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## STAGES OF EM FIELD EVOLUTION

## valence electric charges



EM field is generated by $Z$ protons of each heavy-ion moving on the lightcones:

1. Before the collision: ions move towards each other.
2. After the collision, but before the QGP formation: valence quarks move away from each other; there is a small correction due to the baryon stopping.
3. After the QGP formation: valence quarks move away from each other; electric currents in QGP contribute to the field.

## STAGE 1 (BEFORE THE COLLISION)

Ions about to collide

$$
\boldsymbol{B}_{1}=\frac{\gamma e v \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}}{4 \pi} \frac{b}{\left(b^{2}+\gamma^{2}(v t-z)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}
$$

## STAGE 2 (AFTER THE COLLISION, BEFORE QGP)



## STAGE 2 (AFTER THE COLLISION, BEFORE QGP)

Maxwell equations in the Lorentz gauge: $\quad \nabla^{2} \boldsymbol{A}_{1}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\partial_{t}^{2} \boldsymbol{A}_{1}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)-\boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)$,

$$
\boldsymbol{j}=\int_{\text {ion A }} e v \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \delta(z-v t) \delta(\boldsymbol{b})-\int_{\text {ion B }} e v \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \delta(z+v t) \delta(\boldsymbol{b})
$$

Solution:

$$
\boldsymbol{A}_{1}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\int_{\text {ion A }} \frac{\gamma e v \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}}{4 \pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^{2}+\gamma^{2}(v t-z)^{2}}}-\int_{\text {ion B }} \frac{\gamma e v \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}}{4 \pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^{2}+\gamma^{2}(v t+z)^{2}}}
$$

## STAGE 3 (AFTER QGP FORMATION)

## Assumptions:

1. QGP emerges instantaneously at $t=t_{0}$
2. QGP is nonmagnetic ( $\mu=1$ ), neutral (no net electric charge), electric currents are Ohmic with constant electric conductivity $(\sigma)$ :

$$
\varepsilon=1+i \sigma / \omega
$$

$\Rightarrow \quad$ Emergent plasma at $t=t_{0}$ produces no new EM field (which would've been an artifact of the initial conditions) because it is neutral and $\varepsilon_{0}=1$.
$\Rightarrow$ Initial conditions: E, B must be continuous at $t=t_{0}$

## STAGE 3 (AFTER QGP FORMATION) CONT.

## Assumptions (cont.):

3. Electromagnetic field does not affect QGP flow. This seem to hold to a few \% accuracy.

Roy, Pu, Rezzolla, Rischke, Pang, Endrodi, Petersen Greif, Greiner, Xu Voronyuk, Toneev, Cassing, Bratkovkaya, Konchalovski, Voloshin<br>Gursoy, Kharzeev, Marcus, Rajagopal (Mohapatra, Saumina, Srivastava disagree)<br>$\Rightarrow$ Do not need to solve the Relativistic MHD to compute E, $B$

Using the gauge condition $\quad \partial_{t} \varphi+\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}+\sigma \varphi=0 \quad$ Maxwell equations read

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\nabla^{2} \varphi_{2}+\partial_{t}^{2} \varphi_{2}+\sigma \partial_{t} \varphi_{2}=\rho \\
& -\nabla^{2} \boldsymbol{A}_{2}+\partial_{t}^{2} \boldsymbol{A}_{2}+\sigma \partial_{t} \boldsymbol{A}_{2}-\sigma \boldsymbol{u} \times\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A}_{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{j},
\end{aligned}
$$

## INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM FOR EM FIELD IN QGP

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\nabla^{2} \boldsymbol{A}_{2}+\partial_{t}^{2} \boldsymbol{A}_{2}+\sigma \partial_{t} \boldsymbol{A}_{2}-\sigma \boldsymbol{u} \times\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A}_{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{j} \\
& A_{2}^{\mu}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t_{0}\right)=A_{1}^{\mu}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t_{0}\right) \equiv \mathcal{A}^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{r}) \\
&\left.\partial_{t} A_{2}^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)\right|_{t=t_{0}}=\left.\partial_{t} A_{1}^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)\right|_{t=t_{0}} \equiv \mathcal{V}^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{r})
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution:
see Morse, Feshbach

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{2}^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)= & \int_{\tau}^{t_{0}+} d t^{\prime} \int d^{3} r^{\prime} j^{\mu}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) G_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t \mid \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) \\
& +\left.\int d^{3} r^{\prime}\left[\sigma \mathcal{A}^{\mu}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)+\mathcal{V}^{\mu}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)\right] G_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t \mid \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t_{0}} \\
& -\left.\int d^{3} r^{\prime} \mathcal{A}^{\mu}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \partial_{t^{\prime}} G_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t \mid \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Need: the retarded Green's function $G_{2}$

