

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

#### Perturbative QCD for the LHC

Aude Gehrmann-De Ridder

Brookhaven Forum 2013, Brookhaven National Laboratory, May 2013

### Multi-particle production at LHC

- LHC brings new frontiers in energy and luminosity
- Production of short-lived heavy states (Higgs, top, SUSY...)
  - detected through their decay products
- Search for new effects in multi-particle final states
- Need precise predictions for hard scattering processes



# QCD in hard scattering processes

 For processes with Q<sup>2</sup> >> M<sup>2</sup><sub>proton</sub>, factorization relates hadronic and partonic cross sections





- PDFs: determined from data
  - MSTW08, CT10, NNPDF, .....
- Parton-level hard scattering cross section:  $\hat{\sigma}_{ab \rightarrow X}$ 
  - calculable in perturbative QCD as expansion in  $\alpha_s$
  - Depends on scales: renormalization  $\mu_R$  and factorization  $\mu_F$

### Jets

### Clusters of hadrons observed as jets

• e.g. three-jet production at LEP





- Partons combined into jets using the same jet algorithm
  - Mostly used at LHC: anti-k<sub>T</sub> clustering (M. Cacciari, G. Soyez, G. Salam)
- Jets in perturbative QCD
  - No algorithm dependence at leading order
  - Theoretical description more accurate with increasing order
  - Current status: at most three partons in one jet

NNLO



## NLO Multiparticle production

### Why NLO?

- reduce scale uncertainty of LO theory prediction
- reliable normalization and shape
- accounts for effects of extra radiation
- jet algorithm dependence
- Example: Z+j at Tevatron
  - NLO error: ~15%
  - substantial NLO effect
  - correction not constant



## NLO Multi-parton production

Enormous progress in getting NLO predictions for 2→(4,5,6!) processes over the last years



### Made possible by

- Improved techniques for loop amplitudes
- Crucial: a high level of automation

Process ( $V \in \{Z, W, \gamma\}$ )	Comments
Calculations completed since Les Houches 2005	
1. $pp \rightarrow VV$ jet	WW jet completed by Dittmaier/Kallweit/Uwer [27, 28] Campbell/Ellis/Zanderighi [29].
$2 m \rightarrow Hioos+2iets$	Z Zjet completed by Binoth/Gleisberg/Karg/Kauer/Sanguinetti [30] NLO OCD to the <i>aa</i> channel
3. $pp \rightarrow VVV$	completed by Campbell/Ellis/Zanderighi [31]; NLO QCD+EW to the VBF channel
	completed by Ciccolini/Denner/Dittmaier [32, 33] Interference QCD-EW in VBF channel [34, 35] ZZZ completed by Lazonoulos/Melnikov/Petriello [36]
	and WWZ by Hankele/Zeppenfeld [37], see also Binoth/Ossola/Papadopoulos/Pittau [38]
	VBFNLO [39, 40] meanwhile also contains WWW, ZZW, WW $\gamma$ , ZZ $\gamma$ , WZ $\gamma$ , W $\gamma\gamma$ , Z $\gamma\gamma$ , $\gamma\gamma\gamma$
$4 \ m \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$	$WZ_j, W\gamma_j, \gamma_j j = \gamma_j$
$4. pp \rightarrow mov$	Bredens en/Deiner/Liemaier/Pozzorini [41, 42] and Bevinogua/Czykon/Papadopoulos/Pittau/Worek [43]
5. $pp \rightarrow V+3$ jets	V +3jets calculated by the Blackhat/Sherpa [44] nd P calculated by the Blackhat/Sherpa [44]
Calculations remaining from Les Houches 205	Z+sjets by Blackhat/Sherpa [40]
6. $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ +2jets	, levant for $t\bar{t}H$ , computed by
7. $pp \rightarrow VV b\bar{b}$ , 8. $pp \rightarrow VV + 0$ interval.	Bevilacqua/Czakon/Papadopoulos/Worek [47, 48] Pozzorini et al.[25],Bevilacqua et al.[23] W+W+2iete [40] W+W-2iete [50]
	VBF contributions calculated by (Bozzi/)Jager/Oleari/Zeppenfeld [51, 52, 53]
NLO calculations added to list in 2007	
9. $pp \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$	Binoth et al. [54, 55]
NLO calculations added to list in 2009	
10. $pp \rightarrow V + 4$ jets	top pair production, various new physics signatures Blackhat/Sherpa: W+4jets [22], Z+4jets [20]
11. $pp \rightarrow Wb\bar{b}j$ 12. $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$	top, new physics signatures, Reina/Schutzmeier [11] various new physics signatures
also: $pp \rightarrow 4$ jets	Blackhat/Sherpa [19]

