Computing the nucleon sigma terms at the physical point

## Christian Torrero for the Budapest-Marseille-Wuppertal collaboration

Centre de Physique Théorique Aix-Marseille Université



XXXII International Symposium on Lattice Field Theories New York, June 24 2014

Christian Torrero Computing the nucleon sigma terms at the physical point





# Motivation

- 2 Definitions and data collection
- 3 Data analysis part I: fit strategy
- Data analysis part II: assessing statistical and systematic errors

# 5 Conclusions

The nucleon sigma terms  $\sigma_{\pi N}$  and  $\sigma_{\bar{s}sN}$  are observables of great interest given their relation to

- the quark-mass ratio  $m_{ud}/m_s$ ;
- $\pi N$  and K N scattering;
- counting rates in Higgs-Boson searches;
- direct detection of dark matter (DM).

Estimates from phenomenology do not agree with each other and have large uncertainties  $\longrightarrow$  need for ab-initio computations of strong-interaction effects.

#### Motivation

Definitions and data collection Data analysis - part I: fit strategy Data analysis - part II: assessing statistical and systematic errors Conclusions

Computations of  $f_{udN} \equiv \sigma_{\pi N}/M_N$  and  $f_{sN} \equiv \sigma_{\bar{s}sN}/(2M_N)$  already exist:



However, most calculations employ model assumptions and/or have incomplete error analyses.

The nucleon sigma terms  $\sigma_{\pi N}$  and  $\sigma_{\bar{s}sN}$  are defined as

$$\sigma_{\pi N} \equiv m_{ud} \langle N | \bar{u}u + \bar{d}d | N \rangle , \qquad \sigma_{\bar{s}sN} \equiv 2 m_s \langle N | \bar{s}s | N \rangle .$$

A possible strategy to compute them consists of relying on the Feynman-Hellman theorem, i.e.,

$$\sigma_{\pi N} = \left. m_{ud} \frac{\partial M_N}{\partial m_{ud}} \right|_{\Phi} , \qquad \sigma_{\bar{s}sN} = 2 \left. m_s \frac{\partial M_N}{\partial m_s} \right|_{\Phi} ,$$

where derivatives have to be computed at the physical point  $(\Phi)$ .

Main advantages of this approach:

- need for 2-point functions only;
- no disconnected contributions.

Given that derivatives above are small (in particular for the s-case), an alternative is represented by a direct computation.



- Desired quantity appears at leading order
- X Complex renormalization
- Compute challenging quark-connected diagrams

We begin with the indirect approach.

As it is well known, the mass of a given particle p can be extracted from a time correlator  $C_p(t, t_S)$ 

$$C_{
ho}(t,t_{S})=a^{3}\sum_{\vec{x}}G_{
ho}(x,x_{S}),$$

being *a* the lattice spacing, *t* the time component of point  $x = (t, \vec{x})$  in the 4D discretized spacetime and with  $G_{\rho}(x, x_S)$  given by

$$G_{\rho}(x, x_{\mathcal{S}}) = \langle O_{\rho}(x) O_{\rho}(x_{\mathcal{S}}) \rangle ,$$

where  $O_p(x)$  is an interpolating operator capable of creating a hadron p out of the vacuum.

Masses are eventually extracted from the asymptotic behaviour of  $C_p(t, 0)$  with suitable fit functions for mesons and baryons.

### Some technical details:

- tree-level improved Symanzik gauge action (S<sub>G</sub>) and clover-improved Wilson action (S<sub>F</sub>);
- 2-HEX link-smearing in  $S_F$ ;
- $N_f = 2 + 1;$

• 47 ensembles corresponding to about 13000 overall configurations with

- 0.054 fm ≤ a ≤ 0.093 fm;
- pion mass  $M_{\pi}$  down to  $\leq$  120 *MeV*;
- box size up to  $\approx$  6 *fm*;
- full non-perturbative renormalization and running of quark masses in RGI (as in BMWc, JHEP 1108);
- derivatives computed in terms of quark masses instead of corresponding pseudoscalar masses squared.

