





Richard Kass on behalf of the DBM group

August 16, 2013



<u>Outline of Talk</u> Motivation The ATLAS DBM Concept DBM Design DBM Status Summary



Luminosity is a counting issue: requires good segmentation in space or time Problems occur when µ can not be reliably measured DPF_Santa Cruz





In order to make a precise determination of the luminosity at the highest instantaneous luminosity & energy we need a detector that is: radiation hard: accumulate 2x10¹⁵ n_{eq}/cm² fast: ~nsec resolution, resolve beam bunches stable/reliable: last for ~ "10 years" sensitive to charged particles: prefer min. ionizing Diamond is a good sensor candidate...

©radiation hard
©short collection time
©low leakage current
©excellent thermal conductor
Signal size ~2.5 less than silicon for same thickness







10

15

20

25

30

35

40

Both of these systems monitor the LHC beams: can abort the LHC beams essential for determining luminosity R. Kass

DPF Santa Cruz

50

time Ihl





DBM Motivation: lessons learned

Two independent luminosity measurements BCMH & BCMV: (H=horizontal, V=vertical)



In 2012 BCM achieved a 1.9% luminosity measurement! (BUT issues with vDM scans increased systematic error to ~3.5%)

DPF Santa Cruz



DBM Motivation



The BCM will begin to saturate at ~10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹: 😕



More segmentation \rightarrow Diamond Beam Monitor (DBM) \bigcirc Increase number of channels by ~ 10⁵

DPF Santa Cruz



The ATLAS DBM Concept



Build on success of BCM - pixelate the sensors

- Use IBL diamond pixel demonstrator module use many of the same pieces (FEI4, etc) as IBL to save time/money same segmentation as IBL sensors, 50 x 250 μm^2
- Install during new Service Quarter Panel (nSQP) replacement
- Four 3-plane stations on each side of the IR







24 diamond pixel modules arranged in 8 telescopes provide

- Bunch by bunch luminosity monitoring
- Bunch by bunch beam spot monitoring

Installation happening now!







Specs:

- Bunch by bunch luminosity monitoring (<1% stats/sec)
- Bunch by bunch beam spot monitoring (unbiased sample, ~ 1cm)







DBM Diamond Sensor Plan



Diamond Sensors for DBM:

Type: polycrystalline CVD diamond Charge collection distance > 250 μ m (as measured with Sr90 source) Size: 21 x 18 mm², 525 \pm 25 μ m thickness Number: 24 for DBM modules + spares 5 for Irradiation studies



 $21 \times 18 \text{ mm}^2 \text{ pCVD}$ diamond

Two diamond suppliers involved: II-VI (US based) Diamond Detectors Limited (DDL)/E6 (UK based) © DDL ceases operations while filling our order....



About as much as in the diamond Richard Burton bought for Liz Taylor in 1969 ~70 carats Auctioned in 1978 for \$5M http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taylor-Burton_Diamond

Each DBM sensor is ~ 3.2 carats (1 carat= 200 mg) Entire DBM: 24 sensors ~ 76 carats! Price of all DBM diamond < \$150k







At OSU we put conducting contacts ("gold dots") on the diamond & measure the charge collection properties in the region of each dot. Use Sr90 as a source of particles for charge collection measurements



We also make a map of the current draw as we measure CCD Good regions have I < 5 nA at 1000V in air



DBM Module Production



Wafer is cut into pieces and shipped back to OSU

sensors











Sensors are sent to IZM to be made into modules

put pixel pattern on diamond bump bond diamond to FEI4









DBM Module Testbeam Studies



Three Testbeam campaigns Learning about FE-I4 performance Calibration/tunings for low threshold performance

Prototype Modules Tested: 21mmx 18mm pCVD diamond w/FE-I4A 336 x 80 = 26880 channels 50 x 250 µm² pixel cell



Results: Noise map uniform, Efficiency >95%, spatial resolution digital













ASIC developed to provide L1A trigger for the DBM by using the FEI4 Hitbus outputs

Each DBM hitbus chip services 2 telescopes so 4 chips needed for entire DBM

Use IBM 130nm 8RF CMOS Size: 4.59 mm x 1.06mm

Incorporates shared circuit blocks from FEI4 collaboration & custom blocks designed at OSU





Half DBM System Block Diagram







Hitbus Chip Irradiation



Needed to certify that Hitbus Chip is rad hard

Irradiated 2 Hitbus chips to $4.3 \times 10^{15} \text{ p/cm}^2$ (115 Mrad)

Used 24 GeV proton beam at CERN

Both chips survived

Slight increase in supply current consumption

All functionality active during irradiation Test system checked for SEU in the received data

Looked for flipped bits in SEU latches change of Hitbus desired function Reconfigured the chip if error detected Only 664 reconfiguration events needed (for both chips)







Current Status of DBM



DBM currently being assembled at CERN Potentially ~30 modules constructed

Modules still being processed at IZM

In the process of picking the best 24 modules to be installed

Measure I vs V to find range of operation Sr90 source scan on each module find disconnected pixels (bad bump bonds)

find noisy pixels measure relative efficiency vs V and threshold measure clusters vs threshold