#### K. Melnikov, MITP, 2013

# Automation in NLO computations

- NLO predictions obtained by combining numerical packages
- Currently implemented on process-by-process basis
- Impressive list of results:
  - multiple jets (up to 4)
  - gauge boson and up to 5 jets
  - two gauge bosons with up to 2 jets
  - Top quarks with jets (up to 2) or a gauge boson
  - Higgs and up to 2 jets



$$\sigma_{(m)}^{NLO} = \int_{\Phi_m} \left[ \mathrm{d}\sigma^{Born} + \mathrm{d}\sigma^V + \int_{\Phi_1} \mathrm{d}\sigma^S \right] + \int_{\Phi_{m+1}} \left[ \mathrm{d}\sigma^R - \mathrm{d}\sigma^S \right]$$

Address rich phenomenology with few examples

### W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>-</sup>+2 jet production at NLO

- Background to BSM searches and for  $H \rightarrow WW$  decay
- Two NLO calculations completed recently (T. Melia, K. Melnikov, R. Rontsch, G. Zanderighi; N. Greiner,

G. Heinrich, P. Mastrolia, G. Ossola, T. Reiter, F. Tramontano)



#### Observe: NLO corrections stabilize scale dependence

## W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>-</sup>+2 jet production at NLO

- Distribution in the lepton opening angle  $\Phi_{e^+,\mu^-}$
- Vary  $\mu = \mu_F = \mu_R$  in  $M_{VV} < \mu < 4 M_{VV}$

- NLO predictions within LO uncertainty band
  - Relevant for designing cuts for the determination of HWW coupling
    - QCD process: peaked at π
    - Higgs signal: peaked at 0



# W+5 jets at NLO

#### • First $2 \rightarrow 6$ NLO calculation at a hadron collider

### Using Blackhat + Sherpa

(Z. Bern, L. Dixon, F. Febres Cordero, S. Höche, H. Ita, D. Kosower, D. Maitre, K. Ozeren)

- Blackhat: virtual one-loop corrections using on-shell methods
- > Sherpa: real emission, subtraction, phase space integration





Example diagram for real emission  $(2\rightarrow 8)$  at tree level

Example diagram for virtual emission  $(2\rightarrow7)$  at one-loop (octogon)

- Computation at the actual frontier of NLO complexity
  - Considered impossible until few years ago

# W+5 jets at NLO

- Distribution in H<sub>T</sub><sup>jets</sup> (sum of jet transverse energies)
  - Dynamical scale choice

$$\mu_R = \mu_F = \hat{H}'_T/2$$

$$\hat{H}'_{\rm T} \equiv \sum_{m} p_{\rm T}^m + E_{\rm T}^W$$

- scale variation  $\mu/2 \dots 2\mu$
- Observe:
  - Scale dependence reduced at NLO
  - ratio NLO/LO constant over full kinematical range
- NLO helps to motivate the scale choice



### pp→4jets at NLO

#### • Two calculations using on-shell methods for loop amplitudes

Dynamical scale:

 $\mu_R = \mu_F = \mu = \hat{H}_T/2$ 

 $\hat{H}_T = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{parton}}} p_{T,i}^{\text{parton}}.$ 

- Blackhat+Sherpa (Z. Bern, L. Dixon, F. Febres Cordero, S. Höche, H. Ita, D. Kosower, D. Maitre, K. Ozeren)
- NJET+Sherpa (S. Badger, B. Biedermann, P. Uwer, V. Yundin)



