Effective Polyakov Loop Theories on the Lattice



TECHNISCHE

Philipp Scior,^a David Scheffler,^a Dominik Smith,^a Lorenz von Smekal^{a,b}

^a Theoriezentrum, Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt

^b Institut für Theoretische Physik, Justus-Liebig-Universität, Gießen

Lattice 2014, New York





Motivation



- Exploration of the deconfinement transition of QCD
- Use of effective Polyakov loop potentials in functional methods
- Effects of unquenching
- Exploration of the BEC Phase of two-color QCD



Boz, Cotter, Fister, Mehta, Skullerud [1303.3223]



Strodthoff, von Smekal [1306.2897]

Setup



- Per-site probability distribution P(L) via histogram-method from QC₂D simulations with 2 flavors of staggered quarks
- Per-site constrained potential

$$V_0(L) = -\log P(L)$$

Obtain actual per-site effective potential by Legendre transform

$$W(h) = \log \int dL \exp(-V_0(L) + hL)$$
$$V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{L}) = \sup_h (\hat{L}h - W(h))$$

Polyakov Loop Distributions and



Effective Potentials at β = 2.577856



pure gauge results by Smith, Dumitru, Pisarski, von Smekal [1307.6339]

Fixed scale approach

Polyakov Loop Distributions and



Effective Potentials at β = 2.577856



pure gauge results by Smith, Dumitru, Pisarski, von Smekal [1307.6339]

Fixed scale approach

Unquenched Polyakov Loop Distributions and



Effective Potentials at β = 2.577856



Scheffler, PS, Smith, von Smekal in preparation

▶ With N_f = 2 staggered quarks, neglect scale change through quark masses

Unquenched Polyakov Loop Distributions and



Effective Potentials at β = 2.577856



Scheffler, PS, Smith, von Smekal in preparation

▶ With N_f = 2 staggered quarks, neglect scale change through quark masses

Effective Polyakov Loop Theories



- 3d SU(N) spin models sharing universal behavior at deconfinement transition with underlying gauge theory
- Can be derived from combined strong coupling and hopping expansion
- Less computational cost, especially with dynamical fermions
- ► Finite density → Worm Algorithm



most general form:

$$S = \sum_{ij} L_i \, K^{(2)}(i,j) \, L_j + \sum_{ijkl} L_i L_j \, K^{(4)}(i,j,k,l) \, L_k L_l + \ldots + \sum_i h \, L_i + \ldots$$

can also contain loops in adjoint or higher representations.

B. Svetitsky, Phys. Rept. 132 (1986), Heinzl et al., Phys. Rev. D. 72 (2005)

Truncate Series: e.g. nearest neighbor interactions, resum generalized Polyakov loops:

$$S = -\sum_{\langle ij\rangle} \log(1 + \lambda L_i L_j) - 4N_f \sum_i \log(1 + hL_i + h^2)$$

Fromm, Langelage, Lottini, Philipsen, JHEP 1201 (2012)

23 June 2014 | Philipp Scior, David Scheffler, Dominik Smith, Lorenz von Smekal | 7

Comparing the Models





Strong coupling limit: distributions match pure gauge theory

▶ $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_c$: distributions get deformed, resummed theory reproduces pure gauge theory better

23 June 2014 | Philipp Scior, David Scheffler, Dominik Smith, Lorenz von Smekal | 8

Polyakov Loop Distributions, Effective Theory





Scheffler, PS, Smith, von Smekal in preparation

• Couplings λ and *h* are matched to reproduce the correct $\langle L \rangle$

Polyakov Loop Distributions, Effective Theory









Scheffler, PS, Smith, von Smekal in preparation

• Couplings λ and *h* are matched to reproduce the correct $\langle L \rangle$

Effective Polyakov Loop Potentials,

Effective Theory



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Scheffler, PS, Smith, von Smekal in preparation

very good agreement between effective theory and full two-color QCD

Further Results



- Adjoint loops: strong fluctuations around λ_c , no benefit at $\lambda \neq \lambda_c$
- Correlation functions:





- ► Use effective theory at low temperature and finite chemical potential with heavy quarks → strong coupling regime
- ► Combined strong coupling and hopping expansion to order $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^n, u^m)$, n + m = 4

compare: Langelage, Neuman, Philipsen [1403.4162]

