Sbottom Searches at ATLAS

Bart Butler

SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory

BNL Workshop on SUSY
May 4th, 2012

Why heavy flavor SUSY signatures?

SUSY resolves the hierarchy problem and stabilizes the Higgs mass, BUT:

- SUSY, if it exists, is a broken symmetry
- Higgs couplings strongest for the heaviest quark (top)
- stop ($\tilde{t}$) light (≈1 TeV) to avoid fine-tuning (*naturalness*)
- sbottom ($\tilde{b}$) light as well to avoid too much weak isospin violation
- Left/right-handed squark mixing provides possible mechanism

Light third-generation squarks mean:

- High decay branching ratios from gluino/direct production cross sections
- With R-parity conservation, final states with b-jets + $\not{E}_T$

Gluino-mediated Simplified Models



- Focus on gluino ($\tilde{g}$)-mediated sbottom ($\tilde{b}$) decays in the 0 lepton (e, μ) channel.
- Two related phenomenological models used for optimization and limits, both with 4 b-jets + $\not{E}_T$ final state signature
- For more information on simplified models, see arXiv:1105.2838

Cascade (on-shell $\tilde{b}$):

- $m_{\tilde{g}} > m_{\tilde{b}} > m_{\tilde{\chi}^0}$
- $m_{\tilde{q}_{1,2}}, m_{\tilde{t}} >> m_{\tilde{b}}$
- $m_{\tilde{g}}$ and $m_{\tilde{b}}$ varied
- $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0}$ set to 60 GeV

3-body (off-shell $\tilde{b}$):

- $m_{\tilde{b}} >> m_{\tilde{g}} > m_{\tilde{\chi}^0}$
- $m_{\tilde{q}_{1,2}}, m_{\tilde{t}} >> m_{\tilde{b}}$
- $m_{\tilde{g}}$ and $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0}$ varied
- $\tilde{b}$ is off-shell, decay chain is effectively $\tilde{g} \rightarrow b\bar{b} + \tilde{\chi}^0$

Baseline Offline Selections - arXiv:1203.6193

- 2.05 fb^{-1} of data collected with the ATLAS detector in 2011
- Single jet + $\not{E}_T$ trigger
- Baseline offline selections have several different motivations

Motivation	Cut	Details
Data Quality	Detector flags and jet cleaning At least 1 good vertex	Detector status, electronics noise Beam background/cosmic rejection
Trigger	1 jet with $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 130$ GeV $\not{E}_T > 130$ GeV	Turn-on plateau Turn-on plateau
QCD Rejection	$\Delta\phi(J1/2/3, \not{E}_T) > 0.4$ $\not{E}_T/m_{eff} > 0.25$	Fake $\not{E}_T$ due to mis-measured jets
Signal Enhancement	Lepton vetos (e, μ) 2 additional jets with $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 50$ GeV ≥ 1 b-tagged jets (60% eff.)	No loose e or μ "JetFitter" + neural network

$$m_{eff} \equiv \sum_{1,2,3} p_T^{jet} + \not{E}_T$$

Signal Regions

Multivariate optimization procedure:

- Use discovery significance predictions from Monte Carlo simulation
- Create large set of "optimal" signal regions (SRs), one for each point in the signal grids
- Reduce systematically the number of signal regions while ensuring broad sensitivity.

Most sensitive SR



	$m_{eff} > 500$ GeV	$m_{eff} > 700$ GeV	$m_{eff} > 900$ GeV
$\geq$1 b-tag	SR0-A1	SR0-B1	SR0-C1
$\geq$2 b-tag	SR0-A2	SR0-B2	SR0-C2

Top Background Estimation

For top backgrounds (dominant) a semi data-driven approach based on 1-lepton control regions (CRs) was used:

- Exactly 1 electron (muon) with $p_T > 25$ (20) GeV
- $\geq$3 jets with $p_T > 130$, 50 GeV
- $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} > 130$ GeV
- $40 < m_T$ (transverse mass of lepton and $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$) < 100 GeV
- $m_{eff} > 600$ GeV
- $\geq$1, $\geq$2 b-tags

Example CR



Predicted yield in SR is MC Ratio $\times$ 1 l-CR yield (corrected for non-t):

$$N_{SR}^{top} = \left(\frac{N_{SR}}{N_{CR}}\right)_{MC}^{top} \times [N_{CR}^{data} - N_{CR}^{W/Z,MC} - N_{CR}^{others,MC} - N_{CR}^{QCD}]$$

MC ratio allows for partial cancellation of detector and theoretical uncertainties

Other Backgrounds

The W/Z backgrounds were estimated using Monte Carlo simulation.