• NLO prediction with central scale  $\hat{H}_T/2$  on top



g setesseese g

00000 g

00000

 4-to-3 jet ratio increases at NLO

### pp →4jets at NLO

 To disentangle NLO effects from parton distributions and genuine NLO corrections from hard scattering process
 Use NLO partons for both NLO and LO predictions



#### LO with NLO partons closer to full NLO than pure LO

### Jet ratios at NLO

#### Systematic uncertainties (th. and exp.) cancel in ratios

- Predictions more reliable
- Can be used in data-driven background estimation
- Jet ratio as function of leading jet p<sub>T</sub>
  - NLO and parton shower both agree with data for large p<sub>T</sub>
  - Parton shower (multiple emission) better at low p<sub>T</sub>
    - Large uncertainty on parton shower not shown



**Observe:** 3/2 ratio below the data at small  $p_T$ 

### How to improve NLO predictions? Merging with parton showers

- Add multiple radiation from parton shower(PS) to NLO prediction (NLO) for a specific hard process
- Challenge: avoid double counting
- Two established methods
  - MC@NLO (S. Frixione, B. Webber)
  - POWHEG (P. Nason, C. Oleari)
- Combines NLO accuracy for hard radiation with multiple soft emissions
  - High-p<sub>T</sub>: described by NLO
  - Low-p<sub>T</sub>: described by the parton shower
- Ever increasing number of NLO predictions combined with PS



p<sub>T</sub>-distribution in top quark pair production at Tevatron (S. Frixione, P.Nason, B.Webber)

# Next frontiers with NLO

- Full automation (well in progress)
  - BlackHat (Z. Bern, L. Dixon, F. Febres Cordero, S. Höche, H. Ita, D. Kosower, D. Maitre, K. Ozeren)
  - GoSam (G. Cullen, N. Greiner, G. Heinrich, G. Luisoni, P. Mastrolia, G. Ossola, T. Reiter, F. Tramontano)
  - OpenLoops (F. Cascioli, P. Maierhöfer, S. Pozzorini)
  - MadLoop/aMC@NLO (R. Frederix et al.)
  - CutTools (G. Ossola, C. Papadopoulos, R. Pittau)
- Combining NLO computations for different multiplicities and interfacing with parton showers (proof-of-principle)
  - SHERPA (S. Höche, F. Krauss, M. Schönherr, F. Siegert)
  - MINLO (K. Hamilton, P. Nason, C. Oleari, G. Zanderighi)
  - UNLOPS (L. Lönnblad, S. Prestel)
  - FxFx (S. Frixione, R. Frederix)
- Work in progress

### How to improve NLO predictions? NNLO corrections

- Expectations at LHC: Large production rates for low multiplicity processes with
  - Jets
  - Top-quark pairs
  - Vector bosons
- Allow precise determinations
  - coupling constants
  - parton distributions
- Require precise theory description: NNLO



Aude Gehrmann-De Ridder Brookhaven Forum 2013

## NNLO observables at hadron colliders

### NNLO predictions:

- expected to have a per-cent level accuracy
- yielding first reliable estimate of theoretical uncertainty
- For processes measured to few per cent accuracy
  - jet production
  - vector boson (+jet) production
  - top quark pair production
- For processes with potentially large perturbative corrections
  - New channels and/or phase space regions open up
    - Higgs or vector boson production



Rapidity distribution in Z production (C.Anastasiou, L. Dixon, K. Melnikov, F. Petriello)

### **NNLO** calculations

#### • Require three principal ingredients (here: $pp \rightarrow 2j$ )

- two-loop matrix elements
  - explicit infrared poles from loop integral
    - known for all massless  $2 \rightarrow 2$  processes
- one-loop matrix elements
  - explicit infrared poles from loop integral
  - and implicit poles from single real emission
    - usually known from NLO calculations
- tree-level matrix elements
  - implicit poles from double real emission
    - known from LO calculations
- Infrared poles cancel in the sum
- Challenge: combine contributions into parton-level generator
  - Need a method to extract implicit infrared poles







# Real radiation at NNLO: methods

#### Sector decomposition

(T. Binoth, G. Heinrich; C. Anastasiou, K. Melnikov, F. Petriello)

 pp → H, pp → V, including decays (C.Anastasiou, K. Melnikov, F. Petriello; S. Bühler, F. Herzog, A. Lazopoulos, R. Müller)

