Hard diffraction

Boris Kopeliovich Valparaiso, Chile



EDERICO SANTA MARIA

QCD factorization in diffraction

The triple-Regge graphs for diffractive DIS, can be interpreted as a way to measure the structure function (PDFs) of the Pomeron. (Ingelman-Schlein)

Once the parton densities in the Pomeron are known and factorization is at work, one can predict the cross section of any hard hadronic diffraction, A.Donnachie & P.Landshoff

 $\sigma_{\rm sd}^{\rm DY}({\bf pp} \to \bar{\bf ll} \, {\bf Xp}) = {\bf G}_{{\bf P}/{\bf p}} \otimes {\bf F}_{\bar{\bf q}/{\bf P}} \otimes {\bf F}_{{\bf q}/{\bf p}} \otimes \hat{\sigma}(\bar{\bf qq} \to \bar{\bf ll})$

However, data from the Tevatron contradict the predictions by an order of magnitude. Diffractive factorization fails for several reasons. The main is interaction with the spectator partons, which suppress large rapidity gaps and considerably modify the scale dependence.







Outline

Diffractive DIS is soft-dominated

B.Povh & BK, Z.Phys. A354(1997)467

Diffractive Drell-Yan

I.Potashnikova, I.Schmidt, A.Tarasov & BK, PRD 74(2006)114024 R.Pasechnik & BK, EPJ C71(2011)1827

Diffractive gauge bosons R.Pasechnik, I.Potashnikova & BK, PRD 86(2012)114039

Diffractive heavy flavors

I.Potashnikova, I.Schmidt, A.Tarasov & BK, PRD 76(2007)034019





Diffractive Higgs production

S.Brodsky, I.Schmidt, J.Soffer & BK, PRD 76(2007)034019 R.Pasechnik, I.Potashnikova & BK, PRD 92(2015)094014

Diffractive dijets

R.Pasechnik, I.Potashnikova & BK, arXiv:1897.05548

Diffractive DIS: aligned jets

Mean dipole separation $\langle \mathbf{r}^2 \rangle =$				
$\mathbf{Q}^2 \mathbf{z} (1 - \mathbf{z})$				
Hard: $\mathbf{z} \sim 1 - \mathbf{z}$; $\langle \mathbf{r^2} angle \sim 1/\mathbf{Q^2}$				
Soft: $\mathbf{z} \sim \mu^2/\mathbf{Q}^2 \ll 1$; $\langle \mathbf{r}^2 \rangle \sim 1/\mu^2$				
			incl	dit
Fluctuation	$W_h^{\gamma*}$	σ^{hN}_{tot}	$W_h^{\gamma *} \sigma_{tot}^{hN}$	$W_h^{\gamma*}$
Hard	~ 1	$\sim 1/Q^2$	$\sim 1/Q^2$	$\sim 1/$
Soft	$\sim \mu^2/Q^2$	$\sim 1/\mu^2$	$\sim 1/Q^2$	$\sim 1/$
- 104				
• Naively one could expect $\sigma_{\rm diff} \propto 1/Q^{-1}$				
• Naively one could expect $\sigma_{ m diff} \propto W^{4\Delta}$ vs				
$\Delta \Rightarrow \Delta_{ m soft} \sim 0.1$, and the two energy				



 $\mathbf{z}) + \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{2}}$

ff $(\sigma^{hN}_{tot})^2$ Q^4 $\mu^2 Q^2$

B.Povh & BK, Z.Phys. A354(1997)467

but it is $1/Q^2$, like $\sigma_{ m incl}$

$\sigma_{\rm incl} \propto {\rm W}^{2\Delta}$ but in diffraction

dependences turn out to be similar

Inclusive Drell-Yan via dipoles

Parton model description is not Lorentz invariant.

In the rest frame of the target Drell-Yan reaction looks like radiation of a heavy photon (or Z, W) decaying into a dilepton.



