## Cerenkov Events Seen by the TALE Air Fluorescence Detector

Tareq AbuZayyad University of Utah

DPF 2013 UC Santa Cruz, CA 08/16/2013





- **TA** Low Energy extension (TALE) Fluorescence Detector.
- TALE Cerenkov Data Set.
- Monte Carlo and Event Reconstruction.
- Performance of Monocular Reconstruction.
- Summary and Outlook.

### The Telescope Array Experiment

## **Telescope Array Collaboration**

T Abu-Zayyad<sup>1</sup>, R Aida<sup>2</sup>, M Allen<sup>1</sup>, R Azuma<sup>3</sup>, E Barcikowski<sup>1</sup>, JW Belz<sup>1</sup>, T Benno<sup>4</sup>, DR Bergman<sup>1</sup>, SA Blake<sup>1</sup>, O Brusova<sup>1</sup>, R Cady<sup>1</sup>, BG Cheon<sup>6</sup>, J Chiba<sup>7</sup>, M Chikawa<sup>4</sup>, EJ Cho<sup>6</sup>, LS Cho<sup>8</sup>, WR Cho<sup>8</sup>, F Cohen<sup>9</sup>, K Doura<sup>4</sup>, C Ebeling<sup>1</sup>, H Fujii<sup>10</sup>, T Fujii<sup>11</sup>, T Fukuda<sup>3</sup>, M Fukushima<sup>9,22</sup>, D Gorbunov<sup>12</sup>, W Hanlon<sup>1</sup>, K Hayashi<sup>3</sup>, Y Hayashi<sup>11</sup>, N Hayashida<sup>9</sup>, K Hibino<sup>13</sup>, K Hiyama<sup>9</sup>, K Honda<sup>2</sup>, G Hughes<sup>5</sup>, T Iguchi<sup>3</sup>, D Ikeda<sup>9</sup>, K Ikuta<sup>2</sup>, SJJ Innemee<sup>5</sup>, N Inoue<sup>14</sup>, T Ishii<sup>2</sup>, R Ishimori<sup>3</sup>, D Ivanov<sup>5</sup>, S Iwamoto<sup>2</sup>, CCH Jui<sup>1</sup>, K Kadota<sup>15</sup>, F Kakimoto<sup>3</sup>, O Kalashev<sup>12</sup>, T Kanbe<sup>2</sup>, H Kang<sup>16</sup>, K Kasahara<sup>17</sup>, H Kawai<sup>18</sup>, S Kawakami<sup>11</sup>, S Kawana<sup>14</sup>, E Kido<sup>9</sup>, BG Kim<sup>19</sup>, HB Kim<sup>6</sup>, JH Kim<sup>6</sup>, JH Kim<sup>20</sup>, A Kitsugi<sup>9</sup>, K Kobayashi<sup>7</sup>, H Koers<sup>21</sup>, Y Kondo<sup>9</sup>, V Kuzmin<sup>12</sup>, YJ Kwon<sup>8</sup>, JH Lim<sup>16</sup>, SI Lim<sup>19</sup>, S Machida<sup>3</sup>, K Martens<sup>22</sup>, J Martineau<sup>1</sup>, T Matsuda<sup>10</sup>, T Matsuyama<sup>11</sup>, JN Matthews<sup>1</sup>, M Minamino<sup>11</sup>, K Miyata<sup>7</sup>, H Miyauchi<sup>11</sup>, Y Murano<sup>3</sup>, T Nakamura<sup>23</sup>, SW Nam<sup>19</sup>, T Nonaka<sup>9</sup>, S Ogio<sup>11</sup>, M Ohnishi<sup>9</sup>, H Ohoka<sup>9</sup>, T Okuda<sup>11</sup>, A Oshima<sup>11</sup>, S Ozawa<sup>17</sup>, IH Park<sup>19</sup>, D Rodriguez<sup>1</sup>, SY Roh<sup>20</sup>, G Rubtsov<sup>12</sup>, D Ryu<sup>20</sup>, H Sagawa<sup>9</sup>, N Sakurai<sup>9</sup>, LM Scott<sup>5</sup>, PD Shah<sup>1</sup>, T Shibata<sup>9</sup>, H Shimodaira<sup>9</sup>, BK Shin<sup>6</sup>, JD Smith<sup>1</sup>, P Sokolsky<sup>1</sup>, TJ Sonley<sup>1</sup>, RW Springer<sup>1</sup>, BT Stokes<sup>5</sup>, SR Stratton<sup>5</sup>, S Suzuki<sup>10</sup>, Y Takahashi<sup>9</sup>, M Takeda<sup>9</sup>, A Taketa<sup>9</sup>, M Takita<sup>9</sup>, Y Tameda<sup>3</sup>, H Tanaka<sup>11</sup>, K Tanaka<sup>24</sup>, M Tanaka<sup>10</sup>, JR Thomas<sup>1</sup>, SB Thomas<sup>1</sup>, GB Thomson<sup>1</sup>, P Tinyakov<sup>12,21</sup>, I Tkachev<sup>12</sup>, H Tokuno<sup>9</sup>, T Tomida<sup>2</sup>, R Torii<sup>9</sup>, S Troitsky<sup>12</sup>, Y Tsunesada<sup>3</sup>, Y Tsuyuguchi<sup>2</sup>, Y Uchihori<sup>25</sup>, S Udo<sup>13</sup>, H Ukai<sup>2</sup>, B Van Klaveren<sup>1</sup>, Y Wada<sup>14</sup>, M Wood<sup>1</sup>, T Yamakawa<sup>9</sup>, Y Yamakawa<sup>9</sup>, H Yamaoka<sup>10</sup>, J Yang<sup>19</sup>, S Yoshida<sup>18</sup>, H Yoshii<sup>26</sup>, Z Zundel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Utah, <sup>2</sup>University of Yamanashi, <sup>3</sup>Tokyo Institute of Technology, <sup>4</sup>Kinki University,