#### Sector-improved subtraction schemes

(M. Czakon; R. Boughezal, K. Melinkov, F. Petriello)

- ▶  $pp \rightarrow tt$  (M. Czakon, P. Fiedler, A. Mitov, 2013)
- ▶  $pp \rightarrow H+j$  (R. Boughezal, F. Caola, K. Melnikov, F. Petriello, M. Schulze, 2013)

#### ▶ **q**<sub>T</sub>-subtraction (S. Catani, M. Grazzini)

- ▶  $pp \rightarrow H, pp \rightarrow V, pp \rightarrow \gamma \gamma, pp \rightarrow VH$ (S. Catani, L. Cieri, D. de Florian, G. Ferrera M. Grazzini, F. Tramontano)
- Antenna subtraction (T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover, AG)
  - ►  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3j$  (T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover, G. Heinrich, AG; S. Weinzierl)

▶  $pp \rightarrow 2j$  (T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover, J. Pires, AG, 2013)

# Top quark pair production at LHC

- Large production cross section at the LHC (~250pb at 8TeV)
  - Expected experimental error of ~5% for  $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$
  - NLO+NLL predictions yield an uncertainty of ~10%
- NNLO accuracy of theory needed
- Theory (scales) CMS dilepton. 7TeV 300 ATLAS and CMS. 7TeV Calculation for the total cross section ATLAS. 7TeV completed (M. Czakon, P. Fiedler, A. Mitov) CMS dilepton. 8TeV 250 From a purely numerical code Jtot 200 based on sector-improved subtraction numerical cancellation of infrared poles  $PP \rightarrow tt + X @ NNLO + NNLL$ 150 m<sub>top</sub>=173.3 GeV MSTW2008NNLO(68cl) 6.5 7 7.5 8 8.5 √s [TeV] Observe: theoretical and experimental uncertainties comparable (% level)
- Differential distributions in progress

Theory (scales + pdf)

# Top quark pair production at NNLO

- Impact on the determination of parton distributions
  - Top production at LHC mainly from qg and gg processes
  - Total cross section sensitive on gluon distribution
  - Inclusion into NNLO global parton distribution fit (M. Czakon, M. Mangano, A. Mitov, J. Rojo)



# Higgs+jet production at the LHC

- Essential to establish the properties of the newly discovered Higgs boson
- Experiments select events according to number of jets
  - Different backgrounds for different jet multiplicities
  - H+0jet and inclusive H production known at NNLO (C.Anastasiou, K. Melnikov, F. Petriello; S.Catani, M. Grazini)
  - H+Ijet and H+2jet known at NLO
  - H+0jet and H+1jet samples of comparable sizes
- NNLO for H+ljet needed
  - gluons-only total cross section completed
     (R. Boughezal, F. Caola, K. Melnikov, F. Petriello, M. Schulze) (→see R. Boughezal parallel talk)
  - Full calculation and differential distributions in progress

# Higgs+jet production at NNLO

- First results for H+jet total cross section (gluons only) (R. Boughezal, F. Caola, K. Melnikov, F. Petriello, M. Schulze)
  - using a purely numerical code
    - Based on sector-improved subtraction

 $\hfill\square$  numerical cancellation of infrared singularities

cross section multiplied by gluon luminosity

$$\beta \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{had}}}{\mathrm{d}\sqrt{s}} = \beta \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(s, \alpha_s, \mu_R, \mu_F)}{\mathrm{d}\sqrt{s}} \times \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{s}{s_{\mathrm{had}}}, \mu_F\right),$$
  
with  $\beta = \sqrt{1 - \frac{E_{th}^2}{s}}, \quad E_{th} \approx 158 GeV$ 

 Observe large NNLO effects close to partonic threshold region



p<sub>ti</sub>> 30 GeV, k<sub>T</sub>-alg., R=0.5

Aude Gehrmann-De Ridder Brookhaven Forum 2013

## Higgs+jet production at NNLO

Scale dependence of the integrated total cross section



- Considerable stabilization at NNLO
- Corrections smallest for  $\mu = M_H/2$  as in inclusive case

