High-Granularity EM Calorimetry for Forward Measurements

T. Peitzmann (Utrecht University/Nikhef)

Outline

- Physics case for FoCal proposal in ALICE
- FoCal baseline design, performance
- R&D: high-granularity EM calorimeter
- Summary

CFNS Forward Workshop 2018, Stony Brook, 17.10.2018

EPPS16, EPJC 77, 163 PDF Uncertainties and Saturation



- large uncertainties of nPDFs
 - parameterised nuclear modification
 - recently updated to allow more freedom (e.g. flavour dependence)
- *x*-dependence?
 - very little dependence for $x < 10^{-2}$
- non-linear effects from high gluon density
- gluon saturation?



x-Sensitivity – Charm vs. Photons?

	$\sqrt{s} ({\rm TeV})$	y	$p_T ({\rm GeV}/c)$	z	x_2
π	0.2	4	2	0.3	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$
π	8.8	0	2	0.3	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$
jet	8.8	4	20	1	$8.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$
π	8.8	4	2	0.3	$2.8 \cdot 10^{-5}$
D	8.8	4	0	0.5	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$
γ	8.8	4	4	1	$1.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$
γ	8.8	4.5	4	1	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$

$$x_{1,2} \approx \frac{2m_T}{\sqrt{s}} \exp\left(\pm y\right)$$

- LO kinematics estimates provide rather lower limit for x₂
- but: higher orders contribute significant tail towards large x₂
- compare D⁰ (LHCb) and prompt γ (FoCal)
- expect better sensitivity for photons







no analytical approximation, taking into account η of recoil parton





FoCal in ALICE



electromagnetic calorimeter (FoCal-E) for γ and π^0 measurement

preferred scenario:

- at $z \approx 7m$ (outside solenoid magnet) 3.3 < η < 5.3
- add hadronic calorimeter (FoCal-H)

under internal discussion possible installation in LS3

advantage in ALICE: forward region not instrumented, "unobstructed view"

- main challenge: separate γ/π^0 at high energy
- need small Molière radius, high-granularity read-out
 - Si-W calorimeter, effective granularity $\approx 1 \text{ mm}^2$

note: two-photon separation from π^0 decay ($p_T = 10 \text{ GeV}/c$, y = 4.5, $\alpha = 0.5$) is d = 2 mm!



The FoCal Detector – Strawman Design



assuming $\approx 1 \text{ m}^2$ detector surface $_6$



Direct y Performance in pp

- combined rejection (invariant mass + shower shape, isolation)
- combined suppression of background relative to signal: factor ≈ 10
 - largely p_T-independent



ALICE

Impact of Forward Photons on nPDFs



Uncertainties can be improved significantly

Still some discussion ongoing: choice of $\Delta \chi^2$, effect of DGLAP evolution, shape of parameterisation

Work in progress!

Extensive R&D Program Ongoing

- requires to go beyond state of the art
 - pixel sensors needed for pion rejection (two-shower separation)
 - Si-pads needed for energy resolution and timing
- proof of principle demonstrated
 - successful test of first digital pixel calorimeter (JINST 13 (2018) P01014)
 - investigating several options for pad sensor readout
 - ongoing test-beam program
 - test setup with pads currently in ALICE cavern
- still significant R&D steps necessary
 - modifications to ALPIDE sensor
 - optimisation of pad readout
 - general design (minimisation of Molière radius, etc.)

FoCal R&D: Si-pad readout

pad layer prototypes



ORNL/Tsukuba

Kolkata/Mumbai



several calorimeter prototypes with pad readout from different groups

extensive test beam campaigns

recently installed 27 cm x 9 cm full depth prototype in ALICE cavern measurements in pp collisions performance under realistic conditions?

most important issue: readout electronics with sufficient dynamic range (~16bit?) plan to investigate several avenues: SAMPA, CMS-HGCal, ATLAS-VMM, ...