The QCD background was estimated from data, as leading-order Monte Carlo was not sufficient to provide a reliable estimate.

Fundamental Assumption: $\not\!\!E_T$ in QCD multi-jet background due to mis-measured jets

- Jet momenta in data events with low $\not\!\!E_T$ significance smeared with a jet response function to generate pseudoevents with large $\not\!\!E_T$.
- Validated by comparing data and pseudoevent distributions in QCD-enriched ($\Delta\phi(jet, \not\!\!E_T) < 0.4$) control regions.

Background Systematic Uncertainties

MC-based (W/Z) - 30-80%

- Jet energy scale/resolution: 20-40%
- *b*-tagging efficiency: 20-35%
- Theoretical: 25-30%
- W/Z+heavy flavor: 70%
- Integrated luminosity: 3.7%

QCD - 50-70%

- Smearing function dependency on flavor composition of the low-$\not{E}_T$ unsmeared sample, jet response tuning

Top - 15-40%

SR	JES/ JER	*b*-tag	lepton ID	top theory	others	total
SR0-A1	4	3	2	11	10	15
SR0-B1	3	3	2	20	10	22
SR0-C1	3	4	2	35	11	37
SR0-A2	3	3	2	15	17	23
SR0-B2	3	4	2	20	10	22
SR0-C2	3	2	2	30	12	32

arXiv:1203.6193

Signal Region Data/Simulation Comparisons I

Good agreement is observed between the Standard Model expectation and data for all signal regions.

SR	Top	W/Z	multi-jet/ di-boson	Total	Data
SR0-A1	705 ± 110	248 ± 150	53 ± 21	1000 ± 180	1112
SR0-B1	119 ± 26	67 ± 42	7.3 ± 4.7	190 ± 50	197
SR0-C1	22 ± 9	16 ± 11	1.5 ± 1	39 ± 14	34
SR0-A2	272 ± 70	22.5 ± 15	21 ± 12	316 ± 72	299
SR0-B2	47 ± 11	4.5 ± 3	2.8 ± 1.7	54 ± 11	43
SR0-C2	8.5 ± 3	0.8 ± 1	0.5 ± 0.4	9.8 ± 3.2	8

arXiv:1203.6193

Signal Region Data/Simulation Comparisons II

≥ 1 *b*-tag

≥ 2 *b*-tags



m_{eff}





$\not{E}_T$



arXiv:1203.6193

Limits on 3-body $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ Models ($\tilde{g} \rightarrow b\bar{b} + \tilde{\chi}^0$)



LSP masses below 300 GeV are excluded for gluino masses in the range 200-900 GeV, if $m_{\tilde{g}} - m_{\tilde{\chi}^0} > 100$ GeV

Limits on Cascade $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ Models ($\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{b}$, $\tilde{b} \rightarrow b + \tilde{\chi}^0$)



Gluino and sbotttom masses below 900 GeV and 750 GeV, respectively, are excluded for $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0} = 60$ GeV.