The cross section is expressed via the dipoles looks similar to DIS

$$\frac{d\sigma_{inc}^{DY}(qp \to \gamma^* X)}{d\alpha \, dM^2} = \int d^2 \mathbf{r} \, |\Psi_{q\gamma^*}(\mathbf{\tilde{r}}, \alpha)|^2 \, \sigma\left(\alpha \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x_2}\right) \qquad \text{B.K. 1995}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{where} \quad \alpha = \mathbf{p}_{\gamma^*}^+ / \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{q}}^+ \\ \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathbf{incl}}^{\mathbf{DY}}(\mathbf{pp} \to \gamma^* \mathbf{X})}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{M}^2 \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{F}}} = \frac{\alpha_{\mathbf{em}}}{3\pi \mathbf{M}^2} \frac{\mathbf{x}_1}{\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2} \int\limits_{\mathbf{x}_1}^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\alpha} \mathbf{F}_2^{\mathbf{p}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_1}{\alpha}\right) \end{array}$$





$$\sigma(\mathbf{qp} \to \gamma^* \mathbf{X})$$

 $d \ln \alpha$

J.Raufeisen, A.Tarasov & BK PLB 503(2001)91

Diffractive radiation of a heavy photon by a quark is impossible



 $\left. rac{d\sigma^{DY}_{inc}(qp
ightarrow \gamma^* qp)}{dlpha \, dM^2}
ight|_{p_T=0} = 0 \quad !!!$

In both Fock components of the quark, $|q\rangle$ and $|q\gamma^*\rangle$ only quark interacts. No dispersion \Rightarrow no diffraction.

This conclusion holds for any abelian diffractive radiation, like W, Z bosons, Higgs, etc.



The incoming hadron wave function is a linear combination of different Fock states, which are eigenstates of interaction, i.e. can experience only elastic scattering. E.g. dipoles.

Diffractive excitation is possible only due to difference between elastic amplitudes of different Fock states (Good & Walker). Indeed, if all the components interacted equally, the wave packet would remain unchanged, i.e. no new state could be produced.

The quark radiating the heavy photon gets a shift in its location by $\, r \sim 1/M \,$ The diffractive amplitude has the Good-Walker structure, $\sigma(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}) - \sigma(\tilde{\mathbf{R}} - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}) = \frac{2\sigma_0}{\mathbf{R}_0^2(\mathbf{x}_2)} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathbf{R}^2/\mathbf{R}_0^2}$ **GBW:** $\sigma(\mathbf{r}) = \sigma_0 \left(1 - e^{-\mathbf{r}^2/\mathbf{R}_0^2} \right)$

7



Large hadronic dipoles without and with a hard DY fluctuation.

$$\frac{\mathbf{\hat{c}}(\mathbf{x_2})}{\mathbf{hard-soft}} + \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{r^2})$$

Differently from DIS diffractive Drell-Yan gets the main contribution from the interplay of soft and hard scales I.Potashnikova, I.Schmidt, A.Tarasov & BK, PRD 74(2006)114024 R.Pasechnik & BK, EPJ C71(2011)1827

The diffractive amplitude is not quadratic in r like in DIS, but linear. Therefore, the soft part of the interaction is not enhanced in Drell-Yan diffraction, which is as semi-hard, semi-soft, like inclusive DIS.

Such a structure of the diffractive amplitude includes all absorptive corrections (gap survival amplitude), provided that the dipole cross section is adjusted to data.



Saturation leads to a peculiar scale dependence of diffractive Drell-Yan.



The fraction of diffractive Drell-Yan cross section rises with scale, but is steeply falling with energy.





Another test of scale dependence: diffractive Z and W

 Σ_X

Abelian diffractive radiation of any particle is described by the same Feynman graphs, only couplings and spin structure may vary.