## COMPUTING THE GREEN'S FUNCTION G 2

1. Assume that the u-term is small. For example, in the blast wave model:

$$
\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\frac{u_{o}}{R_{o}} \boldsymbol{b} \theta\left(R_{o}-b\right)+\frac{\boldsymbol{z}}{t} \quad \begin{array}{cc}
R_{o}=7.5 \mathrm{fm} \\
u_{o}=0.55
\end{array} \quad \text { where } \quad \text { Teaney }
$$

typical distances $b \ll R_{o}$
Treat the u-term perturbatively: $\boldsymbol{A}=\boldsymbol{A}^{(0)}+\boldsymbol{A}^{(1)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\nabla^{2} \boldsymbol{A}^{(0)}+\partial_{t}^{2} \boldsymbol{A}^{(0)}+\sigma \partial_{t} \boldsymbol{A}^{(0)}=\boldsymbol{j} \\
& -\nabla^{2} \boldsymbol{A}^{(1)}+\partial_{t}^{2} \boldsymbol{A}^{(1)}+\sigma \partial_{t} \boldsymbol{A}^{(1)}=\sigma \boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B}^{(0)} \\
& -\nabla^{2} G+\partial_{t}^{2} G+\sigma \partial_{t} G=\delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitute $G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t \mid \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=e^{-\sigma t / 2} \mathcal{G}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t \mid \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad-\nabla^{2} \mathcal{G}+\partial_{t}^{2} \mathcal{G}+m^{2} \mathcal{G}=e^{\sigma t^{\prime} / 2} \delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)$. where $m=i \sigma / 2$

Klein-Gordon equation

## COMPUTING THE GREEN'S FUNCTION G 2 (CONT.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t \mid \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{1}{4 \pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sigma\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)} \frac{\delta\left(t-t^{\prime}-R\right)}{R} \theta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { the original pulse } \\
& \longrightarrow+\frac{1}{4 \pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sigma\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)} \frac{\sigma / 2}{\sqrt{\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)^{2}-R^{2}}} I_{1}\left(\frac{\sigma}{2} \sqrt{\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)^{2}-R^{2}}\right) \theta\left(t-t^{\prime}-R\right) \theta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

wake produced by the induced currents $\Rightarrow \quad$ solve for $\boldsymbol{A}^{0}$

## COMPUTING THE GREEN'S FUNCTION G2 (CONT.)




FIG. 3. The vector potential $\boldsymbol{A}=\boldsymbol{A}^{(0)}+\boldsymbol{A}^{(1)}$ created at a representative point $z=0, b=1 \mathrm{fm}, \phi=\pi / 6$ (see Fig. 2) in QGP by a remnant of the gold ion moving with the boost-factor $\gamma=100(\sqrt{s}=0.2 \mathrm{TeV})$ and impact parameter $|\boldsymbol{s}|=3 \mathrm{fm}$.

Conclusion: QGP expansion is a small correction to the total EM field

## THE PULSE FIELD

$$
G_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t \mid \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sigma\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)} \frac{\delta\left(t-t^{\prime}-R\right)}{R} \theta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)
$$

Dissipation due to work done by the field on the medium currents

$$
\boldsymbol{A}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\int G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t \mid \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{j}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) d^{3} r^{\prime} d t^{\prime}=\frac{e v \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}}{4 \pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^{2}+b^{2} / \gamma^{2}}} \exp \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left.-\frac{\sigma \gamma^{2}}{2}\left(-v \xi+\sqrt{\xi^{2}+b^{2} / \gamma^{2}}\right)\right\} \\
\xi=v t-z
\end{array}\right.
$$

A good approximation: $\sqrt{\xi^{2}+b^{2} / \gamma^{2}} \gg 1 / \sigma \gamma^{2} \sim 10^{-5} \mathrm{fm} \quad$ and $\quad b / \gamma \ll \xi \quad \Rightarrow$

$$
\boldsymbol{B}_{a}^{(0)} \approx \frac{e v}{8 \pi} \hat{\phi} \frac{\sigma b}{\xi^{2}} e^{-\frac{\sigma \xi}{2(1+v)}} e^{-\frac{b^{2} \sigma}{4 \xi}}, \quad \xi>0 . \quad \text { (the "diffusion approximation") }
$$

Note: no dependence on the collision energy!

