The leading disconnected contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon

Vera Gülpers

Anthony Francis, Benjamin Jäger, Harvey Meyer, Georg von Hippel, Hartmut Wittig

Helmholtz-Institut Mainz and Institut für Kernphysik Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz



Helmholtz-Institut Mainz

June 23, 2014





JOHANNES GUTENBERG UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ

Hadronic Vacuum Polarisation

- leading order hadronic contribution to \mathbf{a}_{μ}
- connected and disconnected diagram



mixed time-momentum representation vector correlator

$$\mathsf{G}^{\gamma\gamma}(\mathsf{x}_0) = -\!\!\int\!\mathsf{d}^3\mathsf{x}\,\langle j_k^\gamma(\mathsf{x}) j_k^\gamma(0)\rangle \quad \text{ with } \ j_k^\gamma = \frac{2}{3}\overline{\mathsf{u}}\gamma_k\mathsf{u} - \frac{1}{3}\overline{\mathsf{d}}\gamma_k\mathsf{d} + \dots$$

hadronic vacuum polarization [1107.4388]

$$\hat{\Pi}(Q^2) = 4\pi^2 \int\limits_0^\infty dx_0 \, G^{\gamma\gamma}(x_0) \left[x_0^2 - \frac{4}{Q^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}Qx_0\right) \right]$$

light quark contribution to the Vector Correlator

electro-magnetic current for the light quarks

$$\mathbf{j}_{\mu}^{\ell} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left(\overline{\mathbf{u}} \gamma_{\mu} \mathbf{u} - \overline{\mathbf{d}} \gamma_{\mu} \mathbf{d} \right)}_{\mathbf{l} = 1, \ \mathbf{j}_{\mu}^{\rho}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{6} \left(\overline{\mathbf{u}} \gamma_{\mu} \mathbf{u} + \overline{\mathbf{d}} \gamma_{\mu} \mathbf{d} \right)}_{\mathbf{l} = 0, \ \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{j}_{\mu}^{\omega}}$$

- only isoscalar state has a disconnected contribution
- definitions

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{G}^{\rho\rho}(\mathsf{x}_0) &= \frac{1}{2} \, \mathsf{G}^{\ell}_{\text{con}}(\mathsf{x}_0) = - \!\!\int\!\mathsf{d}^3 \mathsf{x} \, \langle j^{\rho}_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathsf{x}) j^{\rho}_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathsf{0}) \rangle \\ \mathsf{G}^{\ell}_{\text{disc}}(\mathsf{x}_0) &= - \!\!\!\int\!\mathsf{d}^3 \mathsf{x} \, \langle j^{\ell}_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathsf{x}) j^{\ell}_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathsf{0}) \rangle_{\text{disc}} \end{split}$$

vector correlator

$$\mathsf{G}^{\gamma\gamma}(\mathsf{x}_0) = \frac{5}{9}\mathsf{G}^\ell_{\text{con}}(\mathsf{x}_0) + \frac{1}{9}\mathsf{G}^\ell_{\text{disc}}(\mathsf{x}_0)$$

The disconnected contribution for the light quarks

on the lattice we calculate

$$G^{\ell}_{\text{disc}}(x_{0} - y_{0}) = -\frac{Z^{2}_{V}}{L^{3}} \left\langle \left(\sum_{\vec{x}} \text{Tr}\left[\gamma_{k} D^{-1}(x, x)\right]\right) \left(\sum_{\vec{y}} \text{Tr}\left[\gamma_{k} D^{-1}(y, y)\right]\right) \right\rangle$$

- all-to-all propagator with 3 stochastic sources and generalized hopping parameter expansion
 [0910.3970, 1309.2104]
- O(a)-improved Wilson action with N_f = 2 (CLS)
- ► 64 × 32³ lattice with $m_{\pi} \approx 450$ MeV and a = 0.063 fm



