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## $\mathrm{CoH}_{3}$ New Evaluation of ${ }^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}$, (n,n'), (n,2n), and (n,3n)





- New evaluation better agrees Simakov data

Angular distributions

- Need to re-investigate if Frehaut data should be renormalized


## Evaluation of ${ }^{234,236 \mathrm{U}}$

$>$ Extensive and consistent evaluations based on CoH 3 calculations, with parameters adjusted to experimental data (DANCE, WNR)

- All open channels included
$\Rightarrow$ KALMAN-based evaluation for fission channel to include cross section data from WNR
> 234,236U: re-evaluation of nubar, consistent PFNS
$>$ PFGS and gamma multiplicity taken from the recent ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$ evaluation (we could do better)




## Evaluation ${ }^{234,236} \mathrm{U}$ (capture)

$>$ Resonance parameters for ${ }^{236} \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{\gamma})$ refitted to DANCE data, but only for the s wave and in different format than currently in ENDF (not delivered by experimentalist colleagues yet)
$>$ Data for ${ }^{234} \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{\gamma})$ will be analyzed this summer (before September?) soon.
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{CoH}_{3}$ evaluation

- Width corrections fluctuation of Moldauer, with the Engelbrecht-Weidenmüller transformation (strict treatment of the directly coupled channels in the Hauser-Feshbach theory), the coupled-channels optical potential of Soukhovitskii
- Same parameters used for the suite of $U$ isotopes


Baramsai et al, PRC 96 (2017) 024619

$\mathrm{CoH}_{3}$ evaluation

## Evaluation of ${ }^{234,236} \mathrm{U}$ (fission cross section)

$\square$ Added in the fit data by Lisowski and Tovesson
$\square$ Small changes from ENDF/B-VIII. 0
$\square \mathrm{CoH} 3$ : the fission barriers and transmission coefficients in different fission channels are adjusted to reproduce exactly the evaluated fission data.


One needs to compare with other isotopes

## $\bar{v}$ evaluations

$>$ Neutron emissions strongly influenced by TKE
$>$ No measurements for TKE in minor actinides
$>$ Extend using the multichance fission probabilities above the threshold for multichance fission




Weak constraints on the fit

## Select ICSBEP benchmarks

| Benchmark | B-VIII.0 | B-VIII.0+U6 | B-VIII.0+U4 | B8+U4+U6 | Exp |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HEU-MET-FAST-007-001 | 0.99327 | 0.99308 | 0.99328 | 0.99308 | $0.9950(24)$ |
| HEU-MET-FAST-007-002 | 0.99855 | 0.99877 | 0.99876 | 0.99875 | $0.9964(14)$ |
| HEU-MET-FAST-078-027 | 0.99513 | - | 0.99540 | - | $1.000(3)$ |
| HEU-MET-FAST-087-001 | 1.00013 | - | 1.00006 | - | $0.9987(13)$ |
| HEU-MET-FAST-092-001 | 1.00141 | - | 1.00149 | - | $0.9989(13)$ |



Important to check the capture in ${ }^{234} \mathrm{U}$ against experimental data

## Covariances


${ }^{236} \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{f})$


Work in progress

