Magnetic Measurements and Tuning of FLASH II Undulators.

P. Vagin*, P. Neumann, A. Schöps, M. Tischer, DESY, Germany

Presented by Markus Tischer IMMW18, BNL, June 3rd-7th





* pavel.vagin@desy.de

Conclusion

> FLASH II - IDs

- Improved mechanical design based on PETRA IDs
- Smaller end-kick gap dependence
- Transfer measurements consider the actual pole contour
- Installation of 12 IDs in late 2013

> Magnetic Measurements

- Hall probe calibration issues observed
- Characterization of various integrators in order to improve wire & coil measurements



FLASH II





FLASH II

Beam parameters	
Beam energy	0.5 – 1.25 GeV
Normalized emittance	1.4 – 3 mm mrad
Energy spread	0.5 MeV
Peak current	2.5 kA
Bunches per second	<8000
Bunch charge	0.02 – 1 nC

SASE undulators	
Period length	31.4 mm
Segments length	2.5 m
No. of segments	12
Focusing structure	FODO

Radiation	SASE
Wavelength range SASE	4-60 nm
FWHM pulse length	10-500 fs
Peak power	1-5 GW
Bandwidth	0.5 – 2%
Number of pulses	<8000
Peak brilliance*	10 ²⁸ -10 ³¹
Pulse energy	1-500 µJ

* 1/(s mm² mrad² 0.1%)



P. Vagin, M. Tischer et al. | Magnetic Measurements and Tuning of FLASH II Undulators | IMMW18 | 06.06.13 | 4

FLASH II SASE Undulator Section



- •12 ID segments separated by diagnostic intersections
- similar optics as for present sFLASH undulators
- U32 undulators
 - λ_{μ} = 31.4 mm= 9.0 mm**g**_{min} = 0.98 T $\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{peak}}$ = 2.87Κ 1 $= 12 \times 2.5 \text{ m}$

Intersection comprises quadrupole, phase shifter (e.m.), BPM, OTR / wire scanner

- Optical modulator (1m)
- THz em.-Undulator (3.5m)
- Seeding IDs (future upgrade)
- 2nd harm. AfterBurner, (EPU,future)



FLASH II undulator

- Period: 31.4 mm
- Gap: 9.0 mm
- Field: 0.98 T
- Kmax: 2.87
- Length: 2.5 m
- Load: 15 kN





Support structure

- Same concept for FLASH II and PETRA Extension undulators (based on 2m devices used for PETRA III)
- Various mechanical improvements (stiffness, adjustment, floor mount)
- Less than 2 µm girder deformation under magnetic load
- Revised drive system: 2 motors and 2 pairs of left/right-handed spindles
- New linear encoder gap measurement system





Transfer measurements





Matching touch probe and tracker data









End structure

Parameters to optimize: Last 2 magnets size (x,z), position(x,z) Last 2 poles position(x)

- 1) Calculate endkick gap dependence of present configuration
- 2) Change each parameter a little
- 3) Calculate endkick gap dependence signature of each parameter
- Find weights of signatures using least squares, that would compensate gap dependence best
- 5) Apply constrains: poles & magnets should not collide, limit maximum changes, to stay in linear range
- 6) Repeat





Endkicks gap dependence



0

-0.05

-0.1

-0.15

0

50



1st integral gap dependence







Pole tuning





Pole tuning results



Measurement Bench / Stretched Wire Probe



- Hall probe → vertical field
 1D-probe Bell FWB710
- Pick-up coil → horizontal field ~5x10mm², N~5000
- Stretched wire probe Single stretched wire (Ø100µm CuBe)









Hall probe calibration

Field map of calibration magnet





Better alignment of Hall and NMR probe with motorized stages

Adjustment of pole face improves current dependent shift of field plateau



Hall probe calibration correction by stretched wire



Field integrals measured by stretched wire and hall probe, normal and 180°-flipped, were different: corresponding to ~50uT difference in the average field.

Hall probe calibration is represented by high order polynomial, built using NMR measurements in a calibration magnet; 10µT reproducibility (inclusive 180° flip)

By adjusting even order coefficients, it is possible to minimize difference between hall probe, and stretched wire.

Empirical correction for an error which is not yet understood



Digital integrator's noise level





P. Vagin, M. Tischer et al. | Magnetic Measurements and Tuning of FLASH II Undulators | IMMW18 | 06.06.13 | 16

Budker Insitiute of Nuclear Physics: VsDC

	VsDC2(CAN)	VsDC3(VME)
ADC	2 ch, 300ksps, 24bit resolution	
Input ranges	$\pm 0.2V \pm 10V$	$\pm 0.2V$; $\pm 2V$ PGA
Integral SNR		
at 10 µs	$5 \cdot 10^{-5}$	10-5
at 1 ms	10-6	5.10-7
at 1 s	5.10-7	10-7
Absolute error		
at 10 µs	10-3	10-3
at 100 µs	10-4	10-4
> 1 ms	10-5	10-5
Non-linearity	20 ppm max	
Gain error	5 ppm max	
Offset error	$\pm 1 \ \mu V$	
Triggering accuracy	±2 ns	
From factor	3U 4HP Eurocard	6U 4HP Eurocard







Low Noise Sigma-delta ADC Evaluation Boards

	AD7195EBZ	ADS1232REF
Resolution, bits	24	24
Output rate, Hz	5 4800	10 or 80
Input Range, PGA	±20mV ±2.5V	±40mV ±5V
Input Noise@10Hz	11nV RMS	17nV RMS
Offset error *	0.5uV, 5nV/°C	0.1uV, 10nV/°C
ADC Gain drift	1ppm/°C	2.5ppm/°C
Nonlinearity, max	15ppm	20ppm
Price	59\$	49\$

*autocalibration







Stretched wire continuous movement



$$U(t) = -\frac{d\Phi}{dt} = BL\frac{dx}{dt};$$

$$I_1(x) = U(x)/v$$

Present setup:

- Keithley 2182A DVM
- 1mm step motion
- ~400ms integration time
- 15 mTmm noise
- 1.5 sec per point
- 100 sec measurement (60pts)

Test setup:

- AD7195EBZ
- Continuous motion
- 10Hz rate, 10mm/s
- 3mTmm noise
- 0.1 sec per point
- 8 sec measurement



Using single stretched wire to simulate various coils

Horizontal field 1st integral:



Two measurements required: Noise $\sqrt{2}$ higher.

Derivative dI/dy measured: Integration increases noise

No absolute value: Additional measurements of absolute values required



Horizontal field 1st integral, linear background (2 points)





Horizontal field 1st integral, parabolic background (3 points)





Conclusion

> FLASH II - IDs

- Improved mechanical design based on PETRA IDs
- Smaller end-kick gap dependence
- Transfer measurements consider the actual pole contour
- Installation of 12 IDs in late 2013

> Magnetic Measurements

- Hall probe calibration issues observed
- Characterization of various integrators in order to improve wire & coil measurements

