### Quarkonium production in pp, p-Pb and ultra-peripheral collisions with the ALICE detector at the LHC

#### S. Hayashi for the ALICE Collaboration Center for Nuclear Study, the University of Tokyo



The fifth installment on the physics of the initial stages of high energy nuclear collisions 24-28 June, 2019

Quarkonium production at LHC

- Quarkonium production in pp collisions at LHC

Heavy-quark pair production + Evolution into colorless state (perturbative) + (Non-perturbative)

- Sensitive to gluon PDF
- Production mechanism still not fully understood
  - ✓ Color Singlet Model PLB102 364 (1981)
  - ✓ Color Evaporation Model PRD 12 2007 (1975)
  - ✓ Non-relativistic Model (NRQCD) PRD 51 1125 (1995)
- Cold nuclear matter (CNM) effects
  - ✓ Modification of gluon distribution (nPDF): Shadowing, saturation
  - ✓ Multiple scattering of incident partons
  - ✓ Coherent energy loss
  - ✓ Break up with co-moving particles
- Ultra-peripheral collisions (p-Pb/Pb-Pb)
  - $\checkmark$  Give access to the investigation of gluon PDF in proton/lead



- Multiplicity dependence of quarkonium production
  - Underlying physics:
    - ✓ Multi-parton interaction (MPI)
    - $\checkmark$  Color reconnection

High multiplicity pp and p-Pb collisions show collectivity similar to that in Pb-Pb collisions

Flow of quarkonium in small systems

Quarkonium measurements in ALICE

- Dilepton decay measurements
  - ✓ Wide rapidity coverage
  - ✓ Down to zero  $p_{\rm T}$

#### Dielectron channel (|y| < 0.9)</p>

- ✓ Charged-particle tracking
- ✓ Electron identification (TPC)



Quarkonium measurements in ALICE

- Dilepton decay measurements
  - ✓ Wide rapidity coverage
  - ✓ Down to zero  $p_{\rm T}$

- > Dimuon channel (2.5 < y < 4)
  - ✓ Muon triggered data



### Quarkonium production cross section in pp collisions

- $\Upsilon$  cross section at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 5.02 TeV with 2017 data set
- > Luminosity increases by a factor 10 w.r.t the previous data set
  - $\checkmark$  Benefit in calculation of the nuclear modification factor
- Inclusive J/ $\psi$  cross section at mid-rapidity at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV
  - → NRQCD including CGC + FONLL (B→J/ $\psi$ ) describes the data down to zero  $p_{\rm T}$

Same conclusion reached at forward rapidity EPJC 77 392 (2017)



Shinlchi Hayashi

### $\int J/\psi$ polarization in pp collisions



 $\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N}{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{cos} \theta \mathrm{d} \varphi} \propto 1 + \lambda_\theta \mathrm{cos}^2 \theta + \lambda_{\theta \varphi} \mathrm{sin} 2\theta \mathrm{cos} \varphi + \lambda_\varphi \mathrm{sin}^2 \theta \mathrm{cos} 2\varphi$ 

#### Z-axis:

✓ Collins-Soper:

Direction of the relative velocity of the colliding beams in the J/ $\psi$  rest-frame

✓ Helicity:

Direction of J/ $\psi$  in the Lab-frame



- No significant J/ $\psi$  polarization measured
  - CSM and NRQCD cannot describe all polarization parameters

### Multiplicity dependence of quarkonium production in pp collisions



- Linear increase at forward rapidity:  $J/\psi$ ,  $\Upsilon(1S)$ ,  $\Upsilon(2S)$ 
  - Uncertainties large enough to be consistent with the CMS results
    - $\checkmark \Upsilon$  (nS)/ $\Upsilon$ (1S) decreases with multiplicity at mid-rapidity *JHEP04 103 (2014)*
- Stronger than linear (steeper at high  $p_{\rm T}$ ) increase at mid-rapidity (in same  $\eta$  range) : Auto-correlation
  - Qualitative agreement with model calculations including MPI (Pythia8 Monash 2013)

