

#### Search for contact interactions in the di-lepton spectra in pp collisions at center of mass energy of 8 TeV

Pramod Lamichhane On behalf of the CMS Collaboration

# Introduction

• CI is BSM which is based on the model of quark and lepton compositeness

• Signature can be observed by identifying a deviation from the SM in the dilepton mass spectrum. The production cross section can be observed as :

$$\frac{d\sigma(\Lambda)}{dM_{l+l^{-}}} = \frac{d\sigma(DY)}{dM_{l+l^{-}}} - \eta \frac{I}{\Lambda^2} + \eta^2 \frac{C}{\Lambda^4}$$

where,

 $M_1+_1 =$ the di-lepton invariant mass I = product of DY and CI amplitudes C = pure CI term.

CI/DY = CI for  $\Lambda \neq \infty$  and pure DY for  $\Lambda = \infty$  $\eta$  = +1 and -1 for destructive and constructive interference respectively.

• The production of preons is possible only above the characteristic energy scale  $\Lambda$ 





# Pythia LLIM model



Selection criteria used:  $P_T > 45$  GeV for each  $\mu$ ,  $|\eta|$  of  $\mu_1 < 2.1$  and  $|\eta|$  of  $\mu_2 < 2.4$ 

$$\frac{d\sigma(\Lambda)}{dM_{l^+l^-}} = \frac{d\sigma(DY)}{dM_{l^+l^-}} - \eta \frac{I}{\Lambda^2} + \eta^2 \frac{C}{\Lambda^4}$$

When  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$  The spectrum converges to DY production (SM)

## **Previous Searches**



 $L_{ql} = \frac{g_0^2}{\Lambda^2} \{ \eta_{LL}(\bar{q}_L \gamma^{\mu} q_L)(\bar{l}_L \gamma_{\mu} l_L) + \eta_{LR}(\bar{q}_L \gamma^{\mu} q_L)(\bar{l}_R \gamma_{\mu} l_R) + \eta_{RL}(\bar{u}_R \gamma^{\mu} u_R)(\bar{l}_L \gamma_{\mu} l_L) + \eta_{RR}(\bar{u}_R \gamma^{\mu} u_R)(\bar{l}_R \gamma_{\mu} l_R) + \eta_{RR}(\bar{d}_R \gamma^{\mu} d_R)(\bar{l}_R \gamma_{\mu} l_R) + \eta_{RR}(\bar{d}_R \gamma^{\mu} d_R)(\bar{l}_R \gamma_{\mu} l_R) \}$ 

<b>√s</b> = 7 TeV			Lower Limit on $\Lambda$ (TeV)	
Experiment	Channel	Luminosity(fb <sup>-1</sup> )	Const.	Dest.
CMS	μ+ μ-	5.3	13.1	9.5
ATLAS	μ⁺ μ <sup>_</sup>	5.0	12.1	9.5
ATLAS	e⁺ e-	4.9	12.9	9.6

Compositeness model : Left-Left Isoscalar (Currently excluded lower limits at 95 % C.L.) Estia J. Eichten, Kenneth D. Lane, Michael E. Peskin "New Tests for Quark and Lepton Substructure",Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 (1983) 811, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.50.811.

#### Why LLIM ?

- Bench mark process (historic)
- Physics is basically similar for rest of the other terms, however, LLIM is implemented in PYTHIA

## Other CMS Compositeness Searches

Search for Contact Interactions using Inclusive Jet Events in pp collisions at √s = 7 TeV [CMS-EXO-11-010] Lumi : 5 fb<sup>-1</sup> Λ = 9.7 TeV (14.5 TeV) with destructive ( constructive) in LLIM

\* Measurement of Dijet Angular Distributions and Search for Quark Compositeness in pp Collisions at  $\int s = 7$  TeV [CMS-QCD-10-016] Lumi :36 pb <sup>-1</sup>  $\Lambda = 5.6$  TeV ( $\Lambda = 6.7$  TeV) for destructive (constructive) interference in LLIM

Search for Narrow Resonances using the Dijet Mass Spectrum with 19.6 fb<sup>-1</sup> of pp Collisions at Js = 8 TeV [CMS-EXO-12-059] mass of excited quarks = [1.20, 3.50] TeV

❖ Updated Search for New Physics in Highly Boosted Z<sup>0</sup> Decays to Dimuon in pp Collisions at Js = 7 TeV Lumi : 5 fb<sup>-1</sup> [CMS-EXO-11-025] mass of excited quarks < 2.14 TeV</p>

\* Search for new physics in the final states with a lepton and missing transverse energy at  $\int s = 8$  TeV using 20 fb<sup>-1</sup> [CMS-EXO-12-060]  $\Lambda = 13.0$  (10.9) TeV for the electron(muon) channel in Helicity-Non-Conserving-Model