Full solution: $\quad A_{2}^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left\{\int d^{3} r^{\prime} \frac{1}{R} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sigma R} j^{\mu}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t-R\right) \theta\left(t-t_{0}-R\right)\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(t-t_{0}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sigma\left(t-t_{0}\right)} \oint_{S_{r}^{t-t_{0}}}\left[\sigma \mathcal{A}^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{r})+\mathcal{V}^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{r})\right] d \Omega \\
& \left.+\partial_{t}\left[\left(t-t_{0}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sigma\left(t-t_{0}\right)} \oint_{S_{r}^{t-t_{0}}} \mathcal{A}^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{r}) d \Omega\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a sphere of radius t - $\mathrm{t}_{0}$ with the center at $\boldsymbol{r}$

## THE WAKE FIELD

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{A}_{a}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\frac{e v \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}}{4 \pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^{2}+b^{2} / \gamma^{2}}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\sigma \gamma^{2}}{2}\left(-v \xi+\sqrt{\xi^{2}+b^{2} / \gamma^{2}}\right)\right\} & \text { pulse } \\
\boldsymbol{A}_{b}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}, t) \approx \frac{e \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}}{4 \pi} \frac{\sigma v}{4} \exp \left\{-\frac{\sigma \gamma^{2}}{2}\left(-v \xi+\sqrt{\xi^{2}+b^{2} / \gamma^{2}}\right)\right\} & \text { wake }
\end{array}
$$

The pulse dominates when $\sqrt{\xi^{2}+b^{2} / \gamma^{2}} \ll 4 / \sigma \sim 10^{2} \mathrm{fm}$.
$\Rightarrow$ in QGP the wake plays no role!

## HIGH-ENERGY (DIFFUSION) APPROXIMATION

For an ultrarelativistic charge $\quad \partial_{z}^{2}-\partial_{t}^{2} \sim k_{z}^{2} / \gamma^{2} \ll k_{\perp}^{2}, \sigma k_{z}$
$\Rightarrow$ The retarded Green's function obeys the 2D diffusion equation

$$
-\nabla_{\perp}^{2} G_{\mathcal{D}}+\sigma \partial_{t} G_{\mathcal{D}}=\delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t \mid \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) & =\int \frac{d^{3} p}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi} \frac{e^{-i \omega\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)+i \boldsymbol{p} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)}}{p_{\perp}^{2}-i \omega \sigma}=\frac{1}{4 \pi t} \delta\left(z-z^{\prime}\right) \theta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) e^{-\frac{\sigma\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\perp}-\boldsymbol{r}_{\perp}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{4\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)}} \\
& \Rightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{A}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\frac{e \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}}{4 \pi(t-z / v)} e^{-\frac{\sigma b^{2}}{4(t-z / v)}} \theta(t-z / v)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can solve this problem even for time-dependent conductivity!
Introduce a new "time-variable" $\quad \lambda(t)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{d t^{\prime}}{\sigma\left(t^{\prime}\right)}$

## MAGNETIC FIELD IN CONFIGURATION SPACE

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{B}=\boldsymbol{B}_{\text {val }}+\boldsymbol{B}_{\text {init }} \\
e \boldsymbol{B}_{\text {val }}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \frac{\alpha \pi b}{2 \sigma(z / v)[\lambda(t)-\lambda(z / v)]^{2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{b^{2}}{4[\lambda(t)-\lambda(z / v)]}\right\} \theta(t v-z) \theta\left(z-v t_{0}\right), \\
e \boldsymbol{B}_{\text {init }}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \gamma \alpha v \int_{0}^{\infty} d k_{\perp} k_{\perp} J_{1}\left(k_{\perp} b\right) \exp \left\{-k_{\perp}^{2} \lambda(t)-k_{\perp} \gamma\left|z-v t_{0}\right|\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Remarks:

1. Electric conductivity of QGP plays a crucial role in EM dynamics.


## MAGNETIC FIELD IN CONFIGURATION SPACE

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{B}=\boldsymbol{B}_{\text {val }}+\boldsymbol{B}_{\text {init }} \\
e \boldsymbol{B}_{\text {val }}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \frac{\alpha \pi b}{2 \sigma(z / v)[\lambda(t)-\lambda(z / v)]^{2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{b^{2}}{4[\lambda(t)-\lambda(z / v)]}\right\} \theta(t v-z) \theta\left(z-v t_{0}\right), \\
e \boldsymbol{B}_{\text {init }}(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \gamma \alpha v \int_{0}^{\infty} d k_{\perp} k_{\perp} J_{1}\left(k_{\perp} b\right) \exp \left\{-k_{\perp}^{2} \lambda(t)-k_{\perp} \gamma\left|z-v t_{0}\right|\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Remarks:

1. Electric conductivity of QGP plays a crucial role in EM dynamics.
2. Energy dependence: $B_{\text {init }}(r, t) \sim \gamma$, while $B_{\text {val }}(r, t) \sim \gamma^{0}$
3. Late time-dependence: $B_{\text {init }}(r, t) \sim 1 / t^{3 / 2}$, while $B_{\text {val }}(r, t) \sim 1 / t^{2}$

## TWO COUNTER-PROPAGATING CHARGES




FIG. 3: Magnetic field in units of $m_{\pi}^{2} / e . \sigma=5.8 \mathrm{MeV}, z=0.6 \mathrm{fm}(\eta=0.086)$. Left panel: $t_{0}=0.2 \mathrm{fm}$, right panel: $t_{0}=0.5 \mathrm{fm}$. Solid, dashed and dotted lines stand for $B, B_{\mathrm{init}}$ and $B_{\mathrm{val}}$.



