In-Medium Charmonium Production in Proton/Deuteron-Nucleus Collisions (Small System)

Xiaojian Du, Ralf Rapp

Cyclotron Institute
Department of Physics and Astronomy
Texas A&M University

2019.01.13

JETSCAPE Winter School and Workshop 2019

Outline

- Introduction
- Quarkonium Transport Approach
  - Rate Equation
  - Success of the Approach in AA Collisions
- p/dA Collisions with Data: R_{pA} and v_2
  - Nuclear Modification Factor R_{pA}
  - Elliptic Flow v_2
- Summary

X. Du, R. Rapp, arXiv: 1808.10014

Why p/d-A Collisions? Why Quarkonium?



Questions in p/d-A collisions:

- Medium Effects (in such small system)?
- Anisotropies (different from A+A collisions)?

Heavy Quarkonium, J/ψ, $\psi(2S)$, Υ(1S), Υ(2S), ...as a probe:

1. Survive in QGP ($E_{BINDING}$>T_c), 2. Large masses (potential picture works),

3. Various species (bound/melt at different parts of potential), …

→Ideal for probing strong force / $Q\bar{Q}$ potential in medium

Transport Approach

Kinetic Rate Equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_\Psi(\tau)}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = -\Gamma_\Psi(T(\tau))\left[N_\Psi(\tau) - N_\Psi^{\mathrm{eq}}(T(\tau))\right]$$

primordial regeneration

Transport Coefficients

- Reaction Rates $\Gamma_\Psi(T(\tau))$ → NLO Quasi-Free



- Equilibrium Limits $N_\Psi^{\mathrm{eq}}(T(\tau))$ → From Heavy quark conservation

$$N_\Psi^{\mathrm{eq}} = V_{\mathrm{FB}}\gamma_c^2 d_\Psi \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} f_\Psi^{\mathrm{eq}}(E_p;T)$$

Key Parameters

- Coupling α_s → Affects Reaction Rates
  Fixed from Previous Calculations compared with data
- Thermal Relaxation Time → Modifies Equilibrium Limit
  Extracted from Heavy Quark Diffusion Simulations

Key Inputs

- In-Medium $Q\bar{Q}$ Potential/Binding Energy
- Heavy Quark/-onium pp Cross Section -> fugacity factor γ_c
- Initial State Effects (nPDF)
- Fireball Evolution

Binding Energy and Reaction Rates

T-Matrix with U Potential

Quarkonium Binding Energies



Reaction Rates $\Gamma_\Psi(T(\tau))$



- Hierarchy:
  - →Different Melting temperatures for J/ψ, ψ(2S), ...
  - Sequential Suppression …
  - Sequential Regeneration …
- Probing In-Medium Potential



Elliptic Fireball Evolution

1.Need temperature evolution to solve the rate equation (medium effects)

Entropy conserved: $S_{\rm tot} = s(T)V_{\rm FB}(\tau)$ ⟹ Temperature $T(\tau)$

2.Provide elliptic geometry of background medium (anisotropies)

Elliptic medium expansion: $V_{\rm FB} = (z_0 + v_z\tau)\,\pi R_x(\tau)R_y(\tau)$



Key Fireball Parameters:

→ Guided from light hadron spectra and v_2



Temperature Evolution

Success of Transport Approach in AA

Charmonium



ALICE, PLB785 (2018) 419



See also: ALI-PREL-126572

Simultaneous description of ground and excited states:
→Sequential suppression

Has predictive power

Momentum spectra:
→ Demonstrate regeneration
→ Degree of heavy quark thermalization

Bottomonium





X. Du, M. He, R. Rapp, PRC96 (2017), no.5, 054901

Charm/Bottom-onium difference:
Charmonium:
→ Large regeneration
→ Close to thermal
Bottomonium:
→ Small regeneration
→ Far from thermal

Success of Transport Approach in AA





R. Rapp, X. Du, NPA967 (2017) 216

J/ψ Excitation Function:

→ Further demonstration of regeneration

J/ψ and Υ(2S):
similar binding energies
BUT
different excitation functions

→ Due to Large regeneration for J/ψ

Centrality Dependent R_{dA}/R_{pA} at RHIC/LHC

Nuclear modification factor

$$R_{pA} = \frac{N_{pA}}{N_{coll} N_{pp}}$$





- J/Ψ very little suppressed
- Ψ(2S) more suppressed
- Pb-going larger J/Ψ, Ψ(2S) gap than p-going
- Small regeneration contribution

Medium effect





p_T Dependent R_{pA} at the LHC





- Small regeneration contribution:

  Verified by moderate p_T dependence

→ Thermalized and regenerated charmonium accumulates at low-p_T

Elliptic Flow (v_2) at the LHC

Elliptic flow (v_2):

$$\frac{d^2N}{d^2p_T} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{dN(p_T)}{p_T dp_T}(1 + 2v_2(p_T)\cos(2\phi) + \ldots)$$



- Anisotropy in A-A: non-central collision



- Anisotropy in p-A: fluctuation



- v_2 in primordial: leakage effect (geometry)
- v_2 in regeneration: flow effect



- In Plane
- Large flow

- Out Plane
- Small flow

- v_2 compare to experimental data: Puzzle?

Data suggests large J/ψ v_2 but small J/ψ suppression

Large v_2 not from hot medium effect alone, from initial state effect?

Summary

- There is hot medium effect in pA collisions
  → J/ψ and ψ(2S) R_{pA} "gap" (ψ(2S) $R_{pA} < J/\psi\ R_{pA}$)
  → R_{pA} "gap" larger at Pb-going, smaller at p-going

- J/ψ regeneration is small in pA collisions
  → moderate J/ψ $R_{pA}(p_T)$

- Initial state effect might be important for a simultaneous description of R_{pA} and v_2 in pA collisions
  → small J/ψ suppression but large J/ψ v_2

Thank you!

JETSCAPE Winter School and Workshop 2019