<sup>5</sup>Rutgers University, <sup>6</sup>Hanyang University, <sup>7</sup>Tokyo University of Science, <sup>8</sup>Yonsei University,
 <sup>9</sup>Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo, <sup>10</sup>Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies, KEK,
 <sup>11</sup>Osaka City University, <sup>12</sup>Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences,
 <sup>13</sup>Kanagawa University, <sup>14</sup>Saitama University, <sup>15</sup>Tokyo City University, <sup>16</sup>Pusan National University,
 <sup>17</sup>Waseda University, <sup>18</sup>Chiba University <sup>19</sup>Ewha Womans University, <sup>10</sup>Chungnam National University,
 <sup>12</sup>University Libre de Bruxelles, <sup>12</sup>University of Tokyo, <sup>13</sup>Kochi University, <sup>14</sup>Hiroshima City University,
 <sup>15</sup>National Institute of Radiological Science, Japan, <sup>16</sup>Ehime University

## **Telescope Array Experiment**

- The Telescope Array (TA) experiment was originally designed for the study of ultra high energy (above ~1x10<sup>18</sup> eV) cosmic rays.
- TA is a follow up experiment to AGASA/HiRes experiments with the goal of improving on both.
- TA Low Energy extension (TALE) aims to lower the energy threshold of the experiment to well below  $10^{17}$  eV.



## **Telescope Array Experiment**

- TA is located in Millard County, Utah, ~200 km southwest of Salt Lake City.
- Surface Detector: 507 scintillation counters 1.2 km spacing. (*run 24/7*)
- Three Fluorescence
   Detectors overlooking SD
   (run only during moonless
   nights):
  - Middle Drum (MD)
  - Black Rock (BR)
  - Long Ridge (LR)



## TALE Surface Detector Infill Array

- Infill Array operates 24/7.
- However, when FD is
  on, we get the
  opportunity
  for hybrid
  observation.



## **TA Fluorescence Detectors**



#### Middle Drum TALE Observatory Site (14+10 Telescopes)



### **Middle Drum TA/TALE Viewing Range**

- TAMD + TALE
- 14 lower telescopes make up TA (Middle Drum) Detector.
- 10 higher telescope (new addition) make up the TA-Low Energy extension Detector.
- TALE telescopes equipped with (HiRes2) FADC electronics.



## **TALE Cerenkov Data Set**

# Example event TALE FD designed to look for

- Five (Err.. eight) telescope event.
- Event duration ~ few micro-seconds
- Angular extent long
- ... in case hybrid then even better geometrical reconstruction
- Threshold ~3e16 eV





Energy:	0.530 EeV
Shower max size:	3.565e+08 particles
Shower max depth:	631.247 g/cm <sup>2</sup>
Profile Fit χ <sup>2</sup> /ndf:	1.2395
v angle: 55.1 degree	s s
Shower azimuthal ar	ngle: 8.2 degrees
Shower zenith angle	: 48.0 degrees
Angle to Magnetic fi	eld: 60.5 degrees

## Example Cerenkov event seen by TALE FD

- Most are single telescope
- Event duration ~100ns ~600 ns
- Angular extent short
- Unlikely to trigger surface detector
- Threshold ~3e15 eV





## Cerenkov Contribution to Detected Signal

- HiRes-II event set.
- Most events have less than 20% contribution
   from direct *and* scattered
   Cerenkov light.



