilute showers, otherwise non-perturbative effects are already dominant.



[K. Han, R.J.F., C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C 93, 045207 (2016)]



• Wigner function coalescence yield:

$$\frac{dN_M}{d^3 \mathbf{p}_M} = g_M \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 d^3 \mathbf{p}_1 d^3 \mathbf{x}_2 d^3 \mathbf{p}_2 f_q(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{p}_1) f_{\bar{q}}(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{p}_2) \\ \times W_M(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{k}_1) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{P}_M - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2), \quad (3)$$

[RJF, V. Greco, P. Sorensen, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 58, 177 (2008)]

$$\frac{dN_B}{d^3 \mathbf{p}_B} = g_B \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 d^3 \mathbf{p}_1 d^3 \mathbf{x}_2 d^3 \mathbf{p}_2 d^3 \mathbf{x}_3 d^3 \mathbf{p}_3 f_{q_1}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{p}_1) \\ \times f_{q_2}(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{p}_2) f_{q_3}(\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{p}_3) W_B(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{k}_1; \mathbf{y}_2, \mathbf{k}_2) \\ \times \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{P}_B - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_3), \quad (4)$$

• Can be turned into a formula for recombination probability (here meson)

$$\overline{W}_{M}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{k}) = \int d^{3}\mathbf{x}_{1}' d^{3}\mathbf{k}_{1}' d^{3}\mathbf{x}_{2}' d^{3}\mathbf{k}_{2}'$$
$$\times W_{q}(\mathbf{x}_{1}', \mathbf{k}_{1}') W_{\bar{q}}(\mathbf{x}_{2}', \mathbf{k}_{2}') W_{M}(\mathbf{y}', \mathbf{k}').$$

- □ Evaluated at equal time in the pair or triplet rest frame.
- □ Throw dice to accept or reject a pair or triplet for recombination.



 Bound state Wigner function derived from harmonic oscillator wave functions (L<sub>n</sub>= Laguerre polynomials).

$$W_n(u) = 2(-1)^n L_n\left(\frac{4u}{\hbar\omega}\right) e^{-2u/\hbar\omega} \qquad u = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2}\left(\frac{x^2}{\sigma^2} + \sigma^2 k^2\right)$$

- For the probabilities to be positive definite, we need proper q, qbar Wigner functions: Introduce Husimi smearing by representing quarks by proper wave packets of width δ.
- For  $\sigma^2 = 2\delta^2$  the result for the overlap of wave packets and Wigner function is extremely simple. The probability densities for the n-th excited states are

$$\overline{W}_{M,n}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{k}) = \frac{v^n}{n!}e^{-v} \qquad v = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mathbf{y}^2}{\sigma_M^2} + \mathbf{k}^2\sigma_M^2\right)$$

- The true shape and size of the input wave packets are not known.
- Hadron wave function widths fixed by measured charge radii.



Rainer Fries

CCNU 2017

- Monte Carlo implementation:
- Evaluate recombination probability for qqbar pairs and q (qbar) triplets.
- Roll dice to decide if recombination happens.
- Recombine into stable hadrons and resonances, let resonances decay

[K. Han, R.J.F., C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C 93, 045207 (2016)]



## **Remnant Strings**

- Naturally there are remnant quarks and antiquarks which have not found a recombination partner.
- Why? No confinement in parton shower, quarks can get far away.
- In reality: colored object needs to stay connected.
- Connect partons with strings, return to PYTHIA for string fragmentation.

#### [K. Han, R.J.F., C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C 93, 045207 (2016)]





#### **Remnant Strings**

Check on recombination probability (100 GeV PYTHIA e+e- jets)





#### **Results: Single Vacuum Jets**

Longitudinal structure: dN/dz of stable particles compared to PYTHIA 6 string fragmentation (e+e-).







#### **Results: Single Vacuum Jets**

Transverse structure:  $dN/d^2P_T$  of stable particles compared to PYTHIA 6 string fragmentation (e+e-).



Generally good agreement with pure string fragmentation.

No precision tuning to data.



# **Adding a Medium**

- Sample thermal partons.
- Add thermal partons to the list of shower partons.
- Apply same recombination MC procedure
  - □ Allow shower-thermal (S-T) mesons, S-T-T + S-S-T baryons.
  - □ Vetoing pure thermal hadrons for now.
- Keep track of energy, momentum, quantum numbers removed from the thermal medium.



# **Adding a Medium**

- Jets in a medium: space-time picture is important.
- All relevant partons have to be on the surface of the QGP or outside the QGP to hadronize.
- Propagate all shower partons to the hadronization hypersurface, or make them part of the medium.