An example of functional form — with experimental input and fit parameters — employed in the fit reads

$$\begin{aligned} aM_{X} &= a \bigg\{ M_{X}^{(\Phi)} + \sum_{i} c_{X,ud,i} \bigg[ \frac{am_{ud} Z_{s}^{-1}(\beta)}{a(1 + d_{ud} a^{2})} - m_{ud}^{(\Phi)} \bigg]^{i} + \\ &+ \sum_{j} c_{X,s,j} \bigg[ \frac{am_{s} Z_{s}^{-1}(\beta)}{a(1 + d_{s} a^{2})} - m_{s}^{(\Phi)} \bigg]^{j} \bigg\} , \end{aligned}$$

with  $X = \Omega$  (for scale setting),  $\pi$ ,  $K^{\chi}$  and N.

The masses of these four particles are fitted at the same time, i.e. the corresponding functionals share the same fit parameters - with the exception of the  $c_{X,ud,i}$ 's and  $c_{X,s,i}$ 's.

Quark masses in the functional above are obtained through the ratio-difference method (BMWc, JHEP 1108).

Fit parameters  $c = \{a, m_{ud}^{(\phi)}, m_s^{(\phi)}, \ldots\}$  of functions  $f^{(i)}(c, x)$  — with i = 1, 2, 3, 4 and  $x = \{am_{ud}, am_s\}$  — are determined by minimizing a  $\chi^2$  function defined as

$$\chi^2 = V^T C^{-1} V \,,$$

where C is the covariance matrix associated to the entries of the column vector V whose structure reads

$$V = (y_1^{(1)} - f^{(1)}(c, x_1), \ldots, y_n^{(4)} - f^{(4)}(c, x_n), x_1 - q_1, x_2 - q_2, \ldots, x_n - q_n),$$

where  $q_i$  is the value of variable  $x_i$  obtained in simulation *i*.

Entries of matrix C are obtained via a bootstrap procedure with  $n_{boot} = 2000$ .

### All fits are correlated.

Conclusions



Result from example fit:  $M_N$  vs.  $m_{ud}$ :  $\sigma_{\pi N}$  = 33.0(2.7) MeV,  $f_{udN}$  = 0.035(3) (stat. error only).



Result from same example fit:  $M_N$  vs.  $m_s$ :  $\sigma_{\overline{ssN}} = 197(117)$  MeV,  $f_{sN} = 0.10(6)$  (stat. error only).

To estimate the systematic uncertainties on results, different strategies will be considered in the fitting procedure:

- choosing two different time intervals for the asymptotic behaviour of  $C_{\rho}(t, 0)$ ;
- pruning the data with two cuts in the pion mass (at 380 MeV and 480 MeV);
- taking into account six different procedures in computing Z<sub>S</sub> (as in BMWc, JHEP 1108);
- relying on ChPT-inspired fitting functions for mesons;
- allowing for different cutoff effects, i.e.

$$\frac{am_{ud}Z_s^{-1}(\beta)}{a(1+d_{ud}a^2)} \longrightarrow \frac{am_{ud}Z_s^{-1}(\beta)}{a(1+d_{ud}\alpha_s a)}$$

This will result in  $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 6 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 96$  fitting strategies altogether.

The mean value and systematic error of a generic fit parameter  $c_i$  are obtained by computing, respectively, the mean and the standard deviation of the values of  $c_i$  resulting from the different fitting procedures.

The bootstrap error on the mean provides the statistical error.

Very preliminary results - with systematic error still to be assessed - read

$$f_{udN} = 0.035(3) \;, \qquad f_{sN} = 0.10(6) \;.$$

## **Conclusions and outlook**

- a first-principle computation of the nucleon sigma terms is being carried out with data all the way down to the physical point;
- preliminary results in the right ballpark;
- a thorough analysis of systematic uncertainties is underway;
- an extensive study of improvements that can be made using the current approach will be carried out to reduce the errorbars.