ALICE

# $\bigcup_{\text{ALICE}} J/\psi \langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle \text{ vs multiplicity in pp collisions}$

- No strong collision energy dependence
  - ✓ Increase of  $\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle$  at low multiplicity
  - $\checkmark$  A little saturation trend towards higher multiplicity



### Charmonium production in p-Pb collisions

- Suppression of J/ $\psi$  production at forward rapidity
  - Generally described by initial state effects (including shadowing/saturation)
    - ✓ nPDF: EPS09NLO, nCTEQ15, EPPS16, CGC
    - ✓ Coherent energy loss
    - ✓ Transport model: nPDF + Cronin effect + fireball evolution+ feeddown
- Stronger suppression of  $\psi$  (2S), in particular at backward rapidity
  - Models including shadowing and interaction with co-moving particles describe the data



- Centrality estimation using ZDC (112.5m away from IP)
  - $\checkmark$  Smallest biases from multiplicity fluctuation, Jet contribution, etc



- Mid- and forward rapidity: Little centrality dependence
  Reasonable agreement with model calculations within current model uncertainties
- ✓ Backward rapidity: Increasing  $Q_{\rm pPb}$  for more central collisions
  - Discrepancy between the data and model calculations

ALICE

### (15) production in p-Pb collisions

- Suppression of  $\Upsilon(1S)$  both at forward and backward rapidity
  - A model including the shadowing effect (EPS09NLO)
    - $\checkmark$  Reasonable agreement at forward rapidity
    - $\checkmark$  Overestimate the data at backward rapidity
  - No strong centrality dependence observed



# $\Upsilon$ (1S) and $\Upsilon$ (2S) production in p-Pb collisions

- Suppression for  $\Upsilon(1S)$  and  $\Upsilon(2S)$  at both forward and backward rapidity
  - >  $\Upsilon$  (2S) shows slightly stronger suppression compared to  $\Upsilon$  (1S)
  - Models including shadowing and interaction with co-moving particles describe the data



Multiplicity dependence of  $J/\psi$  production in p-Pb collisions

- Rapidity dependence seen
  - Linear increase at backward rapidity: similar behavior as in pp
  - Slower than linear increase at forward rapidity
- Strong saturation trend of  $\langle p_T \rangle$  at high multiplicity
  - > Saturated  $\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle$  : Compatible with  $\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle_{\rm MB}$
  - Monotonic increase for charged particles at same charged-particle density PLB 727 371 (2013)



Shinlchi Hayashi

## $\int \int \frac{1}{\psi} v_2$ in high multiplicity p-Pb collisions



> Similar magnitude of  $J/\psi v_2$  in p-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions

The origin of positive  $v_2$  is not understood yet.

A transport model, which describes a large  $v_2$  at low  $p_T$  in Pb-Pb, cannot describe the data in p-Pb arXiv:1808.10014



- Ultra-peripheral collisions (UPC)
  - $\checkmark$  Impact parameter larger than sum of nuclear radii
    - Hadronic interaction suppressed
    - ➤ The electromagnetic field of ions can be viewed as an equivalent flux of photons(∝ Z<sup>2</sup>)
- Exclusive charmonium production
  - $\checkmark$  2 gluons exchange process at leading order
    - Cross section is proportional to the gluon PDF squared
    - Hard scale of  $J/\psi$  production

✓ 
$$Q^2 \sim (M_{J/\psi}^2/4) \sim 2.5 \text{ GeV}^2$$

$$\checkmark x \sim 10^{-5} - 10^{-2}$$



Investigation of gluon PDF at low x in the target



- ✓ Central trigger: VOA·VOC·ADA·ADC·SPD·TOF(2≦hits≦6, back-to-back)
- ✓ Forward trigger: VOA·ADA·ADC·di-muon