 $G^l_{disc}(t)$

The total light quark vector Correlator



The total light quark vector Correlator



The total light quark vector Correlator



 \blacktriangleright for $t\gtrsim 0.8$ fm the vector correlator is dominated by the error on the disconnected contribution

Vera Gülpers (Helmholtz-Institut Mainz)

light and strange contribution to the Vector Correlator

electro-magnetic current

$$\mathbf{j}_{\mu}^{\ell s} = \mathbf{j}_{\mu}^{\ell} + \mathbf{j}_{\mu}^{s} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left(\overline{\mathbf{u}} \gamma_{\mu} \mathbf{u} - \overline{\mathbf{d}} \gamma_{\mu} \mathbf{d} \right)}_{\mathbf{l} = 1, \ \mathbf{j}_{\mu}^{\rho}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{6} \left(\overline{\mathbf{u}} \gamma_{\mu} \mathbf{u} + \overline{\mathbf{d}} \gamma_{\mu} \mathbf{d} - 2 \overline{\mathbf{s}} \gamma_{\mu} \mathbf{s} \right)}_{\mathbf{l} = 0}$$

definitions

$$\begin{split} G^{s}_{\text{con}}(x_{0}) &= - \!\! \int \! \text{d}^{3}x \left\langle j^{s}_{k}(x) j^{s}_{k}(0) \right\rangle_{\text{con}} \\ G^{\ell s}_{\text{disc}}(x_{0}) &= - \!\! \int \! \text{d}^{3}x \left\langle j^{\ell s}_{k}(x) j^{\ell s}_{k}(0) \right\rangle_{\text{disc}} \end{split}$$

vector correlator

$$G^{\gamma\gamma}(x_0) = \frac{5}{9}G^\ell_{\text{con}}(x_0) + \frac{1}{9}G^s_{\text{con}}(x_0) + \frac{1}{9}G^{\ell s}_{\text{disc}}(x_0)$$

The disconnected contribution for light and strange quarks

one can rewrite

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{G}_{\text{disc}}^{\ell s}(\mathsf{x}_0) &= -\!\!\int\! \mathsf{d}^3 \mathsf{x} \left< \mathsf{j}_k^{\ell s}(\mathsf{x}) \mathsf{j}_k^{\ell s}(\mathbf{0}) \right>_{\text{disc}} \\ &= -\!\!\!\int\! \mathsf{d}^3 \mathsf{x} \left< (\mathsf{j}_k^{\ell}(\mathsf{x}) - \mathsf{j}_k^{s}(\mathsf{x})) \left(\mathsf{j}_k^{\ell}(\mathbf{0}) - \mathsf{j}_k^{s}(\mathbf{0}) \right) \right>_{\text{disc}} \end{split}$$

on the lattice

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{G}_{\text{disc}}^{\ell s}(\mathsf{x}_0 - \mathsf{y}_0) &= -\frac{\mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{V}}^2}{\mathsf{L}^3} \Big\langle \Big(\sum_{\vec{\mathsf{x}}} \mathsf{Tr} \left[\gamma_k \, \mathsf{D}_\ell^{-1}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x}) - \gamma_k \, \mathsf{D}_s^{-1}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x}) \right] \Big) \times \\ & \left(\sum_{\vec{\mathsf{y}}} \mathsf{Tr} \left[\gamma_k \, \mathsf{D}_\ell^{-1}(\mathsf{y},\mathsf{y}) - \gamma_k \, \mathsf{D}_s^{-1}(\mathsf{y},\mathsf{y}) \right] \Big) \Big\rangle \end{split}$$

- we need only differences of light- and strange propagator
- idea: calculate light- and strange propagator with the same stochastic sources to cancel stochastic noise

Results for the disconnected contribution



- ▶ reduction of the error ≈ 95% compared to the individual light/strange quark contribution
- $G_{disc}^{\ell s}(t)$ consistent with zero

The total vector Correlator

- light quarks
- $G^{\gamma\gamma}(t) = \frac{5}{9}G^{\ell}_{con}(t) + \frac{1}{9}G^{\ell}_{disc}(t)$