W/Si-Pad Test-Beam Performance



ORNL/Japan Pad prototype with APV/SRS readout



India Pad prototype with custom chip (MANAS/ANUSANSKAR)

test beam performance agrees with simulations papers on instrumentation in preparation

Developing an Extremely Granular Calorimeter



- go beyond state of the art
 - current technologies do not exploit the potential for two-shower separation
- digital pixel calorimeter
 - ~ mm effective cell size useful
 - analog read-out difficult on mm scale
 - use pseudo-analog value from pixel counting: requires order of magnitude smaller pixel sizes

FoCal R&D: Si-W CMOS pixel





24-layer prototype with MIMOSA sensors 39M pixels, 30µm pitch

- half layer with two sensors and 1.5mm W
- two half layers mounted together with opposite orientation to minimise dead areas
- total layer thickness $\approx 1 X_0$
- full active layer with readout boards within 1mm

A: MIMOSA sensor, B: PCB, C: tungsten

most important issue: readout speed/bandwidth MIMOSA sensor much too slow, now moving to ALPIDE sensor

- synergy with ALICE ITS upgrade
- needs chip design steps

preparing prototypes with current ALPIDE

- full pixel prototype
- hybrid (pixel/pad) prototype



Single Event Hit Distribution - FoCal Pixel Prototype



very high hit density in shower core

- not possible to reconstruct single shower particles from pixel clusters
- have to use number of hits as response (not number of clusters)
- saturation (overlap of clusters) likely for very high energy

R&D - Lateral Profiles



average hit densities as a function of radius for different layers

- low energy: early shower maximum, profiles broaden and decay with depth
- high energy: profiles broaden with depth, increase up to shower maximum shower measurements with unprecedented detail!

R&D - Energy Resolution



reasonable energy resolution

- better results expected from LGL
- note: sampling fraction < 1/1000
- possibly still improve calibration, better sensor (ALPIDE) in the future proof of principle of digital calorimetry

first paper published: JINST 13 (2018) P01014 https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.05164

 $\frac{\sigma_E}{E} = a \oplus \frac{b}{\sqrt{E/\text{GeV}}} \oplus \frac{c}{E/\text{GeV}}$

 $a = (2.95 \pm 1.65)\%$

 $b = (28.5 \pm 3.8)\%$

noise term *c* compatible

with pedestal width (fixed in fit)

recent work on improved calibration

slightly worse than MC simulation,

c = 6.3%

not unexpected

16

R&D - Position Resolution



calculate difference of position from

- cluster in layer 0 and
- center of gravity of shower in layers 1 - 23



single shower position resolution obtained from width of residuals

can also provide excellent two-shower separation

R&D Results: Core Energy

detector response (number of hits)

energy resolution



reasonable energy resolution of pixel calorimeter, sufficient for conceptual design

response and resolution for core energy hardly affected down to r = 5mm: adequate for very high particle density

Pixel (HGL) R&D Results: Single Event Profiles

electron pion 10³ 10 Hit density (mm⁻²) Hit density (mm⁻²) 244 GeV EXP pion segment 2 244 GeV EXP electron segment 2 0² 102 a : -0.40 a : -0.08 b : 2.50 b:1.01 10 10 10 10^{-2} 10^{-2} 10 10 15 20 20 25 5 10 25 30 5 10 15 30 Distance to the shower centre (mm) Distance to the shower centre (mm)

electron showers have well defined profile, very narrow shower core pion showers show much larger fluctuation, often much wider

Summary

- Forward photon measurements at LHC provide unique opportunity for low-x physics
 - complementarity with open charm: some advantages for photons
 - needs detector upgrade: proposed FoCal detector in ALICE
 - more physics opportunities with FoCal
- Extensive R&D to go beyond state of the art
 - significant steps on pad sensor readout
 - towards digital pixel calorimetry
 - proof of principle
 - working towards a full-scale detector
 - strong potential for future photon, electron, and jet measurements

