Effects of Blending on Cosmic Shear in DES and LSST

David Kirkby University of California, Irvine

4 Dec 2013 LSST DESC Meeting, Pittsburgh

- Current shape measurement algorithms assume that galaxies do not overlap.
- Stage-IV lensing surveys probe higher surface densities, but with more overlaps.
- Are we ready to take advantage of the full LSST depth? (LSST/DES signal ~ 28)
- Where should we focus our effort in further developing photo-z and shape measurement algorithms?

First Pass: analyze galaxies independently



LSST CatSim



	Effective	Pixel		Exposure	Sky Brightness	Med. Seeing	Zero
Survey	$Area(m^2)$	Size(")		Time (s)	$(mag/arcsec^2)$	(FWHM")	Point
CFHTLS	8.022	0.185	i	4300	20.3	0.64	10.0
			r	2000	20.8	0.71	13.5
DES	10.014	0.263	i	1000	20.1	0.79	12.5
			r	800	21.1	0.79	16.8
LSST	33.212	0.200	i	6900	20.0	0.67	41.5
			r	6900	21.3	0.70	55.8



includes sky noise

13.7'

electrons 82 6.1e+02 -23 5e+02 -2.3e+02 -1.3e+02 4e+02 1.9e+02 2.9e+02



includes sky noise

4.8e+02 9.3e+02 1.4e+03 1.8e+03 2.3e+03 2.7e+03 electrons -8.6e+02 -4.2e+02 36



no noise!

Second Pass: quantify effects of overlaps



- each galaxy's footprint = pixels where its detected signal > sqrt(full-depth sky)/2
- two galaxies are overlapping if their footprints have any pixels in common

Preview of results

- Predicted effective galaxy surface densities*:
 - LSST: N_{eff} ~ 23 (r), 18 (i) / sq.arcmin.
 DES: N_{eff} ~ 8.0 (r), 5.5 (i) / sq.arcmin.

x3

- LSST cosmic shear signal is concentrated at:
 - S/N > 10
 - focus
 focus
 focus
 fort
 here! • $\sigma_{gal} / \sigma_{psf} \sim 0.5 - 1.5$
 - z-contamination ~ 1%
 - <z> ~ 1.1(r), 1.0 (i) and i < 27.0, r < 26.5

* ~15% masking for stars not included

























Redshift contamination from overlaps

- Overlaps with $|\Delta z| < 0.1$ are considered harmless (e.g., from satellites)
- Measure fraction of galaxy's weighted* flux due to overlapping galaxies with $|\Delta z| > 0.1$
- Fraction measures redshift contamination:
 - > 10% is unusable for photo-z
 - I-10% is "challenging"

* flux of secondary galaxy weighted with primary galaxy's profile.

LSST-r

redshift contamination:

>10%

Comparison with other N_{eff} estimates for LSST

- LSST Science Book: $N_{eff} \sim 40$ / sq.arcmin.
- Chang++ 2013: $N_{eff} \sim 31$ / sq.arcmin.
 - $\sigma_m < \sigma_i$ (k = 1), simple geometric treatment of overlaps.
- This work: N_{eff} ~ 23 (r), 18 (i).
 - $\sigma_m < \sigma_i$, redshift contamination < 1%

Next steps

- validate / improve input galaxy catalog
- model effects of stars on overlaps
- estimate systematic biases on shape measurement and photo-z due to overlaps
- focus limited CPU on best 20% of seeing?
- paper draft in progress...

<u>Summary of results</u>

- Predicted effective galaxy surface densities*:
 - LSST: N_{eff} ~ 23 (r), 18 (i) / sq.arcmin.
 DES: N_{eff} ~ 8.0 (r), 5.5 (i) / sq.arcmin.

x3

- LSST cosmic shear signal is concentrated at:
 - S/N > 10
 - *focus effort here!* • $\sigma_{gal} / \sigma_{psf} \sim 0.5 - 1.5$
 - z-contamination ~ 1%
 - <z> ~ 1.1(r), 1.0 (i) and i < 27.0, r < 26.5

* ~15% masking for stars not included