Direct Sbottom Production Simplified Model



- Final state signature is 2 b-jets + $\not{E}_T$
- All other sparticles (gluino) decoupled

Event Selection - arXiv:1112.3832

- 2.05 fb^{-1} of data collected with the ATLAS detector in 2011
- Single jet + $\not{E}_T$ trigger
- Focus on differences with gluino-mediated baseline, marked in red

Motivation	Cut	Details
QCD Rejection	$\Delta\phi(J1/2, \not{E}_T) > 0.4$ $\Delta\phi(J3, \not{E}_T) > 0.2$ $\not{E}_T/m_{eff} > 0.25$	Fake $\not{E}_T$ due to mis-measured jets
Signal Enhancement	==1 additional jet, $p_T > 50$ GeV 2 leading jets b-tagged	"JetFitter" + neural network

Signal regions defined by contransverse mass (m_{CT}), for $t\bar{t}$ reduction

$$m_{CT}^2(b_1, b_2) = [E_T(b_1) + E_T(b_2)]^2 - [\mathbf{p_T}(b_1) - \mathbf{p_T}(b_2)]^2$$

$$m_{eff} \equiv \sum_{1,2} p_T^{jet} + \not{E}_T$$

Background Estimation

- Compared to the gluino-mediated analysis, the background estimation was slightly more involved, as the $t\bar{t}$/single t/W+h.f. and $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu + bb$ backgrounds are co-dominant.
- In both cases, the same semi-data driven procedure used for the gluino-mediated analysis was employed using leptonic control regions.

Background	$\approx$ % of total in SR:			Estimate
	100 GeV	150 GeV	200 GeV	
$Z \rightarrow \nu\nu$ + b-jets	42%	50%	54%	Semi-DD ($2l$ CR)
Top, W+h.f.	54%	40%	35%	Semi-DD ($1l$ CR)
QCD	2%	5%	1%	Jet Smearing (DD)
Diboson, $t\bar{t} + X$	2%	5%	10%	MC

The jet smearing QCD estimate was done in the same way as for the gluino-mediated analysis.

Top/W+h.f. Background Estimation

For top/W+h.f. backgrounds a 1-lepton control region was used:

- Exactly 1 electron (muon) with $p_T > 25$ (20) GeV
- $\geq$2 b-tagged jets with $p_T >$ 130, 50 GeV
- $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} > 80$ GeV
- $40 < m_T$ (transverse mass of lepton and $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$) < 100 GeV

Control Region m_{CT} Distribution



Predicted yield in SR is MC Ratio $\times$ 1l-CR yield (corrected for non-t/W+h.f.):

$$N_{SR}^{top/W+h.f.} = \left(\frac{N_{SR}}{N_{CR}}\right)_{MC}^{top/W+h.f.} \times [N_{CR}^{data} - N_{CR}^{Z,MC} - N_{CR}^{others,MC} - N_{CR}^{QCD}]$$

MC ratio allows for partial cancellation of detector and theoretical uncertainties

$Z \to \nu\nu + bb$ Background Estimation

For the Z+h.f. background a 2-lepton opposite-sign same-flavor control region was used:

- Exactly 2 electrons (muons) with $p_T > 25$ (20) GeV
- $\geq$2 b-tagged jets with $p_T > 80$, 50 GeV
- "adjusted" $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} > 50$ GeV
- $80 < m_{ll} < 101$ GeV (Z mass window)

The momenta of the leptons were added to the $\not{E}_T$ to mimic a $Z \to \nu\nu$ decay. The $t\bar{t}$ contribution to this CR is significant ($\approx 50\%$) and was subtracted using a sideband estimate.





arXiv:1112.3832 auxiliary

Background Systematic Uncertainties

The total systematic uncertainty on the background estimates varies from 21% to 44%, increases with increasing m_{CT} cut, and is dominated by CR statistical uncertainties.

Sub-dominant uncertainties include:

- Top/W+h.f. theoretical uncertainties, 10-15%. Evaluated using additional MC samples with alternative generator, initial/final state radiation parameters, and fragmentation model
- Jet energy scale/resolution, 6-9%
- *b*-tagging efficiency, 5-8%
- W/Z+h.f. theoretical uncertainties, $<5\%$

Results



ATLAS EXPERIMENT

Run Number: 182787, Event Number: 13824019

Date: 2011-05-29 11:51:09 CEST



Signal Region Data/Simulation Comparisons I

Good agreement is observed between the Standard Model expectation and data for all signal regions.