- Low temperature, strong coupling $\longrightarrow \lambda \leq 10^{-16}$
- Fermionic partition function
- Calculate density:

$$n = \frac{T}{V} \frac{\partial \log Z}{\partial \mu}$$



$$-S_{\rm eff} = \sum_{\vec{x}} \log(1 + h \operatorname{Tr} W_{\vec{x}} + h^2)^2$$



$$-S_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{\vec{x}} \log(1 + h \text{Tr} W_{\vec{x}} + h^2)^2 - 2h_2 \sum_{\vec{x}, i} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}}} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}+i}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}+i}}$$



$$\begin{split} -S_{\text{eff}} &= \sum_{\vec{x}} \log(1 + h \text{Tr} W_{\vec{x}} + h^2)^2 - 2h_2 \sum_{\vec{x},i} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}}} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}+i}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}+i}} \\ &+ 2 \frac{\kappa^4 N_\tau^2}{N_c^2} \sum_{\vec{x},i} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}}}{(1 + h W_{\vec{x}})^2} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}-i}}{(1 + h W_{\vec{x}-i})^2} \\ &+ \frac{\kappa^4 N_\tau^2}{N_c^2} \sum_{\vec{x},i,j} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}}}{(1 + h W_{\vec{x}})^2} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}-i}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}-i}} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}-j}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}-j}} \\ &+ 2 \frac{\kappa^4 N_\tau^2}{N_c^2} \sum_{\vec{x},i,j} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}}}{(1 + h W_{\vec{x}})^2} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}-i}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}-i}} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}+j}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}+j}} \\ &+ \frac{\kappa^4 N_\tau^2}{N_c^2} \sum_{\vec{x},i,j} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}}}{(1 + h W_{\vec{x}})^2} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}+i}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}+i}} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}+j}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}+j}} \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} -S_{\text{eff}} &= \sum_{\vec{x}} \log(1 + h \text{Tr} W_{\vec{x}} + h^2)^2 - 2h_2 \sum_{\vec{x},i} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}}} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}+i}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}+i}} \\ &+ 2 \frac{\kappa^4 N_\tau^2}{N_c^2} \sum_{\vec{x},i} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}}}{(1 + h W_{\vec{x}})^2} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}-i}}{(1 + h W_{\vec{x}-i})^2} \\ &+ \frac{\kappa^4 N_\tau^2}{N_c^2} \sum_{\vec{x},i,j} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}}}{(1 + h W_{\vec{x}})^2} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}-i}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}-i}} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}-j}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}-j}} \\ &+ 2 \frac{\kappa^4 N_\tau^2}{N_c^2} \sum_{\vec{x},i,j} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}}}{(1 + h W_{\vec{x}})^2} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}-i}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}-i}} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}+j}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}+j}} \\ &+ \frac{\kappa^4 N_\tau^2}{N_c^2} \sum_{\vec{x},i,j} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}}}{(1 + h W_{\vec{x}})^2} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}+i}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}+i}} \text{Tr} \frac{h W_{\vec{x}+j}}{1 + h W_{\vec{x}+j}} \\ &+ \kappa^4 N_\tau^2 \sum_{\vec{x},i,j} \frac{h^4}{(1 + h L_x + h^2)(1 + h L_{x+i} + h^2)} \,. \end{split}$$

23 June 2014 | Philipp Scior, David Scheffler, Dominik Smith, Lorenz von Smekal | 14



- Very heavy quarks: m_d = 19.17 GeV
- Deconfinement transition with unphysical lattice saturation







- Diquark BEC??
- What happens for smaller quark masses?





• below μ_c : hadron gas

$$\propto \exp\left(\frac{\mu_B-m_d}{T}\right)$$

23 June 2014 | Philipp Scior, David Scheffler, Dominik Smith, Lorenz von Smekal | 17

Summary and Outlook



Summary

- Unquenched Polyakov loop potentials from full QC₂D and effective theory
- Small *T*, finite μ : deconfiment transition with unphysical lattice saturation
- ▶ Possible diquark BEC?? → difference between two and three colors?

Outlook

- Simulations of effective theory and full Q₂CD at finite density
- Main goal: effective Polyakov loop potentials at finite density
- ► Cold and dense regime: go to smaller quark masses → higher order in hopping expansion

Backup Slides



Polyakov Loop Distributions

at β = 2.635365



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Polyakov Loop Effective Potential

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

at β = 2.635365



Fit Coefficients

at β = 2.635365



$$V_0(I) = V^{(T_c)}(I) + a(T) - b(T)I + c(T)I^2$$



Finite Volume Test

 $N_t = 8, am = 0.5$



Adjoint Coupling





Analytic relations for Cold and Dense regime



$$h = \exp\left[N_{\tau}\left(a\mu + \ln 2\kappa + 6\kappa^2 \frac{u - u^{N_{\tau}}}{1 - u}\right)\right] ,$$

$$am_{\pi} = -2\ln(2\kappa) - 6\kappa^2 - 24\kappa^2 \frac{u}{1-u} + 6\kappa^4 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^4 u^2, \kappa^2 u^5) .$$

23 June 2014 | Philipp Scior, David Scheffler, Dominik Smith, Lorenz von Smekal | 25