





CHARGE CURRENT QUASI-ELASTIC SCATTERING OF NEUTRINOS AND ANTINEUTRINOS AT MINERVA

August 15,2013

Laza Rakotondravohitra Fermilab-University of Antananarivo Madagascar On Behalf of Minerva Colaboration





Quasi-elastic scattering

>Relativistic Fermi Gas Model (RFG)

MINERvA experiment
 Isolating a QE sample
 Systematic uncertainties
 Interpretation of the results

Future directions

Quasi-elastic scattering

- >Neutrino or antineutrino scattering from a free
- or bound nucleon
- >No observed pions in the final state
- >Neutrino transformed to a charged lepton

$$\nu_{\mu} + n \to \mu^{-} + p$$
$$\bar{\nu}_{\mu} + p \to \mu^{+} + n$$



E_{v} and Q^{2} are recontructed from muon kinematics assuming that the nucleon is at rest.

$$E_{\nu}^{QE} = \frac{2(M_n - E_B)E_{\ell} - \left[(M_n - E_B)^2 + m_{\ell}^2 - M_p^2\right]}{2[M_n - E_B - E_{\ell} + p_{\ell}\cos(\theta_{\ell})]}$$

$$Q_{QE}^2 = -m_\ell^2 + 2E_\nu^{QE} \left(\frac{E_\ell}{E_\ell} - \sqrt{\frac{E_\ell^2}{E_\ell} - m_\ell^2} \cos(\theta_\ell) \right)$$

• M_n = neutron mass

uasi-elastic scattering

- M_p = proton mass
- E_B = nucleon binding energy
- $\cdot m_{I}$ = lepton mass
- E_1 , q_1 = lepton energy and angle

<u>Relativistic Fermi Gas Model (RFG)</u>

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2} = \frac{M^2 G_F^2 \cos^2 \theta_C}{8\pi E_\nu^2} \times \left[AQ^2 \right) \mp \frac{(s-u)B(Q^2)}{M^2} + \frac{C(Q^2)(s-u)^2}{M^2} \right]$$

$$\overset{\text{Llewellyn Smith, C.H., 1972, Phys. Rep. C3, 261.}{}$$

- Free nucleon cross-section
- Nucleons behave as if they are independent in the mean field of the nucleus
- A,B and C are in function of 2 differents form-factors

(a)

$$\begin{split} F_1^V(Q^2) &= \frac{G_E^V(Q^2) + \tau G_M^V(Q^2)}{1 + \tau} & 2 \text{ Vector Form Factors} \\ F_2^V(Q^2) &= \frac{G_M^V(Q^2) - G_E^V(Q^2)}{1 + \tau} & (F_1, F_2) \end{split}$$

$$F_A(Q^2) = rac{F_A(0)}{\left(1 + Q^2/M_A^2
ight)^2}$$
 Axial-Vector Form Factor (F_A)

RFG model

- F_v (Vector Form Factors)
 measured from electron
 scattering.
- Assume a dipole form
 for F_A (Axial-Vector Form
 Factor)

> use neutrino CCQE scattering data to determine the axial mass parameter This is the value of Ma measured in Deuterium buble chamber $M_A \approx 1.0 \text{ GeV}/c^2$

$$F_A(Q^2) = \frac{F_A(0)}{\left(1 + Q^2/M_A^2\right)^2}$$



<u>Nuclear Effect not included in the RFG</u>



> Lower-energy experiments predict M_A =1.35 GeV, NOMAD predicts M_A =1.03 GeV when fitting to the same model.

➢ Best fits of MiniBooNE, SciBooNE and NOMAD cross-sections to the RFG model for carbon

Additional energy dependent nuclear effects behind the RFG model? are there other nuclear effect?