- ✓ Central trigger: VOA·VOC·ADA·ADC·SPD·TOF(2≤hits≤6, back-to-back)
- ✓ Forward trigger: VOA·ADA·ADC·di-muon



### Exclusive J/ $\psi$ production in ultra-peripheral p-Pb collisions

- The energy in the photon-target proton system can be determined with J/ψ rapidity
  ✓ x~2x10<sup>-5</sup>-2x10<sup>-2</sup>
- $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$  data shown > Consistent with the HERA data
  - ✓ CCT: Saturation in an energy dependent hot spot model
  - ✓ JMRT NLO: DGLAP including the expected main NLO contributions
  - ✓ CGC: Color dipole model
  - ✓ NLO BFKL: Proton impact factor from HERA
  - ✓ STARLIGHT: Parameterized by fixed target data

Power low parameter

H1	δ=0.67±0.03
ZEUS	δ=0.69±0.02
ALICE	$\delta = 0.70 \pm 0.05$



ALICE

### Exclusive J/ $\psi$ production in ultra-peripheral p-Pb collisions

- The energy in the photon-target proton system can be determined with J/ψ rapidity
  ✓ x~2x10<sup>-5</sup>-2x10<sup>-2</sup>
- √s<sub>NN</sub> = 5.02 TeV data shown
  ≻ Consistent with the HERA data
  - ✓ CCT: Saturation in an energy dependent hot spot model
  - ✓ JMRT NLO: DGLAP including the expected main NLO contributions





### Coherent J/ $\psi$ production at forward rapidity in Pb-Pb collisions



 $\checkmark$  Over 20000J/ $\psi$ s available



Measurement consistent with moderate shadowing model

✓ Same conclusion reached in the previous mid-rapidity measurement

EPJC73, 2617(2013)

- Run-2(2015, 2018) mid-rapidity analysis is ongoing
  - ✓ Higher statistics and cross sections than the previous data
    - 95  $\mu$ b<sup>-1</sup> (2015) + 250  $\mu$ b<sup>-1</sup> (2018) for 5.02 TeV  $\leftarrow$  23  $\mu$ b<sup>-1</sup> for 2.76 TeV

18



pp collisions

 $\checkmark$  J/ $\psi$  and  $\Upsilon$  cross section down to zero  $p_{\rm T}$  and J/ $\psi$  polarization

- Production mechanism still open question
- $\checkmark$  Increase of the yields as a function of charged-particle density
  - No particle species dependence: J/ $\psi$ ,  $\Upsilon$ (1S),  $\Upsilon$ (2S)
  - Qualitatively described by the model including MPI
- p-Pb collisions
  - ✓ Described by CNM model calculations except for backward rapidity
  - ✓ Non-zero  $v_2$  and saturation trend of  $\langle p_T \rangle$  for J/ $\psi$  in high multiplicity events
- Ultra-peripheral collisions
  - ✓ Precise forward rapidity dependence in Pb-Pb collisions
    - Agreement with moderate shadowing models
  - ✓ Run2 data (high statistics and collision energy) analysis is ongoing
    - Mid-rapidity in Pb-Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$
    - p-Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16 \text{ TeV}$



### p-Pb measurements



 $\Delta y = 0.465$  in p-going direction

Forward (p-going):

Probing low-x in Pb:  $10^{-5} - 10^{-4}$ Backward (Pb-going):

Probing large-x in Pb:  $10^{-2}$  -  $10^{-1}$ Mid-rapidity:

Probing *x* in Pb : 10<sup>-4</sup> - 10<sup>-3</sup>



## $\int J/\psi$ -hadron correlation in pp collisions



- High multiplicity selection: 0-0.1% highest multiplicity in V0
- Clear near-side peak observed in the correlation of J/ $\psi$  ( $p_T > 5$  GeV/c) and hadrons with  $p_T > 1$  GeV/c
  - > Pythia 8 (Monash 2013 tune) describes the data Suggest the large contribution from non-prompt (B-feeddown) J/ $\psi$  for the near-side peak

