



Results of ν_e appearance from an off-axis ν_{μ} beam utilizing neutrino energy spectrum

Joshua Hignight

DPF 2013 Santa Cruz, CA

August 16th, 2013





- Introduction to T2K
- Improvements to Analysis
 - Near Detector Analysis Improvement for 2013
 - New π^0 Fitter for Super-K
- Analysis Method
- New Results





~500 members, 59 Institutes, 11 countries

IFJ PAN, Cracow

NCBJ, Warsaw

TRIUMF U. Alberta U.B. Columbia U. Regina U. Toronto U. Victoria U. Winnipeg York U.

CEA Saclay IPN Lyon LLR E. Poly. LPNHE Paris

Aachen U.

INFN, U. Bari INFN, U. Napoli INFN, U. Padova INFN, U. Roma

KEK

U. Silesia, Katowice U. Warsaw Warsaw U. T. Wroklaw U. ICRR Kamioka ICRR RCCN Kavli IPMU

INR

Kobe U. Kyoto U. Miyagi U. Edu. Osaka City U. Okayama U. Tokyo Metropolitan U. U. Tokyo

IFAE, Barcelona IFIC, Valencia

4

ETH Zurich U. Bern U. Geneva

Imperial C. London Lancaster U. Oxford U Queen Mary U. L. STFC/Daresbury STFC/RAL U. Liverpool

U. Sheffield U. Warwick

+

Boston U. Colorado S. U.

Duke U. Louisiana S. U. Stony Brook U. U.C. Irvine U. Colorado U. Pittsburgh U. Rochester U. Washington



The T2K Experiment





- The T2K experiment searches for neutrino oscillations using a high purity off-axis ν_μ beam.
- A near detector is located at 280 meters (ND280) downstream of the target to measure the unoscillated neutrino spectrum.
- The neutrinos travel 295 km to Super-Kamiokande.



Near Detector: ND280





- Made up of a 0.2T magnet, π⁰ detector, trackers, and calorimeters.
- Primary purpose is to measure both ν-flux and help with cross-section uncertainties.
- Combined with external constraints from NA61 and MiniBooNE, this information is used for T2K far detector MC prediction.

Far Detector: Super-K





TZK

Previous T2K Appearance Results

- 2011 ν_e appearance (pink)
 - Observed 6 events with an expected background of 1.5 ± 0.3 events
 - First indication of θ₁₃ ≠ 0 at a 2.5σ significance.
 - Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 041801 (2011)
- 2012 ν_e appearance (blue)
 - Observed 11 events with an expected background of 3.3 ± 0.4 events
 - 3.1 σ exclusion of $\theta_{13} = 0$
 - arXiv:1304.0841 (accepted by PRD)



New Data





Have doubled the POT since Run3 to 6.39×10^{20} ($\approx 8\%$ of total expected) while remaining a high efficiency of data taking.

*



- Significant reduction of the far detector event rate errors.
- Uncertainties on the cross-section parameters are reduced.
- Uncertainties on the flux parameters are also reduced.

Error on Far Detector ν_e Prediction (after ND280 constraint)

	Runs 1-3	Runs 1-4
$\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.1$	4.7%	3.0%
$\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.0$	6.1%	4.9%

Cross-Section Parameters (after ND280 constraint)

	Runs 1-3	Runs 1-4
M _A ^{QE} (GeV/c ²)	1.27 ± 0.19	1.22 ± 0.07
M_{A}^{RES} (GeV/c ²)	1.22 ± 0.13	0.96 ± 0.06
CĈQE Norm.	0.95 ± 0.09	$\textbf{0.96} \pm \textbf{0.08}$
CC1 π Norm.	1.37 ± 0.20	$\textbf{1.22}\pm\textbf{0.16}$



- For the 2012 analysis, the signal to background ratio was at 2.7 (for $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.1$)
- 2012 total background = 3.22 ± 0.43 events
 - Beam ν_e background = 1.56 \pm 0.20 events (irreducible)
 - Neutral current background = 1.26 ± 0.35 events (reducible)
- By reducing the NC background (mostly π^0) we can significantly improve ν_e analysis.





- fiTQun is a new fitting algorithm at Super-K that uses the measured charge and time of every PMT hit.
- For a given event topology hypothesis, it is possible to produce a charge and time PDF for each PMT.
- Event hypotheses are distinguished by comparing best-fit likelihoods.
- Based on the algorithm used by MiniBooNE.

