# Nucleon EDM & Decay from the Lattice

Eigo Shintani(RIKEN-BNL) for the RBC-UKQCD collaborations

Topics

### Introduction

- Neutron and proton EDM
- Proton decay matrix elements
- Summary and future work

### Introduction

# Search for NP from lattice QCD

#### Precise bound of undetected observables

Direct constraint on BSM

EDM (nucleon (quark), electron, ...), Proton(neutron) decay, NNbar oscillation, LFV, dark matter search, ...

- Intensity frontier physics
- Hadronic correction should be significant

Need to take account of nucleon structure, and low energy physics of QCD

Require the model independent method for strong interaction

Lattice QCD plays a key role !

## Lattice fermion

- There are several kinds of fermion definition on the lattice
   Due to Nielsen-Ninomiya no-go theorem
- Require "realistic" fermion for the precise calculation
  - Wilson-clover (and staggered fermions) may not be appropriate (due to sys. error maybe...)
  - Domain-wall fermion (and also overlap fermion) we use here

### Domain-Wall fermion (DWF)

- L, R fermion are localized on boundaries  $\Rightarrow$  Chiral symmetry (if L<sub>s</sub> $\rightarrow\infty$ ).
- Good chiral symmetry Chiral symmetry breaking is suppressed as *am<sub>res</sub>* ~ exp(-L<sub>s</sub>).

[Blum Soni, (97), CP-PACS(99), RBC(00), RBC/UKQCD. (05 --) ]



### Our strategy

- Non-perturbative determination of QCD contribution to nucleon (neutron and proton) EDM & decay from lattice
- Domain-wall fermion
  - High precision, but highly computational cost spend more than I year using powerful supercomputer
  - New development of algorithm to carry out efficiently
- All-mode-averaging (AMA) algorithm

Blum, Izubichi, ES, 1208.4349

- more than 5 times faster !
- various applications to other observables (nucleon form factor)
- possible to perform high precision measurement

## Nucleon EDM from the lattice

## Nucleon EDM in the SM and BSM

- Sensitive to P, CP violation
- Upper limit from experiment:  $< 2.9 \times 10^{-26} \text{ e} \cdot \text{cm}$
- Contribution from weak boson: CKM phase

Very tiny, which is 3-loop :  $d_N^{KM} \simeq 10^{-30} - 10^{-32} e^{-cm}$ 

Khriplovich and Zhitnitsky, PLB109, 490 (1982); Czarnecki, Krause, PRL78, 4339 (1997)

• Contribution from QCD:  $\theta$  term

Unnaturally small (strong CP problem):  $\bar{\theta} < 10^{-9\pm1}$ 

Crewther, et al. (1979), Ellis, Gaillard (1979)

► Contribution from BSM: dim-5,6 operator  $\mathcal{O}_{qEDM} = d_q \bar{q} (\sigma \cdot F) \gamma_5 q, \ \mathcal{O}_{cEDM} = d_q^c \bar{q} (\sigma \cdot G) \gamma_5 q, \ \mathcal{O}_{Weinberg} = d^G G G \tilde{G}$   $d_N = d_N^{QCD} \bar{\theta} + d_N (d_q, d_q^c) + d_N (d^G)$   $\sim 10^{-17} [e \cdot cm] \bar{\theta} + (1.4 - 0.47) d_d - (0.12 - 0.35) d_u + O(10^{-2}) d_q^c$   $\sim O(10^{-25} - 10^{-27}) e \cdot cm$ Hisano, Shimizu (04), Ellis, Lee, Pilaftsis (08), Hisano, Lee, Nagata, Shimizu (12)

# Nucleon EDM from lattice QCD

Non-perturbative determination of QCD effect

\$L\_{\theta}\$
From lattice QCD we obtain \$d\_N\$ in \$d\_N^{QCD} = \bar{\theta} d\_N\$
\$\theta\$ parameter can be estimated by \$d\_N^{exp}/d\_N\$ = \$\theta\$ (if there is no BSM)
\$L\_{qEDM}\$, \$L\_{cEDM}\$
From lattice QCD we obtain \$C\_{qEDM}\$, \$C\_{cEDM}\$
\$d\_N^{BSM} = \$\sum\_{n=1}^{\infty} [d\_q^{qEDM} C\_{qEDM}^q + d\_q^{cEDM} C\_{cEDM}^q]\$

 $d^{\ensuremath{\mathsf{qEDM}}}$  ,  $d^{\ensuremath{\mathsf{cEDM}}}$  depend on BSM parameters.