Modules will be assembled into telescopes in ~ week

telescope = group of three modules, total of 8 telescopes in DBM

Telescopes installed in September







DBM has ~ 6.25×10⁵ channels (LEP's DELPHI pixel detector ~ 12×10⁵ channels)



DPF Santa Cruz







- Construction of the largest diamond pixel tracker underway
- Satisfies constraints for precision luminosity measurement
 - Bunch by bunch measurement
 - Background separation uses z resolution
- Should be robust against
 - Pile-up
 - Radiation damage

ATLAS Diamond Beam Monitor TDR: ATU-DBM-001





Backup Slides





* The properties of diamond make it interesting/useful for particle detectors: radiation hard, short collection time, low leakage current, high thermal conductivity

Property	Diamond	Silicon
Band gap [eV] Low leakage	5.5	1.12
Breakdown field [V/cm]	107	3×10 ⁵
Intrinsic resistivity @ R.T. [Ω cm]	> 10 ¹¹	2.3×10 ⁵
Intrinsic carrier density [cm ⁻³]	< 10 ³	1.5×10 ¹⁰
Electron mobility [cm²/Vs]	1900	1350
Hole mobility [cm²/Vs]	2300	480
Saturation velocity [cm/s]	0.9(e)-1.4(h)x 10 ⁷	0.82× 10 ⁷
Density [g/cm³]	3.52	2.33
Atomic number - Z	6	14
Dielectric constant - ε Low cap	5.7	11.9
Displacement energy [eV/atom] Rad hard	43	13-20
Thermal conductivity [W/m.K] Heat spreader	~2000	150
Energy to create e-h pair [eV]	13	3.61
Radiation length [cm]	12.2	9.36
Interaction length [cm]	24.5	45.5
Spec. Ionization Loss [MeV/cm]	6.07	3.21
Aver. Signal Created / 100 µm [e ₀] Low Noise, Low signal	3602	8892
Aver. Signal Created / 0.1 X ₀ [e ₀]	4401	8323

Diamond as sensor material



Radiation Studies

Single-crystal CVD & poly CVD fall along the same damage curve Proton damage well understood At all energies diamond is >3x more radiation tolerant than silicon

DPF_Santa Cruz





Charge Collection in CVD Diamond

Detectors Constructed with Diamond:







 ♦ d=(μ_eτ_e + μ_hτ_h)E where d = collection distance = ave. dist. e-h pair move apart
 ♦ d=μEτ = vτ with μ = μ_e + μ_h → v = μ E and τ = μ_eτ_e+μ_hτ_h/μ_e+μ_h
 ♦ Q=d/t Q₀ → for large charge need good collection distance - must maximize μ and τ
 ♦ I=Q₀ v/d





Diamond Specs

Property	Specifications
Sensor size	21mm x 18mm (active area 20mm x 16.8mm)
Sensor Thickness	400-500 microns
Minimum charge collection distance	200 microns
Minimum average charge	7200 electrons
Minimum collection distance/ charge after 2x10 ¹⁵ cm ⁻²	100 microns/3600 electrons
Minimum signal/threshold after 2x10 ¹⁵ cm ⁻²	3
Maximum operating voltage	1000 V
Maximum total leakage current (@1000 V)	100 nA





Radiation induced effect	Diamond	Operational consequence	Silicon	Operational consequence
Lockeen ourrent	small &		$I/V = a\Phi$	Heating
Leakage current	decreases	none	a ~ 4x10 ⁻¹⁷ A/cm	Thermal runaway
Space charge	~ none	none	$\Delta N_{eff} pprox - eta \Phi$	Increase of full
			$\beta \sim 0.015 \text{ cm}^{-1}$	depletion voltage
Charge trapping	Yes	Charge loss	1/τ _{eff} = βΦ	Charge loss
		Polarization	$\beta \sim 5-7 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2/\text{ns}$	Polarization

Charge trapping the only relevant radiation damage effect

NIEL scaling questionable a priori

 E_{gap} in diamond 5 times larger than in Si

Many processes freeze out

Typical emission times order of months

Like Si at 300/5 = 60 K - Boltzmann factor

A rich source of effects and (experimental) surprises !

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{eff}} = \sum_{t} N_t (1 - P_t) \sigma_t v_{th}$$





Summary of RD42 Test Beam Results for CVD Diamond

Particle	Energy	Relative k
р	24GeV	1.0
	800MeV	1.6-1.8
	70MeV	2.5-2.8
	25MeV	4.0-5.0
π	200MeV	2.5-3.0

k is relative damage constant



The ATLAS DBM Concept Thermal & mechanical simulations complete







Cooling Channel w/ Bracket Plate





DPF Santa Cruz



CCD measurement with Sr90 @ OSU



power supplies InC Sr90 source

diamond holder and preamp



DPF Santa Cruz







CMS Pixel Luminosity Telescope

Dedicated stand-alone luminosity monitor for CMS

- High precision bunch-by-bunch luminosity
- Array of 3-plane telescopes each end of CMS
- Single-crystal diamond pixel sensors
- Measure bunch-by-bunch 3-fold coincidence rate
- Pixel readout for tracking and diagnostics



Install in CMS in 2013-2014 shutdown