 $\blacktriangleright\,$ error on disconnected dominates for $t\gtrsim 0.8$ fm

- light and strange quarks
- $\mathbf{G}^{\gamma\gamma}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{5}{9} \mathbf{G}^{\ell}_{\text{con}}(\mathbf{t}) + \frac{1}{9} \mathbf{G}^{\text{s}}_{\text{con}}(\mathbf{t}) + \frac{1}{9} \mathbf{G}^{\ell\text{s}}_{\text{disc}}(\mathbf{t})$



 $\blacktriangleright\,$ error on disconnected dominates for $t\gtrsim 1.5~\mbox{fm}$

vector correlator

$$G^{\gamma\gamma}(t) = \frac{5}{9}G^\ell_{\text{con}}(t) + \frac{1}{9}G^s_{\text{con}}(t) + \frac{1}{9}G^{\ell s}_{\text{disc}}(t) \qquad \text{with} \quad G^\ell_{\text{con}}(t) = 2\,G^{\rho\rho}(t)$$

rewrite:

$$\frac{1}{9}\frac{\mathsf{G}_{\textrm{disc}}^{\ell \textrm{s}}(t)}{\mathsf{G}^{\rho\rho}(t)} = \frac{\mathsf{G}^{\gamma\gamma}(t) - \mathsf{G}^{\rho\rho}(t)}{\mathsf{G}^{\rho\rho}(t)} - \frac{1}{9}\left(1 + 2\frac{\mathsf{G}_{\textrm{con}}^{\textrm{s}}(t)}{\mathsf{G}_{\textrm{con}}^{\ell}(t)}\right)$$

 \blacktriangleright [1306.2532] for large t, the isovector state dominates, i.e. the ho

$$\mathsf{G}^{\gamma\gamma}(\mathsf{t}) = \mathsf{G}^{\rho\rho}(\mathsf{t}) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{m}_{\pi}\mathsf{t}})\right)$$

vector correlator

$$G^{\gamma\gamma}(t) = \frac{5}{9}G^\ell_{\text{con}}(t) + \frac{1}{9}G^s_{\text{con}}(t) + \frac{1}{9}G^{\ell s}_{\text{disc}}(t) \qquad \text{with} \quad G^\ell_{\text{con}}(t) = 2\,G^{\rho\rho}(t)$$

rewrite:

$$\frac{1}{9} \frac{\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{disc}}^{\ell \mathsf{s}}(\mathsf{t})}{\mathsf{G}^{\rho \rho}(\mathsf{t})} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathsf{G}^{\gamma \gamma}(\mathsf{t}) - \mathsf{G}^{\rho \rho}(\mathsf{t})}{\mathsf{G}^{\rho \rho}(\mathsf{t})}}_{\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } \mathsf{t} \rightarrow \infty} - \frac{1}{9} \underbrace{\left(1 + 2 \frac{\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{con}}^{\mathsf{s}}(\mathsf{t})}{\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{con}}^{\ell}(\mathsf{t})}\right)}_{\rightarrow 1 \quad \text{for } \mathsf{t} \rightarrow \infty}$$

 \blacktriangleright [1306.2532] for large **t**, the isovector state dominates, i.e. the ho

$$\mathsf{G}^{\gamma\gamma}(\mathsf{t}) = \mathsf{G}^{\rho\rho}(\mathsf{t}) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{m}_{\pi}\mathsf{t}})\right)$$

vector correlator

$$G^{\gamma\gamma}(t) = \frac{5}{9}G^\ell_{\text{con}}(t) + \frac{1}{9}G^s_{\text{con}}(t) + \frac{1}{9}G^{\ell s}_{\text{disc}}(t) \qquad \text{with} \quad G^\ell_{\text{con}}(t) = 2\,G^{\rho\rho}(t)$$

rewrite:

