

LArIAT (Liquid Argon in a Test Beam)

Andrzej Szelc Yale University



08/15/2013; DPF2013, Santa Cruz





- LArIAT @ at the Fermilab Test Beam Facility.
- The detector.
- Physics goals.
- Conclusions.

US LAr R&D Program



Yale TPC



Location: Yale University Location: Fermilab operational: 2007





Active volume: 0.002 ton Active volume: 0.02 ton operational 2008

ArgoNeuT



Location: Fermilab Active volume:0,3 ton operational: 2008 First neutrinos: June 2009 **MicroBooNE**



Location Fermilab Active volume: 0.1 kton **Operational: 2014**

LAr1



LBNE



L'ocation: Fermilab Active volume: 1 kton



Location: Homestake Active volume: 10/35 kton Construction start: 2016? Construction start 202?

Luke



Location: Fermilab **Operational: since 2008**



Location:Fermilab Purpose: materials test st Purpose: LAr purity demo **Operational: 2011**



Location:Fermilab Purpose:LArTPC calibration Operational:2014 (phase 1)



Location: LANL Purpose: LArTPC calibration Operational:2014

LBNE 35 Ton



Location: Fermilab Purpose: purity demo Operational: 2013





The physics effects we want to measure are becoming more and more subtle. Need to keep errors as small as possible. Increasing statistics is hard! So let's try systematic errors.



LArTPC Calibration



Calibration is one of the critical steps to understanding the response of any detector.

Surprisingly, there haven't been many dedicated calibrations of LArTPCs.





Understanding parameters like energy resolution and particle ID capabilities will allow future experiments to lower their systematic errors which may prove essential in precision measurements they are aiming at.



The LArIAT program @ FNAL



Setting up a test facility to calibrate and test LArTPCs and their components using a beam of charged particles

Phase-I: Reuse the ArgoNeuT detector with small modifications – *should allow relatively quick operation* – <u>planned start of data taking:</u> <u>spring 2014</u>

Phase-II: Larger LarTPC - Design facility for broader, longer-term use. Sized to contain as much of hadronic showers as possible.



One unique cryogenic/purification facility at FNAL designed to operate for both phases and to allow future tests of LAr detectors



Fermilab Testbeam Facility





Beam Optimization



We will use a Tertiary beam (identical to MINERvA beam test).

We are in the process of optimizing the beam configuration to obtain a spectrum of charged particles closely resembling that of future neutrino experiments.





Tertiary Beam

The Detector





- LArIAT will reuse the ArgoNeuT cryostat and TPC (see ArgoNeuT talk) – allows for relatively quick return time.
- Modifications to cryostat and TPC are needed.
- The detector will have a light collection system.



Cryostat and TPC modifications



- Beam Window flange and excluder
- Side Flange for PMT feedthrough.
- Bottom flange to allow a liquid recirculation system.
- Modify the existing TPC wire frame support structure in order to remove any interference with the PMTs
- Planning to restring the existing wire planes which have been in place since the ArgoNeuT era







^{08/15/2013;} DPF2013, Santa Cruz

Experiment setup in progress







LAr R&D Timeline



LArIAT physics goals



- Optimizing the particle ID:
 - For protons, kaons and pions measuring the recombination factors.
 - For electrons and gammas measuring the dE/dx $\overleftarrow{\mbox{$\chi$}}$ separation.
- Non-magnetic muon sign determination. \star
- Anti-proton event studies.
- Testing new technologies!
- Phase 2: Continue studies from phase 1.
- EM and hadronic shower containment studies.

Visible energy calibration

₹300 g

250



- It depends on the electron recombination in LAr.
- By studying the dQ/dx of stopping tracks of known • energy we can precisely determine the recombination parameters





dQ/dx vs dE/dx (< ϕ > = 80°)

5.82/18

1.969 / 18

 0.7928 ± 0.01812 0.0492 ± 0.002441

 0.9095 ± 0.03286 0.3019 ± 0.005493

Gamma/electron separation

Crucial for separating v_e^{CC} signal from π^0 background coming from NC interactions.

Electrons are found in beam. Photons generated via brehmsstrahlung in 1X₀ pre-shower disk.

e



Do not need shower containment

Muon Sign Determination



76% μ - undergo capture, 24% decay resulting in different topologies: Non magnetic sign determination possible!

K[±] reconstruction





Kaon dE/dx to differentiate from Protons.

Will provide insight into possible proton decay topologies.



Energy measurements



Benchmark MC

<u>Electrons</u> ~80% containment for p <1 GeV/c <u>Stopping range</u> protons p<1 GeV/c

This will be done better in phase II



Study of p events in LAr





Antiproton Star Observed in Emulsion*

O. CHAMBERLAIN, W. W. CHUPP, G. GOLDHABER, E. SEGRÈ, AND C. WIEGAND, Radiation Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California

AND

E. AMALDI, G. BARONI, C. CASTAGNOLI, C. FRANZINETTI, AND A. MANFREDINI, Istituto di Fisica della Università, Roma Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Roma, Italy



Low momentum anti-protons in the beam (even at a small rate) will allow the first study of hadron star topology from p-p annihilation at rest in Argon

- π^{\pm} , π^{0} , K[±], etc.. multiplicity in hadron stars can be accurately determined utilizing LAr imaging detector capabilities.

- This information is very relevant for n-n oscillation searches at future large underground LArTPC detectors.





- LArIAT is an important component of the LAr R&D program
- It has a rich physics program that will enhance the capabilities of future neutrino detectors.
- Well aligned with MicroBooNE and LBNE in terms of time and particle energies.
- Phase I will start taking data next spring!
- Phase II will provide a testing ground for LArTPC technology in the future.







The LArIAT Collaboration:

Fermilab R. Acciarri, P. Adamson, B. Baller, A. Hahn, D. Jensen, T. Junk, M. Kirby, H. Lippincot, A. Marchionni, K. Nishikawa, J. Raaf, E. Ramberg, B. Rebel, M. Stancari, G. Zeller

Yale B.T. Fleming, F. Cavanna, E. Church, O. Palamara, A. Szelc Syracuse U M. Soderberg, J. Asaadi William and Mary M. Kordosky, P. Vahle Michigan State U C. Bromberg, D. Edmunds, D. Shooltz U Texas Austin K. Lang, J. Huang **U Texas Arlington** J. Yu, A. Farbin, S. Park U Chicago W. Foreman, J. Ho, D. Schmitz U Cincinnati R. Johnson, J. St. John U Minnesota Duluth A. Habig, R. Gran Caltech R. Patterson Argonne J. Palev U College London (UK) R. Nichol, J. Thomas Imperial College London (UK) M. Wascko Manchester U (UK) J. Evans, P. Guzowski U of L'Aquila and INFN Gran Sasso Lab (It) F. Cavanna*, O. Palamara* (*presently at Yale) **Boston U** E. Kearns LSU F. de Maria Blaszczyk, W. Metcalf, M. Tzanov