## Di-Photon production at the LHC

- Di-photon production: irreducible background for  $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ 
  - ▶ at present determined from sideband data fits
- Discrepancy between NLO theory and data in some distributions



Require precise theoretical predictions (NNLO)

## Photon isolation

### Photons need to be isolated from hadrons in events

- Suppress secondary photons from hadron decays
- Complete isolation not infrared safe, nor exp. well-defined

### Isolation criteria

Fixed cone isolation

$$\sum_{S < R} E_T^h < E_T^{max}$$

Smooth cone isolation (S.Frixione)

$$\sum_{\delta < R} E_T^h < E_T^{max} \left( \frac{1 - \cos(\delta)}{1 - \cos(R)} \right)^r$$



- only soft radiation allowed close to photon
- experimental implementation difficult (finite detector resolution)

# Photon production mechanisms

#### Direct process: photon produced in hard interaction

- perturbatively calculable
- collinear quark-photon contributions present
- Fragmentation of parton into photon:
  - described by a non-perturbative parton-to-photon fragmentation function
  - absorbs collinear singularities from direct process
  - requires non-perturbative input
- Fixed cone isolation
  - both processes contribute
  - fragmentation contributions reduced but not eliminated

#### Smooth cone isolation

no collinear nor fragmentation contributions



# Di-photon production at the LHC



# Di-photon production with $2\gamma$ NNLO

Invariant-mass distribution with staggered photon cuts



- NNLO corrections large in low M<sub>yy</sub> region
  - Main contribution from qg channel (dominant channel at NLO)

$$p_T^{\gamma \ hard} \ge 40 \,\text{GeV}$$
$$p_T^{\gamma \ soft} \ge 25 \,\text{GeV}$$
$$|\eta^{\gamma}| \le 2.5$$

$$20 \,\mathrm{GeV} \le M_{\gamma\gamma} \le 250 \,\mathrm{GeV}$$



Aude Gehrmann-De Ridder Brookhaven Forum 2013

### ATLAS di-photon results



- Inclusion of NNLO corrections resolves discrepancy between NLO-type prediction and data
  - Despite the use of slightly different cone isolation criteria

### Jet cross sections at LHC



- Jet data can be used to constrain parton distributions
- Scale and PDF uncertainties on NLO prediction of comparable size
- Need improved theory (NNLO)

## $pp \rightarrow 2jets at NNLO$

- First results at NNLO available
  - gg → gg subprocess at leading colour (LC) (AG, T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover, J. Pires)
  - Developed a new parton-level event generator NNLOJET
  - using antenna subtraction
    - analytic cancellation of infrared poles
- Inclusive jet p<sub>T</sub> distribution
  - NNLO/NLO differential K-factor flat over the whole p<sub>T</sub> range



Aude Gehrmann-De Ridder Brookhaven Forum 2013

### $pp \rightarrow 2jets at NNLO$

- Inclusive jet p<sub>T</sub> distribution: scale dependence (gluons only, LC) (AG, T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover, J. Pires)
  - Dynamical scale choice: leading jet p<sub>T</sub>
  - Same PDF for all fixed order predictions



Stabilization at NNLO

### Improving NNLO with resummation

Combining NNLO with analytic resummation

- Fixed order becomes unreliable if large ratios of scales are involved, e.g. p<sub>T</sub> ≪ M
- Perform all-order resummation of large logarithms
- Combine with NLO or NNLO
- State-of-the-art: NNLL
  - (D. de Florian, G. Ferrera, M. Grazzini, D. Tomassini;M. Beneke, P. Falgari, S. Klein, C. Schwinn;V.Ahrens, A. Ferroglia, M. Neubert, B. Pecjak, L.L. Yang
- Jet-vetoed cross section in Higgs production at NNLL+NNLO (A. Banfi, P.F. Monni, G. Salam, G. Zanderighi; T. Becher, M. Neubert)
  - Observe substantial reduction of uncertainty



## **Conclusions and Outlook**

- Apologises for all important contributions not covered in this 40 minutes talk
- Be prepared for exciting times ahead with the LHC



Aude Gehrmann-De Ridder Brookhaven Forum 2013