Multiplicity dependence of  $J/\psi$  production at mid-rapidity in pp



#### LI-PREL-128843

- ✓ String percolation Ferreiro *PRC86 (2012) 034903*
- ✓ Hydro dynamical evolu.on (EPOS3) Phys. Rept.350 (2001) 93
- ✓ Multi parton interaction (PYTHIA8)
- ✓ Contribu.ons of higher Fock states Kopeliovich PRD88 (2013) 116002

Multiplicity dependence of  $J/\psi$  production at forward rapidity in pp collisions



# Pythia8 Monash 2013 production





ALI-SIMUL-134966



0

ALI-SIMUL-133490

2

3

4

5

 ${}^6_{\mathrm{dN}_{\mathrm{ch}}\!/\!\mathrm{d}\eta}$ 

 $\overline{\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle}_{INEL>0}$ 

# $\underbrace{\mathsf{Multiplicity dependence of } \Upsilon \text{ production}}_{\mathsf{ALICE}}$



Shinlchi Hayashi



ALI-PREL-307424





### $\underbrace{\mathsf{Multiplicity dependence of } \Upsilon \text{ production at CMS}}_{\mathsf{ALICE}}$







✓ Suppression at low p<sub>T</sub>
 ✓ Small enhancement at backward rapidity
 Consistent with nPDF trends

Model calculations describe the data within the uncertainties.

- ✓ nPDF: EPS09NLO, nCTEQ15, CGC
- ✓ Coherent energy loss
- ✓ Transport model: nPDF(EPS09NLO) + Cronin effect + fireball evolution+ feeddown

### $J/\psi R_{\rm pPb}$ vs $p_{\rm T}$ at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$ = 8.16 TeV









Shinlchi Hayashi

#### Prompt/Non-promptJ/ $\psi$ in p-Pb collisions ALICE







ALI-PUB-161251







 $[\sigma_{\psi(2S)}/\sigma_{J/\psi}]_{pPb}/[\sigma_{\psi(2S)}/\sigma_{J/\psi}]_{pp}$ PHENIX d-Au \s<sub>NN</sub>= 200 GeV |y<sub>cms</sub>|<0.35 PRL 111 202301(2013) . ALICE, p-Pb √*s*<sub>№</sub>= 5.02 TeV <sup>2</sup> Inclusive J/ $\psi$ ,  $\psi$ (2S)  $\rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$  $-4.46 < y_{cms} < -2.96$ centrality-analysis  $2.03 < y_{cms} < 3.53$ p\_-analysis (JHEP 06(2015)55) centrality-analysis O p\_-analysis (JHEP 06(2015)55) 0.5 4×10<sup>-5</sup>  $10^{-4}$ 2×10<sup>-2</sup> 3×10<sup>-2</sup>  $\langle \tau_{c} \rangle$  (fm/c)

ALI-PUB-105839







Coherent charmonium production at mid-rapidity in Pb-Pb collisions in

- J/ $\psi$  measurement consistent with moderate shadowing
- $\psi$  (2S) data disfavor models using impulse approximation (no nuclear effects) and strong nuclear shadowing
  - ✓ Difficult to give an preference between gluon shadowing and Glauber nuclear effects only (as STARLIGHT)

AN-AB-MSTW08 AN-AB-HKN07 AN-AB-EPS09 AN-AB-EPS08 **GZ-RSZ-LTA** GZ-RSZ-EPS09 **GDGM-GM No Shadowing** GDGM-GM Moderate Shad. GDGM-GM Strong Shad LM STARLIGHT -0.1 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.5 σ(ψ(2S)) / σ(J/ψ) AT.T-PIIB-96047

 $R(\sigma(\psi(2S)/\sigma(J/\psi))) = 0.34^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$ 



✓ Need to understand  $\gamma$  +p →  $\psi$  (2S) + p baseline

Run1