# Analysis method

#### To predict observed events

Use PYTHIA and POWHEG physics generators with full detector simulation for signal and most backgrounds •Expected events (SM) = DY+ Non DY

• Expected events (CI) = CI/DY( $\Lambda$ )×QCD K-factor × QED K-factor + Non DY

❖ If data is consistent with SM prediction, set the 95 % CL lower limit in Λ
❖ To set the limit on Λ

- Use modified frequentist technique commonly known as CL<sub>s</sub> method with a profile-likelihood ratio as a test statistic
- Choose M<sub>min</sub> where expected limit peaks to get the final limit

- Data [CMS 2012 at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 8 TeV ] :
- ✤ Di-muon channel (20.6 fb<sup>-1</sup>)
- Single muon trigger with PT > 40 GeV and |n| < 2.1
- Di-electron channel (19.6 fb<sup>-1</sup>)
- \* Double electron trigger with  $E_T$  > 33 GeV

## Simulation

#### Signal :

- CI samples [Pythia 6, LLIM] with different interaction scale parameter  $\Lambda$
- $\Lambda$  (in TeV) of 9,11,13,15 (destructive) and additionally 17 and 19 for constructive interference
- 50 k and 25 k events for the samples in low and high mass region respectively
- $\bullet$  Use fit functions to estimate the yields for intermediate and higher  $\Lambda$  values

# Simulation

#### Backgrounds:

- Simulation (DY, ttbar, diboson, Z -> ττ, single top)
- Using data (jets backgrounds)

Physics process	Generator
Drell - Yan	POWHEG
TT bar	POWHEG
Di- boson (WW, WZ, ZZ)	PYTHIA
Single top	POWHEG
γ +jets	PYTHIA
Ζ -> ττ	POWHEG
W +jets	MADGRAPH
Inclµ QCD	PYTHIA

#### **Muon selection criteria** :

\* Momentum of muons assigned from a combined track (using tracker tracks and tracks from muon systems) \*  $P_T$  > 45 GeV

**Electron selection criteria** :

Energy from ECAL, cluster matching with tracker, hadronic veto from HCAL
 E<sub>T</sub> > 35 GeV

### **NLO** corrections

K-factor =  $\sigma^{\text{NLO}} / \sigma^{\text{LO}}$ 

# Since, the Signal is generated using PYTHIA (LO) generator, QCD and QED K-factors are needed for NLO accuracy of the signal.

A flat (mass independent) QCD K-factor of 1.3 is used for QCD NLO correction (P. Mathews et al., "Next-to-leading order QCD corrections to the Drell-Yan cross section in models of TeV-scale gravity", Nucl. Phys. B713 (2005) 333, doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.01.051.)

QED NLO K-factor is estimated using HORACE 3.1 using DY simulation

 QED K-factor depends upon the di-lepton mass (0.994 at 300 GeV and 0.920 at 2 TeV)

### **PDF Uncertainty**

- Following PDF4LHC recommendations
- PDF uncertainty is estimated from the envelope of the PDF sets CT10, MSTW2008 and NNPDF2.1, using central value of CT10
  POWHEG samples of DY simulation used in both channels



PDF uncertainty shown at 68% CL

#### Systematic Uncertainty

#### **Di-muon Channel:**

#### **Di-electron Channel:**

Source	Uncertainty (%)	
PDF	13.0	
Trigger and reco efficiency	3.0	
Momentum scale	23.0	
Momentum resolution	6.0	
Alignment	5.0	
QED K-factor	5.0	
QCD NNLO	2.0	
Lumi	4.4	

Given at 
$$M_{\mu\mu}^{min}$$
 = 1.8 TeV  
CMS-EXO-12-027

SourceUncertainty (%)PDF12.0Identification and reco5.0Energy scale1.0DY NLO correction6.0Lumi4.4

Given at  $M_{ee}^{min}$  = 1.8 TeV

CMS-EXO-12-031

#### Systematic uncertainties affecting the limit on $\Lambda$ on this analysis

# **Data-MC comparison** ( $\mu^+ \mu^-$ )



Error bars for data show statistical uncertainty

Data is consistent with SM expectations

# Data -MC comparison (e<sup>+</sup> e<sup>-</sup>)



### $\mu^+ \mu^-$ : 95% CL lower limit on $\Lambda$ at s = 7 TeV



Limits from 2011 data at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV in the di-muon channel

Note : Limits from 2012 data at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV are still in preparation

## Conclusion

TeV dimuon and dielectron spectra are consistent with SM

Procedure for setting 95% CL lower limits on Lambda is demonstrated with 7 TeV dimuon

\* At 8 TeV, 95 % CL lower limit is set on  $\Lambda$  in both channels and limits are being prepared

We expect a significant improvement in the limits in both channels at 8 TeV

