# Summary of changes to the ENDF library

**David Brown (BNL)** 

CSEWG Meeting, Virtual Nuclear Data Week 2020

1 Dec. 2020





## Color coding for this talk

- Committed to phase1
- Soon to be committed to phase1
- Planned contribution
- CONFLICT angry words!





## Once again, TSL sublibrary undergoing major revisions

#### New evaluations:

- FLiBe, HF, paraffinic oil (NCSU)
- UH<sub>3</sub>, Be<sub>2</sub>C, <sup>7</sup>LiD, <sup>7</sup>LiH, ZrH<sub>2</sub> (ε-phase) (NNL)
- Si, Mg, Toluene, Mesitylene (phase II) (JEFF-3.3/CAB?)

#### Revised evaluations:

• YH<sub>2</sub> (NNL), conflict with RPI/ORNL?

- New, but conflicting, evaluations:
  - Sapphire (NCSU vs. JEFF-3.3)
  - Polyethylene (NCSU vs. RPI/ORNL)
  - Light water ice I<sub>h</sub> (NCSU vs. RPI/ ORNL vs. JEFF-3.3)
  - Light H<sub>2</sub>O (CAB vs. NCSU)
  - Lucite (NCSU vs. RPI/ORNL)
  - ZrH (δ-phase) (NNL vs. JEFF-3.3)
  - CaH2 (NCSU vs. JEFF-3.3)





#### Mass(ive) sublibrary changes

- EPICS2017 tweak atom\_relax, electrons, photoat revision from Red Cullen (uploaded by G. Nobre last week)
- SFY fixes (A. Mattera, BNL, September 2020)
- NFY fixes (A. Mattera, BNL, September 2020)
- Decay changes (R. Lorek, April 2020)
- 2019 IAEA Photonuclear (G. Nobre uploading next week 😌, working through formatting issues)





#### Charged particle evaluations

- p+4He (LANL)
- d+4He (LANL)
- <sup>4</sup>He+<sup>4</sup>He, <sup>4</sup>He+<sup>6</sup>Li (LANL)
- 4He+9Be, 4He+17O, 4He+18O (NNL+IAEA)





#### **INDEN Evaluations**

- 56Fe fixes (IAEA)
- 55Mn thermal capture spectrum (IAEA)
- 50,52-54Cr
- 235U, 239Pu
- 28-30Si (ORNL)
- 233U (ORNL)
- 16,18





#### Non-INDEN neutron sublibrary

- 155,158,160-164 Dy (ORNL)
- 234,236U (LANL)
- <sup>181</sup>Ta (LANL vs. NNL/RPI)
- 35CI (LANL)
- Hf (RQW, BNL assist)
- 63,65Cu (ORNL)
- 140,143Ce (ORNL)
- Pb (RPI+LANL)

- Np (LLNL)
- Minor actinide nubars (RQW, BNL assist)
- (x,CP) (LANL+KAERI)
- 106,108,110-112,114,116Cd (LANL fix)
- 86Kr (BNL)
- 170Tm (BNL fix)
- 171Tm (BNL fix)





## There is a lot going on! It's very exciting!

- We must resolve the conflicts
  - I prefer consensus solutions. Especially when a single sponsor (NCSP) is paying for both ends of a conflict.
  - Also note, that there are not enough of us. As we stand up the ENDF review process, it is likely that your opponents in any conflict will be your reviewer 

    . Figure it out folks!
- Spreadsheets summarizing these contributions are included in this meeting contribution
  - These will be updated for the final meeting minutes
- We have enough contributions already that the executive committee feels that an ENDF/B-VIII.1 release is warranted by February 2023