R.Pasechnik, I.Potashnikova & BK, PRD 86(2012)114039



UNIVERSIDAD TECNICA FEDERICO SANTA MARL diffractive / inclusive





More of diffractive Z and W



$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{x}_{1}) = \frac{\mathbf{d}\sigma_{\mathbf{sd}}^{\mathbf{W}^{+}}/\mathbf{d}\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{d}\sigma_{\mathbf{sd}}^{\mathbf{W}^{-}}/\mathbf{d}\mathbf{x}_{1}}{\mathbf{d}\sigma_{\mathbf{sd}}^{\mathbf{W}^{+}}/\mathbf{d}\mathbf{x}_{1} + \mathbf{d}\sigma_{\mathbf{sd}}^{\mathbf{W}^{-}}/\mathbf{d}\mathbf{x}_{1}}$$





B. Kopeliovich, Forward Physics, Stony Brook, 2018

12122

Diffractive heavy flavors

Inclusive

Bremsstrahlung (1+2+3) and Production (3+4+5) mechanisms in inclusive production of heavy flavors by a projectile parton (quark or gluon)

I.Potashnikova, I.Schmidt, A.Tarasov & BK, PRD 76(2007)034019 V.Goncalves, J.Nemchik, R.Pasechnik, I.Potashnikova & BK, PRD 96(2007)014010

Diffraction

Higher twist Bremsstrahlung mechanism in diffraction: radiation of a $\bar{Q}Q$ pair by an isolated parton.







Diffractive heavy flavors



Production mechanism in diffraction:



Leading twist $\sigma \propto 1/{
m m_Q}^2$



Test of the scale dependence:



Diffractive higgsstrahlung

Light quark do not radiate Higgs directly, only via production of heavy flavors. Therefore the mechanism is the same as for non-abelian diffractive quark production. R.Pasechnik, B.K., I.Potashnikova PRD92 (2015)094014.







Diffractive Higgs from heavy flavored sea

A larger cross section may emerge due to admixture of heavy flavors. Exclusive Higgs production, $pp \rightarrow Hpp$, via coalescence of heavy quarks, $Q\bar{Q} \to H$

[S.Brodsky, I.Schmidt, J.Soffer & BK, PRD73(2006)113005 S.Brodsky, A.Goldhaber, I.Schmidt & BK, NP B807 (2009) 334

The cross section of Higgs production was evaluated assuming 1% of intrinsic charm, and that heavier flavors scale as $1/m_Q^2$ [M.Franz, M.Polyakov, K.Goeke 2000]. At the Higgs mass 125 GeV intrinsic bottom and top give comparable contributions.









Diffractive dijets

R.Pasechnik, I.Potashnikova & BK, arXiv:1897.05548



Notations:



$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{Bj}} = rac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{s}}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{3}\,\mathrm{jets}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{e}^{-\eta_{\mathbf{i}}}$$

The fractional LC momentum of the target parton (analog of x2 in Drell-Yan)

$$\mathbf{Q^2} = rac{(\mathbf{E_T^1} + \mathbf{E_T^2})^2}{4}$$

The characteristic scale





Diffractive dijets

quark-gluon dijets







•quark-antiquark dijets



Diffractive dijets

Scale dependence





CDF data



Conclusions

Diffractive factorization is heavily broken

- The concept of parton distribution in the Pomeron cannot be applied to hadronic diffraction.
- Survival probability factor does not exist. The diffractive amplitude is a linear combination of elastic, which have rapidity gaps by default.
- Diffractive Drell-Yan
- Diffractive gauge bosons
- Diffractive heavy flavors
- Diffractive Higgs
- Diffractive dijets

All these hard diffraction processes have common features: they are semi-hard, semi-soft. Have leading twist scale dependence, 1/Q2







Diffractive DIS is dominated by soft aligned-jet configuratopns of the q-qbar dipole

This explains why the naive expectations, like $\sigma_{
m diff} \propto 1/Q^4$, or $\sigma_{\rm diff} \propto {\rm W}^{4\Delta}~{
m vs}~\sigma_{\rm incl} \propto {\rm W}^{2\Delta}$ are not correct.

The mechanisms of hadron-induced hard diffraction are different, they are half-hard, half-soft, and have the leading twist behavior.





BACKUPS

Comparison with NLO calculations