m_{CT} GeV	top, W+h.f. TF (MC)	Z+h.f. TF (MC)	Others MC+JS	Total SM	Data
0	67 ± 10 (60 ± 25)	23 ± 8 (16 ± 9)	3.6 ± 1.5	94 ± 16 (80 ± 35)	96
100	36 ± 10 (34 ± 16)	23 ± 9 (12 ± 7)	3.1 ± 1.6	62 ± 13 (49 ± 25)	56
150	12 ± 5 (13 ± 8)	12 ± 6 (8.3 ± 4.7)	2.7 ± 0.9	27 ± 8 (24 ± 13)	28
200	3.2 ± 1.6 (4.1 ± 3.4)	3.9 ± 3.2 (2.8 ± 1.5)	1.0 ± 0.9	8.1 ± 3.5 (8.0 ± 4.9)	10

arXiv:1203.6193

Signal Region Data/Simulation Comparisons II



Limits on $\tilde{b}\tilde{b}$ Production ($\tilde{b} \rightarrow b + \tilde{\chi}^0$)



LSP masses below 100 GeV are excluded for sbottom masses in the range 250-390 GeV

Summary I

Two searches for sbottom production in hadronic final states with heavy flavor and $\not{E}_T$ was conducted on 2.05 fb^{-1} of LHC data collected with the ATLAS detector.



arXiv:1203.6193

The gluino-mediated search:

- Extends the previous ATLAS limit by ≈200 GeV for the bulk of the mass plane
- Was broadly sensitive to the $\geq$2 b-jet + $\not{E}_T$ signature, not only the specific models used in the interpretation.

Summary II



arXiv:1112.3832

The direct-production sbottom search:

- Was the first sbottom pair production result at the LHC
- Extends the previous CDF/D0 limit by ≈150 GeV for relatively large $\tilde{b}$-$\tilde{\chi}^0$ mass differences.

Plans and Prospects

This is, after all, supposed to be a workshop on **5 fb^{-1}** results...

Gluino-mediated sbottom search

- 5 fb^{-1}, 7 TeV combined gluino-mediated sbottom/stop hadronic analysis in final stages
- Some hope that it would be approved in time for today's talk...alas
- Significant sensitivity increase over 2.05 fb^{-1} analysis, primarily due to use of 3 b-tag signal regions

Direct sbottom search

- 5 fb^{-1}, 7 TeV update in the works, longer term
- ISR-sensitive signal regions (diagonal) will be added, exploration of methods to gain additional sensitivity ongoing

Backup

Gluino-mediated CR Validation - Electron

≥ 1 *b*-tag

≥ 2 *b*-tags



m_{eff}





$\not{E}_T$



arXiv:1203.6193

Gluino-mediated CR Validation - Muon

≥ 1 *b*-tag

≥ 2 *b*-tags



m_{eff}





$\not{E}_T$



arXiv:1203.6193

Gluino-mediated CR Validation

Control Region	top	W/Z	multi-jet/ di-boson	SM	data (2.05 fb^{-1})
CR0-1 (1 ele)	187	48	1	235 ± 45	217
CR0-1 (1 muon)	146	22	1	169 ± 45	177
CR0-2 (1 ele)	53	2	0.1	55 ± 20	64
CR0-2 (1 muon)	42	3	0.1	45 ± 17	62

arXiv:1203.6193

Gluino-mediated Search Effective Cross Section Limits

Signal-independent upper limits on the non-SM contributions to each signal region can be defined in terms of event yield and effective cross section ($\sigma_{eff} = \sigma \times BR \times \epsilon$).

SR	95% CL upper limit	
	N events	σ_{vis}(fb)
	obs. (exp.)	obs. (exp.)
SR0-A1	578 (516)	282 (251)
SR0-B1	133 (133)	65 (65)
SR0-C1	31.6 (34.6)	15.4 (16.9)
SR0-A2	124 (134)	61 (66)
SR0-B2	29.6 (31.0)	14.4 (15.0)
SR0-C2	8.9 (10.3)	4.3 (5.0)

arXiv:1203.6193

ATLAS Detector

The ATLAS detector is one of two general-purpose detectors built to collect pp collision data from the Large Hadron Collider at CERN. It consists of 4 major components: the inner detector (tracking), the calorimeters (energy measurements), the muon spectrometer, and the magnet and cooling systems.