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \prod_{unhit} P(i_{unhit}; x) \prod_{hit} P(i_{hit}; x) f_q(q_i; x) f_t(t_i; x)$$



Far Detector Analysis Improvements: π^0 fitter

- Assumes 2-electron rings are produced at a common vertex.
- Varies 12 parameters simultaneously for the fit.
 - Vertex (X,Y,Z,t)
 - Direction ($\theta_1, \phi_1, \theta_2, \phi_2$)
 - Momenta (*p*1, *p*2)
 - Conversion length (c₁, c₂)



Better π^0 Rejection



- fiTQun is now used to distinguish e^- from π^0 by using a 2D cut in the likelihood ratio along with the reconstructed π^0 mass.
- This new cut removes \approx 70% of the remaining π^0 background that the old cut allowed.
- The total background is reduced by 27%
 - 6.36 events → 4.64 events for the data-set shown today.



ν_e Event Selection





- Fully contained in the FV
- Single e-like ring
- *E_{visible}* >100MeV
- No Michel electrons
- fiTQun π^0 cut
- E^{rec} <1250

- TZR
- There are two methods used for ν_e appearance: $p \theta$ and E^{rec} .
- The rest of this talk will only discuss the *E^{rec}* analysis which I'm a part of.
- The reconstructed energy is defined as:

$$E^{rec} = rac{m_{
ho}^2 - (m_n - E_b)^2 - m_e^2 + 2(m_n - E_b)E_e}{2(m_n - E_b - E_e + p_e \cos heta_e)}$$

- m_p is the proton mass, m_n the neutron mass, and $E_b = 27$ MeV is the binding energy of a nucleon inside a ¹⁶O nucleus.
- *E_e*, *p_e*, and *θ_e* are the reconstructed electron energy, momentum, and angle with respect to the beam direction, respectively.

Note that due to slightly better sensitivity of $\theta_{13} \neq 0$, the $p - \theta$ analysis was chosen as the primary analysis for T2K.



ν_e Analysis Method: E^{rec}



- An essential part of this analysis is to improve the sensitivity by separating the ν_e signal from backgrounds using the difference of their E^{rec} spectrum shape, depending on neutrino oscillation parameters.
- ν_e candidate events are divided into 25 bins, and a PDF is constructed for ν_e appearance signal and background.
- A likelihood is defined using the number of ν_e events in each E^{rec} bin and the best-fit point of θ₁₃ is obtained by searching for a maximum likelihood alongside of θ₁₃ with varying systematic uncertainties.

$$\mathcal{L}(N_{obs}, \boldsymbol{E}_{obs}^{rec}; \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{f}) = \mathcal{L}_{\textit{norm}}(N_{obs}; \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{f}) \times \mathcal{L}_{\textit{shape}}(\boldsymbol{E}_{obs}^{rec}; \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{f}) \times \mathcal{L}_{\textit{syst}}(\boldsymbol{f})$$

- A delta of the negative log likelihood curve is calculated as a function of θ_{13} .
- The ν_e appearance significance is evaluated by *p*-value based on Feldman Cousins method, where an observation of the ν_e appearance candidate is compared with many toy experiments assuming $\theta_{13} = 0$.



ν_e Appearance Results: Expectations







20.4 \pm 1.8 events expected w/ 4.6 \pm 0.5 background events 5.5 σ sensitivity to exclude θ_{13} =0





Observed 28 ν_e events



ν_e Appearance Results: E^{rec}





*Note that these are 1D contours for various values of $\delta_{C\!P},$ not 2D contours



Effects of θ_{23}

- ν_e appearance probability is also dependent on θ₂₃
- Precise measurements of θ_{23} will become important to extract information about the other oscillation parameters in long baseline experiments.
- Currently there are several combined ν_e appearance + ν_μ disappearance analysis underway.





• T2K has made the observation of ν_e appearance from a ν_μ beam,

Summary

- $\theta_{13} = 0$ is excluded with a significance of 7.4 σ ($\delta_{CP} = 0$, $\sin^2 2\theta_{23} = 1$).
- Improvements to the analysis have significantly enhanced the sensitivity to ν_e appearance.
- A new fitting algorithm, fiTQun, removes 70% of the π^0 background relative to the previous analysis.
- T2K has less than 1/10th of its total expected data, so stay tuned for more exciting results.