$$\frac{1}{9} \frac{\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{disc}}^{\ell \mathsf{s}}(\mathsf{t})}{\mathsf{G}^{\rho \rho}(\mathsf{t})} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathsf{G}^{\gamma \gamma}(\mathsf{t}) - \mathsf{G}^{\rho \rho}(\mathsf{t})}{\mathsf{G}^{\rho \rho}(\mathsf{t})}}_{\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } \mathsf{t} \rightarrow \infty} - \frac{1}{9} \underbrace{\left(1 + 2 \frac{\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{con}}^{\mathsf{s}}(\mathsf{t})}{\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{con}}^{\ell}(\mathsf{t})}\right)}_{\rightarrow 1 \quad \text{for } \mathsf{t} \rightarrow \infty}$$

 \blacktriangleright [1306.2532] for large t, the isovector state dominates, i.e. the ho

$$G^{\gamma\gamma}(t) = G^{\rho\rho}(t) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-m_{\pi}t})\right)$$

 \blacktriangleright for $t
ightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{1}{9} \frac{\mathsf{G}^{\ell s}_{\text{disc}}(t)}{\mathsf{G}^{\rho\rho}(t)} \quad \longrightarrow \quad -\frac{1}{9}$$



 \blacktriangleright up to $t\approx 15a$ we can distinguish the ratio from -1/9



 \blacktriangleright up to $t\approx 15a$ we can distinguish the ratio from -1/9

▶ idea: use $\frac{1}{9} G_{\text{disc}}^{\ell s}(t)/G^{\rho \rho}(t) = -1/9$ for t > 15 to give an upper bound for the magnitude of the disconnected contribution

Vacuum Polarization with disconnected estimate

$$\hat{\Pi}(\mathbf{Q}^2) = 4\pi^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{dt} \, \mathbf{G}^{\gamma\gamma}(\mathbf{t}) \left[\mathbf{t}^2 - \frac{4}{\mathbf{Q}^2} \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{t} \right) \right]$$

For t ≤ 15a ≈ 1 fm, the vector correlator is well described by the connected part

$$\mathsf{G}^{\gamma\gamma}(\mathsf{t}) = rac{5}{9}\mathsf{G}^\ell_{ ext{con}}(\mathsf{t}) + rac{1}{9}\mathsf{G}^{\mathrm{s}}_{ ext{con}}(\mathsf{t})$$

▶ for t > 15a we use $\frac{1}{9} \ G_{disc}^{\ell s}(t)/G^{\rho \rho}(t) = -1/9$ as upper bound for disconnected part

$$\mathsf{G}^{\gamma\gamma}(\mathsf{t}) = \frac{5}{9}\mathsf{G}^\ell_{\text{con}}(\mathsf{t}) + \frac{1}{9}\mathsf{G}^{\text{s}}_{\text{con}}(\mathsf{t}) - \frac{1}{9}\mathsf{G}^{\rho\rho}(\mathsf{t})$$

 \blacktriangleright give an upper bound for the magnitude of the disconnected contribution to \mathbf{a}_{μ}

Vacuum Polarization with disconnected estimate



\mathbf{a}_{μ} with disconnected estimate

$$\mathsf{a}_{\mu}^{\mathsf{had}} = \left(rac{lpha}{\pi}
ight)^2 \int\limits_{0}^{\infty} \mathsf{d}\mathsf{Q}^2 \, rac{1}{\mathsf{Q}^2} \mathsf{K}(\mathsf{Q}^2) \, \hat{\mathsf{\Pi}}(\mathsf{Q}^2)$$

- \blacktriangleright with the disconnected estimate, a_{μ} is $\sim 4\%$ smaller then connected contribution only
- ▶ use 4% as a conservative upper bound for a systematic error that arises from neglecting the disconnected contribution

$oldsymbol{eta}$	a[fm]	lattice	m_{π} [MeV]	$m_{\pi}L$	Label	N_{cnfg}
5.3	0.063	$64 imes 32^3$	455	4.7	E5	1000
5.3	0.063	$96 imes 48^3$	325	5.0	F6	300
5.3	0.063	$96 imes 48^3$	280	4.3	F7	250