FIG. 4: Magnetic field in units of $m_{\pi}^{2} / e . \sigma=5.8 \mathrm{MeV}, z=0.2 \mathrm{fm} t_{0}=0.2 \mathrm{fm}$. Solid, dashed and dotted lines stand for $B, B_{\text {init }}$ and $B_{\text {val }}$. Left panel: $\gamma=100$ (RHIC), right panel: $\gamma=2000$ (LHC).

## ANOMALOUS CURRENTS IN QGP

$$
\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B}=\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{E}+\boldsymbol{j}+c_{A}\left(\partial_{t} \theta \boldsymbol{B}+\boldsymbol{\nabla} \theta \times \boldsymbol{E}\right)
$$

The anomalous currents $\quad \boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{CME}}=\sigma_{\chi} B \quad \boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{AHE}}=\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{E}$
Chiral magnetic effect
Anomalous Hall Effect

Kharzeev, McLerran, Warringa (2008)

Time

## INSTABILITY EM FIELD

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}=0 \\
& \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{D}=e \delta(z-v t) \delta(\boldsymbol{b}), \\
& \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E}=-\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{B} \\
& \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{H}=\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{D}+\sigma_{\chi} \boldsymbol{B}+e v \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \delta(z-v t) \delta(\boldsymbol{b})
\end{aligned}
$$

The dispersion relation $\omega_{1,2}=\frac{-i \sigma k_{\perp}^{2} \pm k_{\perp} \sigma_{\chi} \sqrt{k_{\perp}^{2}-\sigma^{2}-\sigma_{\chi}^{2}}}{\sigma^{2}+\sigma_{\chi}^{2}}$

$$
\boldsymbol{B}=\int \frac{d^{2} k_{\perp}}{(2 \pi)^{2}} e^{i \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}}\left\{\frac{i}{\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}}\left[e^{-i \omega_{1} x_{-}} \boldsymbol{f}\left(\omega_{1}\right) \theta\left(k_{\perp}-\sigma_{\chi}\right)-e^{-i \omega_{2} x_{-}} \boldsymbol{f}\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right] \theta\left(x_{-}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\left.-\frac{i}{\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}} e^{-i \omega_{1} x_{-}} \boldsymbol{f}\left(\omega_{1}\right) \theta\left(\sigma_{\chi}-k_{\perp}\right) \theta\left(-x_{-}\right)\right\}
$$

## OSCILLATIONS OF MAGNETIC FIELD

$$
B_{\phi}=\frac{e b}{8 \pi x_{-}^{2}} e^{-\frac{b^{2} \sigma}{4 x_{-}}}\left[\sigma \cos \left(\frac{b^{2} \sigma_{\chi}}{4 x_{-}}\right)+\sigma_{\chi} \sin \left(\frac{b^{2} \sigma_{\chi}}{4 x_{-}}\right)\right]
$$



FIG. 2: Magnetic field of a point charge as a function of time $t$ at $z=0$. (Free space contribution is not shown). Electrical conductivity $\sigma=5.8 \mathrm{MeV}$. Solid line on both panels corresponds to $B=B_{\phi}$ at $\sigma_{\chi}=0$. Broken lines correspond to $B_{\phi}$ (dashed), $B_{r}$ (dashed-dotted) and $B_{z}$ (dotted) with $\sigma_{\chi}=15 \mathrm{MeV}$ on the left panel and $\sigma_{\chi}=1.5 \mathrm{MeV}$ on the right panel. Note that the vertical scale on the two panels is different.

## SUMMARY

1. To compute EM field in HIC one has to solve the initial value problem
2. Electric conductivity of QGP plays a crucial role in EM dynamics:
3. Contribution of the initial conditions increases with energy, while the valence one doesn't.
4. The topological effects may be important

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a } \\
& \text { a }
\end{aligned}
$$

## TIME-DEPENDENT CONDUCTIVITY

Two models: $\quad \sigma(t)=\frac{\sigma}{2^{-1 / 3}\left(1+t / t_{0}\right)^{1 / 3}}, \quad$ Model A.

$$
\sigma(t)=\sigma\left(1-e^{-t / \tau}\right), \quad \text { Model B } \quad \tau=1 \mathrm{fm}
$$




FIG. 5: Magnetic field in units of $m_{\pi}^{2} / e . z=0.2 \mathrm{fm} t_{0}=0.2 \mathrm{fm}$. Left panel: model A. Right panel: model B. Solid, dashed and dotted lines stand for $B, B_{\mathrm{init}}$ and $B_{\mathrm{val}}$.

Time-dependence of conductivity is important at later times.