Backup Slides

Kusina et al., arXiv:1712.07024

Recent: PDF Fits Using Charm



- open charm used in re-weighting
 - significant reduction of uncertainties
 - significant suppression on the low side of current PDFs
 - significant pQCD uncertainties (scale, fragmentation)
 - relies on shape of parameterisation:

very little *x*-dependence at low *x*!



x-Dependence of PDF modification

 $x \leq x_a$

 $x_a \le x \le x_e$

 $x_e \leq x \leq 1$

EPPS16, EPJC 77, 163

$$R_i^A(x,Q^2) = \begin{cases} a_0 + a_1(x - x_a)^2 \\ b_0 + b_1 x^{\alpha} + b_2 x^{2\alpha} + b_3 x^{3\alpha} \\ c_0 + (c_1 - c_2 x)(1 - x)^{-\beta} \end{cases}$$

- parameterisation of R_A
 - shape similar to EPS09
 - at low x leads to "plateau" in log(x)



more flexible PDF
used for LHeC
estimates



EPPS16

small-x shadowing

 10^{-3}

antishadowing maximum

 $\begin{array}{c} H_{i}^{A}(x,Q_{0}^{2})\\ 1.1\\ 1.1\\ 1.1\end{array}$

1.0

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

 10^{-4}

Helenius, Paukkunen, Armesto, arXiv:1606.09003

 x_e

 y_a

 y_e

 y_0

 x_a

EMC minimum

 10^{-1}

 10^{-2}

Influence of x Dependence

parameterise nuclear modification of gluon PDFs щ ഫ്

reflected in nuclear modification factor

Simple Model based on PYTHIA no proper Q² dependence



24

Main Physics Motivation for FoCal (A Hierarchy)

1. prove or refute gluon saturation

- compare saturation models with linear QCD
- depends on saturation model implementation and flexibility of PDF analytical shape
- 2. show invalidity of linear QCD at low x
 - can all potential measurement outcomes be absorbed in a modified PDF?

3. constrain the PDFs at low x

- nuclei, also protons
- main observable: nuclear modification factor R_{pA} of direct photons
 - saturation stronger in nuclei
 - possibly non-existent in protons (calculation of reference in models?)





Final-State Modification of Open Charm in p–A?



- mechanism for modifications still unclear, possibly final-state interaction!
- relation between initial- and final-state kinematics may be obscured
- introduces additional systematic uncertainty



MAPS sensor: MIMOSA23 (IPHC) full frame readout



Sensor and Readout





read out via 4 Spartan and 2 Virtex FPGAs

continuous data stream of 8GB/s

current sensor too slow (642 μ s/frame)

real detector will likely use derivative of ALPIDE (ALICE-ITS upgrade)

Two Shower Separation

display of single event (with pile-up) from 244 GeV mixed beam



evaluate separation capability: core energy calculate shower energy in cylinder of finite radius study as function of radius

Detector Response



- minimum ionising particle (MIP) peak from pion tracks
- pedestal: noise distribution of full prototype



- response to electrons from SPS test beam
- calculated from per-event hit density distributions

R&D - Energy Linearity



detector response from integrated event-wise hit densities

- fit with linear and power law function, good linearity (power $\beta = 0.98$) note - not yet corrected:
- different calibration for low and high energy
- small effects of saturation at high energy

R&D - Cumulative Lateral Profiles



extract cumulative distributions both per layer and integrated

- some lateral leakage at higher energy small Moliere radius: $R_M \approx 11mm$
 - \approx 75% of hits within R = 5mm, 50% within R = 3mm, ...

R&D - Hadron Rejection



hadron rejection for realistic pion momentum spectrum:

- cases of high deposited energy suppressed from low interaction probability
- additional rejection for low deposited energy from shower shape

More FoCal Physics Topics

- low-x gluons (n)PDFs, saturation
 - direct photon R_{pA}
 - π^0 - π^0 correlations
 - dijet correlations



- ridge/flow-like phenomena in pp, pA
 - correlations: forward photon mid-rapidity hadron
- jet quenching at large y
 - neutral pion R_{AA}
- miscellaneous
 - reaction plane in Pb–Pb