## Cerenkov Contribution to Detected Signal

- TALE Cerenkov event set.
- Most events have more than 90%
   contribution from
   *direct* Cerenkov
   light.

#### PRELIMINARY TEST DATA proton MC iron MC $10^{2}$ 10È $10^{-1}_{-0.4}$ 0.5 0.7 0.9 0.6 0.8 direct Ckov npe / total detected npe

#### Cerenkov events seen by TALE Fluorescence Detector

## **Cerenkov events data rate**

- Vast majority of triggered events are Cerenkov (lower energy).
- Still dominant, even after removing "Cerenkov Blasts"



#### Monte Carlo and event Reconstruction

## **TALE fluorescence detector MC**

- Added support of TALE telescopes to existing MC code used by TA-MD detector for the past 6 yrs.
  - Identical optics; different electronics.
  - An updated description of the shower lateral width was *required* for the treatment of Cerenkov events.
  - Treatment of Cerenkov in detector MC is still under development.
  - Testing / Verification studies are on-going.

# Shower lateral width and image of observed events

 # triggered PMTs divided by angular tracklength



Width of observed shower image





## Lateral width of EAS

- Separate treatment for fluorescence and Cerenkov photons.
- Based on parameterizations in Lafebre et al. (arXiv:0902.0548)
- Fluorescence uses all particle NKG like function
- Cerenkov uses NKG like function for a fixed electron energy:
  - Age dependent
  - Altitude dependent
  - Viewing angle dependent



## **TALE fluorescence detector MC**

- A different approach to simulating the detector response to Cerenkov light was also implemented:
- Corsika / IACT (arXiv:0808.2253 [astro-ph])
  - Full 3D MC shower development
  - Cerenkov photons production
  - Cerenkov photons detection (sphere surrounding telescope mirror)
- We can test our reconstruction code (and parameterizations) *against an external, "true MC" simulation*.
- Work in Progress, will report on in the future.

## **TALE Event Reconstruction** (PMT Timing)

time extracted from FADC trace vs. MC time

- Cerenkov events are "fast". Need best possible timing
- TALE electronics has 100 ns FADC sampling
- Based on MC study: We can get ~5ns timing for bright signals and better than ~20ns for most/all tubes.



## **TALE Event Reconstruction** (EAS Parameters)

- Primary particle energy (*E*)
- Shower Geometry:
  - A line segment from the top of the atmosphere, ~47km, to the ground.
     **Rp\_vec**[3], **ut\_hat**[3]
- Shower Longitudinal Profile:
  - Parametrization given by a Gaisser-Hillas function with four parameters (*N\_max*, *x\_0*, *x\_max*, *lambda*)
  - *x* [g/cm<sup>2</sup>] is the atmospheric slant depth along the shower track.
  - *N*(*x*) is the number of charged particles at depth x
- A total of eight free parameters:
  - $E \rightarrow N_max$
  - ut\_hat is a unit vector & is normal to Rp\_vec.



## **TALE Event Reconstruction** (Standard Procedure)

- 1) Fit Shower-Detector plane
- 2) Fit Rp, psi angle
  - Monocular timing
  - Monocular timing; with shower core location provided by Surface Detector.
- 3) Fit Shower profile and energy
  - Geometry fixed by steps 1, 2
  - GH lambda parameter typically fixed to a nominal value.

### **Reconstruction of TALE Cerenkov Events (Profile Constrained Fit)**

- 1) Fit Shower-Detector plane
- 2) Fit Rp, psi angle
  - Profile Constrained Geometry Fit (As in HiRes1 recon.):
    - *Assume* a known shower profile (xmax).
    - Scan psi angle, use timing for Rp determination.
    - For each trial geometry fit observed npe (i.e. profile fit)
    - Find best geometry for given shower profile.
- 3) Fit Shower profile and energy
  - Geometry fixed by steps 1, 2
  - *Release xmax* parameter. Perform a standard profile fit. 25

#### Performance of Monocular Reconstruction

## **Shower Rp resolution**

ShowerImpactparameter





## Shower psi angle resolution

ShowerAngle inthe plane





-1.9 -1.8 -1.7 -1.6 -1.5 -1.4 -1.3 -1.2 log\_(E[EaV])

-2

-2.1

# Effect of changing lateral width in the reconstruction

Real data
reconstructed
with three
different
assumptions
about lateral
width.

Shown are differences in reconstructed psi from each fit.





## **Summary and Outlook**

- We developed a new event reconstruction technique which allows us to use a Fluorescence detector as an Imaging Air Cerenkov Telescope
- The quality of the reconstruction and possible systematic effects are still under study.
- TALE as a Cerenkov detector can reach energies lower than 10<sup>16</sup> eV with very high statistics.