#### Jet + Medium

- iEBE (Ohio State) event-by-event hydro with sampled thermal partons on the  $T=T_c$  hypersurface.
- Plots: 500 PYTHIA (vacuum) showers emerging from the center embedded into an iEBE event
  - □ blue = sampled thermal partons; green = shower; grey = hypersurface



#### **In-Medium Effects**

Demonstration of baryon enhancement (iEbE + PYTHIA)





Ratio(pro/ $\pi$ ) in vacuum and in a 4fm q=2.0Gev<sup>2</sup>/fm brick

MATTER + brick (no flow)





#### **Code Details**

v2.1: single jets, basic in-medium functionality: JET Collaboration

v2.2: current beta version

v3.0: JETSCAPE version (C++) under development

- Input:
  - □ Parton showers with color/string information.
  - □ Sampled thermal partons (JETSCAPE functionality)
  - □ Additional shower partons on the hypersurface.
- Hadronization trigger:  $Q < Q_0$ ,  $T < T_c$



Hadronize	p+p	A+A
Parton showers	YES	YES
Parton-medium interaction	YES	YES
Medium/ underlying event	YES	?

Abilities:

# **Underlying Events: p+p**

- Full p+p event: many strings, junctions, diquarks, ...
- Complexity requires instant "repair" of string objects that have lost partons to other hadronization channels.
- Many possible channels to consider:
- Start with well-defined string structure (e.g. PYTHIA), end with well-defined strings + hadrons: Strings → Strings' + hadrons





















# v2.2 Full p+p Events

- 3-way test:
  - □ PYTHIA
  - □ Hybrid hadronization reco=off
  - □ Hybrid hadronization full
- PYTHIA ~ benchmark.



More tests on the way.



# **Hybrid Hadronization in JETSCAPE**

• v3.0: Existing functionalities + many physics improvements:



## Summary

- We have developed an event-by-event hybrid hadronization module for jet Monte Carlos.
- Quark recombination + string fragmentation.
- Medium effects by sampling thermal partons on a hypersurface.
- Upcoming version: hadronization with underlying events.
- New v3.0 for the JETSCAPE framework: stay tuned.
- Systematic studies of jet medium effects with in-medium jets ongoing.



#### Backup



## **Prepping Parton Showers**

- Example: Sample of 10<sup>6</sup> PYTHIA parton showers with E<sub>iet</sub> = 100 GeV.
- dN/dz and  $dN/d^2P_T$  before vs after gluon decay



Parameters (harmonic oscillator WF case)

TABLE I. Table of measured charge radii R (from Ref. [21]), widths  $\sigma_M$  (and  $\sigma_B$ ), and statistical factor g for all hadrons used in this calculation.

Hadron	$R \; [{\rm fm}]$	$\sigma_M$ (and $\sigma_B$ ) [fm]
π	0.67	1.09
ρ	_	1.09
K	0.56	1.10
$K^*$	_	1.10
N	0.88	1.24
$\Delta$	_	1.24
Λ	_	1.15



#### **Towards a Full Picture**

 Some older results calculated using v1.0 and a blast wave medium reproduces experimental p/π ratio (jet, jet-medium and thermal medium itself included)



[K. Han, C.M. Ko]



# **Dirt Effects or Interesting Physics?**

- Hadronization is interesting in HI physics because
  - □ it connects observables to deconfined partons
  - □ probe-parton interactions at  $T_c$  (hadronization) = lim( $T \rightarrow T_c$ ) probe-parton interactions at  $T > T_c$
- Theoretical control over the process?
- But: wealth of new data, e.g.
  - □ comparison of p+p, p+A and A+A
  - new observables for jet substructure

$$F_{N}(\{p_{i}\}) = \sum_{i_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}} \dots \sum_{i_{N}} E_{i_{1}} E_{i_{2}} \dots E_{i_{N}} f_{N}(\hat{p}_{i_{1}}, \hat{p}_{i_{2}}, \dots, \hat{p}_{i_{N}})$$

$$All \text{ N-tuples} \qquad \text{N Energies} \qquad \underset{(\text{symmetric, vanishes for } \theta_{ij} \rightarrow 0)}{\text{All N-tuples}}$$

$$v e_{n}^{(\beta)} = \sum_{\text{all } n\text{-tuples}} (n \text{ energies}) (v \text{ smallest angles})^{\beta}$$

$$[\text{Jesse Thaler}]$$

.... with varying degree of sensitivity to hadronization.

[A.J. Larkoski, S. Marzani, G. Soyez, J. Thaler: arxiv:1402.2657]

# **Elliptic Flow Scaling**

- At low and intermediate  $P_T$ : scaling with kinetic energy  $KE_T$  and valence quark number  $n_q$ .
- Very good at RHIC, still good at LHC. Up to  $KE_T/n \sim 1.0...1.5$  GeV.