Result of lattice QCD is an important input value for BSM search

# Methods

### Spectrum

 $\mathbf{m}_{\uparrow \text{ spin}} - \mathbf{m}_{\downarrow \text{ spin}} = 2\mathbf{d}_{N} \boldsymbol{\theta} \mathbf{E} \qquad R_{3} = \frac{\langle N(t)\bar{N}(0)\rangle_{\boldsymbol{\theta},E}^{\text{up}}}{\langle N(t)\bar{N}(0)\rangle_{\boldsymbol{\theta},E}^{\text{down}}} \simeq 1 + d_{N} E \boldsymbol{\theta} t$ 

E: External electric field

Aoki and Gocksch, PRL63, 1125 (1989); ES, et al., (CP-PACS) PRD75, 034507 (2007); ES et al., PRD78, 014503 (2008)

CPV Form factor

$$\langle n(P_1) | J_{\mu}^{\text{EM}} | n(P_2) \rangle_{\theta} = \bar{u}_N^{\theta} \Big[ \underbrace{\frac{F_3^{\theta}(Q^2)}{2m_N} \gamma_5 \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{\nu}}_{\text{P,T-odd}} + \underbrace{F_1 \gamma_{\mu} + \frac{F_2}{2m_N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{\nu}}_{\text{P,T-even}} + \cdots \Big] u_N^{\theta} \\ d_N = \lim_{Q^2 \to 0} F_3(Q^2) / 2m_N$$

ES, et al., (CP-PACS), PRD72, 014504 (2005); Berruto, et al. (RBC) PRD73, 05409 (2006).

Imaginary  $\theta$ 

New generation of imaginary  $\theta$  action:  $\langle Oe^{i\theta Q} \rangle \rightarrow \langle Oe^{-\theta^T Q} \rangle$ 

T. Izubuchi, Lattice 2007

### Recent results

- DWF in Nf=2+1 (RBC/UKQCD)  $m_{\pi}$  = 300--400 MeV
  - AMA is very helpful, cost is reduced to 1/5 or less.
  - Statistical error is more than 40%, and also we need to estimate systematic error (finite size effect).



## Nucleon decay from the lattice

## Effective operator

Dimension-6 BV operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm GUT} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \sum_{i} C_i(\mu) O_i(\mu) / \Lambda_{\rm GUT}^2 + \mathcal{O}((O(\mu) / \Lambda_{GUT}^2)^2)$$

 $O_i(\mu)=(qq)_{\Gamma}(ql)_{\Gamma'}$  "i" labels chirality (Г) and flavor (q,l)

C<sub>i</sub> depends on type of GUTs model

Matrix element

Lattice QCD provides each decay channels of  $W_0$  from matrix element;

 $\langle \pi^{0} | (ud)_{\Gamma} u_{\Gamma'} | p \rangle = P_{\Gamma'} \Big[ W_{0}^{\Gamma} - \frac{i \not q}{m_{p}} W_{1}^{\Gamma} \Big] u_{p} \qquad \text{Aoki et al. (JLQCD), PRD62, 014506}$ which is extracted from 3-pt function. (2000); Aoki et al. (RBC), PRD75, 014507 (2007)

 $W_0$ : determine from QCD matrix element (model independent)

Decay rate

$$\Gamma_{p \to \pi^0 e^+} = \frac{m_p}{32\pi^2} \left[ 1 - \left(\frac{m_e}{m_p}\right)^2 \right]^2 \left| \sum_i C_i W_0^i(p \to \pi^0) \right|^2$$

Precision of  $W_0$  is significant, since the decay rate is affected by twice of that.

# How to obtain $W_0$ from lattice QCD

- The "indirect" method
  - Measurements of low-energy constant via tree level chiral perturbation theory.  $W_0^{LR}(p \to \pi^0) \simeq \alpha (1 + D + F) / \sqrt{2} f_0,$

where D and F is given by experiment, and  $\alpha$  is given by 2-pt function:  $\langle 0|((ud)_R u_L)|p\rangle = \alpha P_L u_p$ 



S.Aoki et al. (JLQCD), PRD62, 014506 (2000), Y. Aoki et al.(RBC), PRD75, 014507 (2007), Y.Aoki et al. (RBC-UKQCD), PRD78, 054505 (2008)

Easy calculation, BUT it has systematic error due to being based on ChPT.