\mathbf{a}_{μ} with disconnected estimate



Summary

- ► the disconnected contribution to the vector correlator for light and strange quarks depends only on difference of light and strange propagators → error is reduced when using the same stochastic sources
- disconnected contribution to G^{γγ}(t) from our lattice calculation consistent with zero within the errors
- use asymptotic behavior of $G_{disc}^{\ell s}(t)$ to give a conservative upper bound for the disconnected contribution to a_{μ}

 \rightarrow disconnected contribution <4%-5% of the connected one



Backup

the mixed time-momentum representation method

hadronic vacuum polarization

$$\Pi_{kk}(\omega,q=0) = \int d^4 x e^{i\,Q\cdot x} \left\langle j_k^\gamma(x) \; j_k^\gamma(0) \right\rangle = - \int dt \; e^{i\omega t} \; G^{\gamma\gamma}(t)$$

vector correlator

$$\mathsf{G}^{\gamma\gamma}(t) = -\!\!\int\!\mathsf{d}^3x\,\langle j_k^\gamma(x)j_k^\gamma(0)\rangle \quad \text{ with } \ j_k^\gamma = \frac{2}{3}\overline{u}\gamma_k u - \frac{1}{3}\overline{d}\gamma_k d + \dots$$

tensor structure of the vacuum polarization

$$\Pi_{kk}(\omega, q = 0) = (\mathsf{Q}_k \mathsf{Q}_k - \delta_{kk} \mathsf{Q}^2) \, \Pi(\mathsf{Q}^2) \stackrel{\mathsf{Q}^2 = \omega^2}{=} \, -\omega^2 \, \Pi(\omega^2)$$

 \blacktriangleright subtracted vacuum polarization after Taylor expansion at $Q^2=0$

$$\hat{\Pi}(\omega^2) = 4 \pi^2 \left[\Pi(\omega^2) - \Pi(0) \right] = 4 \pi^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{dt} \, \mathbf{G}^{\gamma\gamma}(\mathbf{t}) \left[\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega \mathrm{t}} - 1}{\omega^2} + \frac{\mathrm{t}^2}{2} \right]$$

$$= 4\pi^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} d\mathbf{t} \, \mathbf{G}^{\gamma\gamma}(\mathbf{t}) \left[\mathbf{t}^2 - \frac{4}{\omega^2} \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \omega \mathbf{t} \right) \right]$$

generalized Hopping Parameter Expansion

cf. [Bali et al. arXiv:0910.3970]

O(a)-improved Wilson-Dirac operator

$$\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{sw}} = rac{1}{2\kappa}\,\mathbbm{1} + \mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{sw}}\mathsf{B} - rac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{H} \quad = \;\mathsf{A} - rac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{H} \quad = \;\mathsf{A}\left(\mathbbm{1} - rac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{A}^{-1}\mathsf{H}
ight)$$

generalized hopping parameter expansion

$$\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{sw}}^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{A}^{-1}\,\mathsf{H}\right)^i\,\mathsf{A}^{-1} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\,\mathsf{A}^{-1}\,\mathsf{H}\right)^k\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{sw}}^{-1}$$

 D⁻¹_{sw} on the right hand side estimated using stochastic sources

$$\blacktriangleright \langle \mathsf{loop} \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{\vec{x}} \mathsf{Tr} \left(\mathsf{D}^{-1}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x}) \right) \right\rangle_{\mathsf{G}}$$

choose N = 3 sources with order k = 6 of the generalized HPE



The integrand for the vacuum polarization

$$\hat{\Pi}(Q^2) = 4\pi^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \underbrace{G^{\gamma\gamma}(t) \left[t^2 - \frac{4}{Q^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}Qt\right)\right]}_{f(t)}$$



Can we resolve the disconnected to be $\lesssim 1\%$