<u>Multi-nucleon effects</u>

meson exchange currents (MEC) which may enhance part of the cross section significantly

>Low-momentum correlated pair can have high-momentum constituent nucleons

Transverse vs. longitudinal cross-section in electron-N QE scattering on ⁴He

Transverse enhancement is seen in electron-scattering cross-sections



J. Carlson et al, PRC 65, 024002 (2002)

$$\psi' = \frac{\sqrt{\omega^2 + 2M\omega} - q}{k_F}$$

scaling parameter to show the transverse enhancement



~80 collaborators from particle and nuclear physics University of Athens University of Texas at Austin Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas Fermilab University of Florida Université de Genève Universidad de Guanajuato Hampton University Inst. Nucl. Reas. Moscow Mass. Col. Lib. Arts Northwestern University University of Chicago

Otterbein University Pontificia Universidad Catolica del Peru University of Pittsburgh University of Rochester Rutgers University Tufts University University of California at Irvine University of Minnesota at Duluth Universidad Nacional de Ingeniería Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María William and Mary



Minerva Experiment

Minerva Detector



Charge-sharing triangular strips for ~3mm position resolution

Detector comprised of **120 "modules"** stacked along the beam direction Central region is **finely segmented scintillator tracker** ~32k plastic scintillator channels

Quasi-Elastic candidate event





Quasi-Elastic event selection: Fiducial volume





Quasi-Elastic:Recoil Energy cuts









 v_{μ} CCQE

 v_{μ} non-CCQE

Quasi-Elastic Event Candidates: Kinematic distributions



Error Summary



$$\nu_{\mu} + n \to \mu^- + p$$

do/dQ² Shape

Restricting to the *shape* of the cross-section greatly reduces the impact of several mostly normalization errors, including knowledge of the neutrino fluxes

Absolute cross section



G. A. Fiorentini, D. W. Schmitz, P. A. Rodrigues et al. (MINERvA Collaboration), Measurement of Muon Neutrino Quasielastic Scattering on a Hydrocarbon Target at E~3.5GeV, , Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 022502 (2013) L. Fields, J. Chvojka et al. (MINERvA Collaboration), Measurement of Muon Antineutrino Quasielastic Scattering on a Hydrocarbon Target at E~3.5GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 022501 (2013)



Vertex Energy distribution



A harder neutrino-mode energy spectrum is seen in data than MC

- It is not seen in antineutrino mode
- All systematics considered, including energy scale errors on charged hadrons and FSI model uncertainties





Examine annular rings around the reconstructed vertex

- Out to 10 cm for antineutrino (~120 MeV proton)
- Out to 30 cm for neutrino (~225 MeV proton)



Differential cross-section do/dQ² for neutrinos and antineutrinos on a hydrocarbon (CH) target has been measured.

-> Integrated over the NuMI fluxes between 1.5 – 10 GeV

-> CCQE dσ/dQ2 shape distributions prefer RFG+TEM model with M≈ 1 GeV for both neutrino and antineutrino

Extra energy near vertex suggests additional protons in 25% of CCQE events in neutrino mode only, consistent with correlated nucleon pairs Reduce pions background with michel tag electron in neutrino sample

 \geq µ+p reconstruction. Push on *low-energy tracking* threshold of protons to reconstruct final states like

- Double-differential cross sections in muon kinematics
- CCQE in nuclear targets
- ➤ Two track Quasi-elastic.

$$\pi^+ \to \mu^+ \to e^+$$

 $\mu + p + p$

 $\frac{d^2\sigma}{dT_\mu d\theta_\mu}$





NuMI beamline



Systematic uncertainties

• Flux

- Simulated with GEANT4, reweighted by NA49 data
- Recoil energy reconstruction
 - Overall scale from muons, test beam for hadrons
- Muon energy reconstruction
 - Dominated by MINOS momentum errors
- Hadron interaction model
 - Affects FSI, hadron interactions inside detector
- Primary interaction (GENIE)
 - Impacts background subtraction
- Other
 - Detector mass, cross-talk, other detector effects

Vertex energy error summary



Dominated by modeling uncertainties (GENIE)