- The "direct" method
  - Measurement of matrix element extracted from 3-pt function.
  - Rather expensive, while there is no uncertainty depending on models.
  - Provides each channels of decay mode.

S Aoki et al. (JLQCD), PRD62, 014506 (2000), Y.Aoki et al.(RBC), PRD75, 014507 (2007)

Low energy constant (indirect)

### Works with DWFs

Quenched QCD (direct/indirect)

Y.Aoki, C. Dawson, J. Noaki, and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. D75, 014507 (2007)

Nf=2+I (indirect)

Y.Aoki et al. (RBC-UKQCD), Phys. Rev. D78, 054505 (2008)

- Large model dependence
- Need to subtract ChPT ambiguity
   ⇒ direct calculation



# W<sub>0</sub> (direct)

- DWFs in Nf=2+1 (direct) 24<sup>3</sup> × 64 lattice in RBC/UKQCD collaboration, Chiral extrapolation with m=0.005, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03 ( $m_{\pi}$  = 0.3 -- 0.8 GeV)
- Physical kinematics  $-\!\!<\!\!\pi^0\!|(ud)_R^{}u_L^{}|p\!\!>$  Estimate all  $<\!\!\pi^0\!|(ud)_L^{}u_L^{}|p\!\!>$ systematic errors  $< K^0 |(us)_R u_L| p >$  $< K^0 |(us)_L u_L| p >$  Uncertainty is still N<sub>e</sub>=2+1, "direct" N<sub>e</sub>=2+1 "direct"  $- \langle K^{\dagger} | (us)_{R} d_{T} | p \rangle$ large. Quench, "direct" × N<sub>c</sub>=2+1 "indirect  $\langle K^+|(us)_{T}d_{T}|p\rangle$ (stat + sys error):  $- < K^{+} |(ud)_{R} s_{T}| p >$ 30--40% for  $p \rightarrow \pi$  $\langle K^+|(ud)_{I}s_{I}|p\rangle$ 20--40% for  $p \rightarrow K$  $- \langle K^+ | (ds)_{R} u_{T} | p \rangle$  $-\langle K^{\dagger}|(ds)_{T}u_{T}|p\rangle$  $<\eta |(ud)_{R}u_{T}|p>$  $<\eta |(ud)_{T}u_{T}|p>$ 0.2 0.2 0.15 0 0.05 0.05 0.150.10 0.1 $W_{o}(\mu=2GeV)$  [GeV<sup>2</sup>]  $W_o(\mu=2GeV)$  [GeV<sup>2</sup>]

## Summary and future work

- Lattice study of nucleon EDM & decay
- Precise estimate of non-perturbative contribution
- Need to reduce the statistical + systematic error
  - Nucelon EDM : > 40% stat. error and large sys. error expected
  - Nucleon decay :  $p \rightarrow \pi$  channel : 30--40% total error

 $p \rightarrow K$  channel: 20--40% total error

- AMA algorithm is very helpful. Blum, Izubichi, ES, I 208.4349
- Larger lattice size (~5 fm<sup>3</sup>) at physical point will be available soon. We expect 10-20% error level.

# Thank you

# Backup

## Lattice QCD

In lattice regularization, the path integral of  $\langle O \rangle$  is computed by <u>Monte-Carlo integral</u>:

$$\langle O \rangle = Z^{-1} \int D\Psi O(\Psi) e^{-S(\Psi)} \simeq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} O(\Psi_i)$$

- Exact QCD calculation (enough large number of sampling N)
- Gauge invariant
- Translational invariant
- Ultraviolet cut-off a (lattice spacing)
   Infrared cut-off V=L<sub>0</sub><sup>D</sup> (lattice volume)
- Taking continuum limit, and infinite volume



# Lattice QCD

#### Hadron spectrum in Nf=2+1 QCD

Good agreement with <u>various lattice action and fermion</u> with experimental results !
Kranfold 1209 3469

